# **Queen St-Hwy 7 BRT Initial Business Case Briefing**

December 15, 2020

Page 19 of 423

# BRT AS PART OF THE TOTAL TRANSIT SYSTEM



# **BRT/BUS PRIORITY AS PART OF THE FRTN**

- Multi Jurisdictional corridors connecting municipalities and Municipal Service Providers (MSP) to regional destinations, GO Stations, LRT and subways;
- Planned in partnership with municipalities, and MSPs as the operators of services and owners of the Right of Way (ROW);
- Supports an adaptable transportation network : Investment in BRT corridors will
  provide much need through transit capacity that can be scaled and grown overtime to
  meet demand resulting from new urban development;
- Delivery options to be explored for future, potential delivery of the BRT project.

# **PRIORITY BUS TO BRT SPECTRUM**



These are some examples of Priority Bus & BRT features, there are many other transit features that make up BRT.

# **OVERVIEW**

- Brampton-York BRT is a 24-km bus rapid transit line from the current YRT Viva infrastructure terminus at Helen Street in York Region to Mississauga Road in Brampton
- Centre-median BRT is proposed along the 24-km line, with two configuration options being considered:
  - 1. Conversion of one general purpose traffic lane in each direction
  - 2. Addition of a transit lane in each direction through road widening (with lane conversion through Downtown Brampton)
  - Proposing infrastructure and service integration between Brampton and York, extending the frequent rapid transit network through York Region and Brampton. Project will integrate with the existing Viva network along Highway 7.
  - Key issues/needs include decision on whether to widen the road or convert general purpose traffic lanes into transit lanes and ensuring integration between Brampton and York Region services



#### Brampton Queen Street - York Region Highway 7 BRT

٠

# **KEY PROJECT BENEFITS**







#### Transportation

Extending the GTHA's frequent rapid transit network through the Queen St-Highway 7 Corridor, providing increased transportation choices and connecting key destinations

#### **Quality of Life**

Shaping growth, improving public health, environmental health and air quality, safety and connectivity and encouraging active transportation.

### **Economic and Regional Development**

Connecting commuters to jobs, catalyzing urban land development, and supporting innovation and prosperity by connecting major employment hubs, academic institutions, and centres of innovation.

### **Environmental Sustainability**

Improved energy use and efficiency through lower vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by increased ridership, lower auto use, and supporting car-free forms of development and lifestyles.

# **OPTIONS ANALYZED IN THE INITIAL BUSINESS CASE**

• The IBC evaluated service plans and infrastructure options separately. From the evaluation the recommended service is a single main BRT trunk route plus additional feeder priority routes.

Scenario 4: Centre-Median BRT with Lane Conversion\* – Proposes the conversion of a traffic lane per direction to median BRT exclusive lanes along the corridor, including Downtown Brampton (curbside BRT instead of centre-median at pinchpoint).

This option reduces the number of traffic lanes along the length of the corridor by one per direction, impacting general traffic but having much lower property and construction costs Scenario 5: Centre-Median BRT with Lane Addition\* - Proposes one median BRT exclusive lane per direction along the length of the corridor as a result of road widening everywhere except Downtown Brampton (Queen Street between McMurchy Avenue and Kennedy Road). Through Downtown Brampton lane conversion is proposed.

This option widens the road through the majority of the corridor, providing the service without impacting general traffic.

Scenario 6: Hybrid Alternative Including Centre-Median BRT (Lane Addition) and Mixed Traffic – Proposes one BRT exclusive lane per direction except for segments in constrained zones (ex. Downtown Brampton and rail/highway crossings) and segments showing impacted capacity due to traffic lane conversion. Mixed traffic solutions would be implemented in the exceptions.





Sample road cross-section in-between Dixie Road and Highway 410 for Scenario 4 and Scenario 5

\*Scenario 4 and Scenario 5 will be evaluated and refined in the Preliminary Design Business Case

## **INITIAL BUSINESS CASE SUMMARY**

QUEEN ST – HWY 7 BRT	<b>OPTION 4 - LANE CONVERSION,</b>	OPTION 5 - ROAD WIDENING, LANE	OPTION 6 - ROAD WIDENING, MIXED
	CURBSIDE LANE AT PINCHPOINT	CONVERSION AT PINCHPOINT	TRAFFIC AT PINCHPOINT
Strategic Case			
Transit Ridership	18,813 total ridership in the AM peak hour	18,734 total ridership in the AM peak hour	15,110 total ridership in the AM peak hour
Transit User Experience	10 minute travel time reduction*	9 minute travel time reduction*	7 minute travel time reduction*
Mobility Choices	4% higher transit mode share (6.9 to 7.1%)	5% higher transit mode share (6.9 to 7.2%)	3% higher transit mode share (6.9 to 7.1%)
Environmental Quality and Safety	Most considerable improvement	Considerable improvement	Least considerable improvement
Overall Strategic Benefit	Greatest improvements in additional transportation choices, sustainable growth, emission reduction and connectivity	Greatest improvements in additional transportation choices, sustainable growth, emission reduction and connectivity	Noticeable improvements in additional transportation choices, sustainable growth, emission reduction and connectivity
Economic Case			
Fotal Benefits(\$2020, NPV)	\$1.96 billion	\$2.42 billion	\$1.63 billion
Γotal Cost (\$2020, NPV)	\$585 million	\$936 million	\$582 million
Capital Cost (\$2020, NPV)	\$95 million	\$490 million	\$151 million
Benefit-Cost Ratio	3.3	2.6	2.8
Net Present Value	\$1.37 billion	\$1.48 billion	\$1.05 billion
Financial Case			
Fotal Project Costs (\$2019, NPV)	\$595 million	\$946 million	\$590 million
Net Present Value (\$2019, NPV)	- \$381 million	- \$ 701 million	- \$ 418 million
Deliverability and Operations Case			
Summary	Minimal physical constraints during construction process	Considerably high amount of reconstruction of constrained segments	Least amount of reconstruction of constrained segments, but mixed traffic operations heightens operational risks

\*Average travel time saving between major origin-destination pairs compared to the BAU.

# **STATUS AND NEXT STEPS**

## NEXT STEPS

## Status Update

- IBC draft is complete, final version will be published in December 2020
- The IBC results showed significant benefits, including travel time savings, increased transit ridership, and shaping growth
- Work ongoing developing PDBC scope and governance agreements with all stakeholders

## Upcoming Milestones

 Confirm PDBC scope of work and release tender to market for contract to complete PDBC - Late 2020/Early 2021

# 

# **BRT INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE GTHA**

Median Busway

## Curbside Bus Lane

**Priority Bus** 

Branded Services/BRT Lite





# **CAPACITY OF TRANSIT TECHNOLOGIES**

- BRT capacity approx 5,000 pph
- From the findings of the RTP 2041 and FRTN work -BRT is the best option for 905 communities and corridors to connect with TTC subway and GO Rail/Bus
- RTP 2041 and FRTN work findings show BRT and bus priority are the best options to deliver the objectives of the 2041 RTP and implementation of the FRTN



# WHY BRT?

- A cost effective approach to develop ridership over time and support cross border travel as services are adjusted to meet demand
- BRT can be designed to be converted to LRT when demand warrants
- Flexibility for multiple bus routes and service providers to use the infrastructure which increases project benefits
- Leverage existing Municipal Service Providers increasing fare integration and regional travel options
- Increases in ridership, faster travel times and encourages development (e.g. Viva, Dundas Corridor, Durham-Scarborough BRT)



13

METROLINX