Appendix B Summary of Written Submission Received in Response to the April 18, 2005 Mayor's Town Hall Meeting

Resident/Stakeholder and	Comment
Affiliation Dharmesh Goel (April 17, 2005)*	Unable to attend the April 18 Public Information Meeting on but offer the family's thoughts on Official Plan 2006 for consideration.
	Rampant Growth Concern that infrastructure will be under even greater pressure if more development is allowed. Consider the development freeze a right move but not enough.
	Roads Williams Parkway is difficult to reach from the northwestern part of the City due to its design.
	Highways The present situation with only one highway, i.e., 410 is unsatisfactory, especially given the congestions on the road. Concern about the impact of extending the road further north.
	<u>Community Centers</u> Questions why community centers are constructed so late in the development process, depriving residents of such facilities.
	Preserve farmlands Likes to see open spaces and rural spots in the City and suggest not to allow development along Mississauga Road
	Other•Supports the City to regain its Flower City image•Not support money spent on the downtown art center•City should learn lessons from Mississaugga
Eric Von Bloedau (April 20, 2005)*	Credit Valley Sector The area enjoys an inherent historic and natural charm that should not be undermined by senseless sprawl. It should be regarded with special consideration and planned development should be of high standard and take such virtues into account.
Todd Falls (April 20, 2005)*	Provides additional thoughts after the public meeting for consideration
	Downtown Development Suggests the City to partner with landlords in downtown redevelopment. The City could buy out the private shares eventually if owners find it profitable to do so. Consider a beautiful city center important in attracting new money into the region.
Frances Veltri (April 20, 2005)*	Unable to attend the Meeting but offer comments for consideration. <u>Gridlock</u> The problem is worsening. Question why the City is only taking action

Appendix B Summary of Written Submission Received in Response to the April 18, 2005 Mayor's Town Hall Meeting

Pasidant/Stakahaldar and	Commont
Resident/Stakeholder and Affiliation	Comment
	now to deal with these problems and not when development was planned especially in Northwest Brampton. <u>School program</u> The city should pay more attention in ensuring schools, churches and road construction etc are ready in time to serve new development.
	Development cap The city should fix the poor infrastructure first before considering more new development
	Felt that the City has not done a good job in managing growth.
	Happy to see the City is taking action to make Brampton a "wonderful" community for raising family again.
Roy McMillan (April 20, 2005)*	Tax for seniors Suggests that municipal taxes should be pegged at the prevailing level when a Brampton resident reaches the age of 65, assuming that they have been on the tax roll for 25 years or more. This will encourage long time residents to remain in their homes and help seniors to reduce costs.
JR Janzen (April 21, 2005)*	Planning process for place of worship The City should provide a more favourable regulatory climate to enable religious institutions to operate more effectively. In terms of site availability and development, four options are available to this group:
	 Purchase land at market value for construction Purchase existing building and seek zoning approval for religious uses Lease existing industrial or commercial units
	4. Rent spaces in community centers or schools Options 1 and 2 are often not feasible due to high costs. Option 3 is feasible but is becoming increasingly difficult due to parking requirements and the need for Committee of Adjustment's approval of variance for parking. Option 4 is generally unsuitable due to reluctance to be associated with religious groups and the limited time slots available.
	Through the planning process, the City can assist church and religious groups by ensuring land reserved in subdivision remains zoned for such purposes and not changed to other uses. Without this hope value, the land may be more affordable to religious groups.
Naoko and Ian Kinnear (April 24, 2005)*	Consider that zoning is not done properly which leads to the following problems:
	 Not enough retail facilities; Traffic congestion; Not enough garbage collection; and Not enough attractions eg. parks, trails, entertainment etc.

Appendix B Summary of Written Submission Received in Response to the April 18, 2005 Mayor's Town Hall Meeting (Cont'd)

Resident/Stakeholder and Affiliation	Comment
	Also want to know why the City's property taxes are higher than Mississauga and Toronto.
Jasbindra Mahal (April 28, 2005)*	Unable to attend the April 18 Meeting but offer some thoughts for consideration:
	 Limit residential growth until school provisions can catch up Enough commercial plazas therefore no more is needed More parks, recreational areas and libraries
	For land zoned for residential or place of worship, residents should be allowed to have more input on the use, especially if the site is close to the residential areas. Cite land at Sandalwood and Fernforest as example where traffic is of particular concern.
Harry Abrol (April 15, 2005)*	Unable to attend the April 18 Meeting but offer the following for consideration:
	Growth in his sector should be put on hold until the community center, library, schools, police station, medical services and transit system are ready.
	If possible, change the by-law to widen roads to accommodate more traffic, and implement stricter parking enforcement in school zones.
	His community also agrees that Site A2 is the best location for school being close to Springdale Public School.