York Region is once again looking at how lands within the Greenbelt could support economic growth for the municipalities through which the province’s 400-series highways run. However, critics are adamant that protected means protected and there is no justification for permitting development on these invaluable lands.

At its meeting October 22, York Region council will consider a staff report requesting the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing develop a process to allow municipalities to access strategically located employment lands, including those located within the Greenbelt Plan. The lands determined to be strategically located fall along Highway 400 in Township of King and along Highway 404 in the Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville, the City of Richmond Hill, the Town of Aurora, and the Town of East Gwillimbury.

The request includes the ability to service lands within the Greenbelt. “The interest of York Regional Council has been to explore potential use of these lands for employment purposes given their strategic location in proximity to major transportation corridors,” York Region planning and economic development director Sandra Malcic told NRU. “For the purposes of long-term economic viability, Regional Council has previously requested the province consider defining a process to access strategic lands if a need is determined. This is not something that can be done through a municipal official plan update, as that process must conform with provincial plans.”

The process the region is requesting would allow municipalities to identify the need for employment growth on lands located near major interchanges through a municipal comprehensive review. The province would develop a standardized method to assess the needs of the municipality and to balance economic growth with environmental protection.

The Greenbelt Plan was adopted in 2005 by the provincial government to contain growth in the GTHA and to protect farmland and natural heritage systems. New development in the over 400,000-hectares of protected land is effectively prohibited. York Region has made several requests to the provincial government to put a process in place to allow municipalities to access these lands for employment purposes.
UPCOMING DATES

OCTOBER
14    Brampton Council, 9:30 a.m.
      Durham Region Committee of the Whole, 9:30 a.m.
      East Gwillimbury Special Council, 10:00 a.m.
      Hamilton Council, 9:30 a.m.
      Markham Council, 1:00 p.m.
      Mississauga Council, 9:30 a.m.
      Vaughan Committee of the Whole, 1:00 p.m.
      Vaughan Committee of the Whole (Closed Session), 5:00 p.m.
15    York Region Committee of the Whole (Special Meeting), 9:00 a.m.
19    Ajax Council, 7:00 p.m.
      Brock Committee of the Whole, 9:30 a.m.
      Clarington General Government Committee, 9:30 a.m.
      King Council/Committee of the Whole, 6:00 p.m.
      Markham General Committee, 9:30 a.m.
      Mississauga Planning & Development Committee, 6:00 p.m.
      Newmarket Special Committee of the Whole, 1:00 p.m.
      Oakville Council, 6:30 p.m.
      Uxbridge General Purpose & Administration Committee, 10:00 a.m.
      Whitby Committee of the Whole, 7:00 p.m.
20    Caledon Committee of the Whole, 2:30 p.m.
      Caledon Planning & Development Committee, 7:00 p.m.
      East Gwillimbury Council, 10:00 a.m.
      East Gwillimbury Special Council, 7:00 p.m.
      Caledon Planning & Development Committee, 9:30 a.m.
      City Council Special Meeting, 9:30 a.m.
      OPP Special Committee of the Whole, 1:00 p.m.

BENCHMARKING MUNICIPALITIES

While many GTHA municipalities are advancing projects to improve their development approvals processes, unnecessary delays, additional fees, and uncertainty for applicants around the processes for submission are adding significant costs that contribute to keeping housing prices high, according to a recent study by the Canadian Home Builder’s Association (CHBA).

In a report released September 22 and prepared by Altus Group for the CHBA, the development approvals processes for municipalities across the country are compared and ranked to determine which municipalities are the easiest to develop in and which are the most challenging. The study evaluates municipalities according to three categories—planning features (the overall ability to get a development approved), government charges, and approval timelines. The study also examines the overall impact of all of these factors on final development costs.

“[We’re] trying to get an understanding of some of the factors and policies and approaches municipalities are taking that could have an impact on housing affordability,” Altus Group economic consulting research, valuation and advisory senior director Daryl Keleher told NRU. “Things like quantifying the amount of government charges that a new housing development would be subject to and comparing those. Looking at things like planning approvals timelines, to get an idea of how long it’s taking for municipalities to get project approvals turned around.”

Ensuring the development approvals process is as smooth and obstacle-free as possible is vital to keeping housing costs low, Residential Construction Council of Ontario president Richard Lyall told NRU. He says delays and uncertainty in the system can add months to project timelines, raising the overall cost by hundreds of thousands of dollars while slowing down the ability of developers to deliver final products.

“We do have a housing crisis, and we have an affordability crisis,” says Lyall. “If you have an inefficient system that has considerable built-in delays to producing new housing, and some of them are time delays, well, time is money.”

The study ranked 23 Canadian municipalities according to ease of developing there. In the GTHA, the Town of Oakville was overall the highest ranked municipality, tying for seventh nationally and tying for first with the City of Toronto in the planning approvals category. In overall rankings, the City of Hamilton...
was ranked 12th, Toronto and the City of Pickering tied at 14th, the City of Brampton was ranked 18th, the City of Markham was ranked 19th, and the Town of Bradford-West Gwillimbury was tied for 20th.

Nationally, the City of Regina was ranked first overall.

Keleher says that some of the greatest challenges for developers navigating the development approvals process is in the clarity of what municipalities consider a complete application. He says that many municipalities ranked very low in the ‘planning features’ category simply because it is difficult for applicants to determine exactly what is expected of them at various stages in the planning application process. He adds that many municipalities do not make their data, such as mapping information, readily available and that creates challenges in completing studies that are underway.

“[A municipality] can make that pre-submission stage go more smoothly by getting clear terms of reference for what studies are required, giving a list of what studies are required for certain application types, and then for those studies, what are municipalities looking to see in those studies,” says Keleher. “It’s really kind of making that pre-submission stage as smooth as possible to reduce the amount of submissions that might be required later on.”

Keleher adds that the study did not consider the length of time spent during non-municipal procedures such as provincial approvals or through court-reviewed processes such as the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal as municipalities are not responsible for administering these and applications can be appealed for a wide variety of reasons.

The study also quantified the effects of each of the factors considered in the study on the final cost of development in each municipality. For high-rise development scenarios, the study found that each month spent within the approval process added an average of $216,300 to the cost of a project, and an average of $351,500 to the cost of a project for low-rise development scenarios. These costs include additional labour time, vacant land taxes, inflation, wage, and material price increases, carrying cost of loans, and increased municipal charges.

Town of Oakville planning services director Mark Simeoni told NRU that the town has been working to overhaul its planning approvals since 2018 in order to better serve both residents and customers. He says the town recently undertook a project to upgrade its development approvals process and to improve communication with both proponents and community members.

“Planning and interaction with development is an interactive and always-changing environment,” says Simeoni. “[We have] a lien-based process to take a customer’s perspective toward the development processes and try to improve the timeframes and the communication, not only from a customer standpoint, but also from a citizen standpoint about the entire development process.”

Simeoni says the Town of Oakville’s work had to be accelerated this year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. A state of emergency declared in Ontario March 17 required all employees work from home whenever possible, necessitating that development approvals all be submitted electronically and all meetings between planning departments and development applicants be held virtually, along with community information and consultation meetings. Lyall says the pandemic has forced municipalities to work harder on digitization and modernization of their processes.

“[Planning departments] don’t want to be bogged down with all this paperwork either,” says Keleher. “And so, they have enough of an incentive, I think, to make these improvements. And it seems like a lot of them are underway. But the effect of those remains to be seen.”
**THE REINVENTION OF UPTOWN BRAMPTON**

Marc Mitonis

City of Brampton’s 2040 Vision is guiding a fundamental shift in land use that will transform Uptown Brampton from a car-dependent community into an urban transit-oriented gateway to the city.

RioCan’s proposed mixed-use, multi-tower redevelopment of Shoppers World Brampton at the corner of Hurontario Street and Steeles Avenue will serve as the anchor of Brampton’s Uptown Core, one of three walkable and complete communities planned for Uptown Brampton.

“[Uptown Brampton] is providing the opportunity to implement what the 2040 vision sketched out,” City of Brampton director of policy planning Robert Bjerke told NRU. “The Uptown is the gateway into Brampton. With the new LRT infrastructure going in, it’s an exciting time.”

As set out in the city’s Brampton 2040 Vision: Living the Mosaic, the Uptown Core is envisioned as a 20-minute neighbourhood: a walkable, inclusive, and healthy community which emphasizes living locally. In 20-minute neighbourhoods, residents are afforded the amenities and resources to meet most of their daily needs within a 20-minute walk from their homes.

The Shoppers World Master Plan proposes 5,000 new residential units and a community hub with a school, library, recreation centre, daycare, arts and cultural spaces, and ancillary public uses. Once built out, 100,000 people will walk and work within the 20-minute neighbourhood.

Brampton’s Uptown Framework Plan imagines additional 20-minute neighbourhoods within the Uptown East and Uptown South precinct plan areas. Located at the site of the existing CAA Centre at Kennedy Road and Highway 407, the Uptown East precinct will be supported by upgraded multi-purpose sports and conference facilities, a cricket stadium, and a future ZUM route extension and 407 Transitway station.

The planned Hurontario Light Rail Transit (LRT) stop at Hurontario and Steeles is recognized in the Metrolinx 2041 Regional Transportation Plan and the Brampton Official Plan as a Gateway Mobility Hub, where high-density growth is proposed to be coupled with pedestrian and cycling infrastructure. Expected to enter service in 2024, the anticipated arrival of the Hurontario LRT is triggering a complete makeover of Uptown Brampton.

The Uptown South precinct at the Davis Campus of Sheridan College will also be serviced by the LRT. A neighbourhood currently defined by its educational spaces, community centres, and court houses, the precinct plan aims to attract new residents to Uptown South through enhanced public spaces, prioritized pedestrian movement, and an accessible internal transportation network.

“Many of these places are slowly evolving,” City of Brampton manager of urban design Yvonne Yeung told NRU. “When you’re arriving...”
from Mississauga along the LRT, the first thing you see will be Uptown South, but the most energy you see in the long-term will be at Steeles and Hurontario."

The 20-minute neighbourhoods at Uptown Core, Uptown East and Uptown South will be connected by enhanced road, pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, including creek trails.

"It's very groundbreaking," Yeung told NRU. "This is the implementation of the Brampton 2040 vision, and [we're] using that to celebrate the new growth model for transit-oriented communities."

Urban Land Institute executive director Richard Joy told NRU an urbanization of the suburbs is taking place across the region, and the traditional sprawling shopping mall is a prime candidate for reinvention.

"The frontier of growth in the GTHA has been increasingly in the last 20 years an urban frontier and not a suburban greenfield frontier," Joy told NRU. "You're seeing a market that wants more urban opportunity. There's no other place for that frontier to realize itself than these large tracts of properties, which have probably had their peak in terms of their viability as shopping malls.

We're seeing the reinvention of the shopping mall in the context of rejuvenated urban development."

Joy said the adoption of urbanist principles in a suburban environment is a trend that other cities across the continent aren't experiencing to the same degree as the GTHA. "We're seeing more urbanism in the suburban post-war metro than really anywhere else in North America," Joy told NRU. "That's just an incredible story for us. This is not a North American-wide phenomenon, as much as it might be a North American-wide aspiration."

The development of Uptown Brampton is being informed by provincial, regional, municipal, community, and private-sector stakeholders, with the common goal of creating vibrant transit-oriented communities. A virtual townhall on transit-oriented communities hosted by the Urban Land Institute last week included a diverse range of voices from government and the private sector, including Brampton Mayor Patrick Brown, associate Minister of Transportation Kinga Surma, Brampton South member of parliament Sonia Sidhu, and RioCan senior vice-president of development Andrew Duncan.

Joy told NRU the coordination and alignment taking place between all actors involved in the process is "somewhat unprecedented."

"You do not see this kind of excitement that crosses the development landowner side of the equation through to the city, province, school board, region, and even public health," Joy told NRU. "This is the kind of sophisticated alignment that's hopefully on the cutting edge of the future of urbanism. I think Brampton finds itself on that cutting edge."

The Shoppers World redevelopment proposal was submitted to the city of Brampton in October 2019. To be built in multiple phases, full completion of the plan could take up to 30 years.

Come Join our Team!

We are currently seeking a Project Manager/Planner with a Planning or Engineering degree that has 5 to 10 years of relevant work experience in the land development industry. We are seeking a candidate with strong leadership and technical skills, who is motivated, results-driven, and has a strong passion and understanding of the land development field.

We are also seeking a Project Coordinator with a Planning or Engineering degree that has 1 to 3 years of relevant work experience to complement our current staff and serve our wide range of clients and projects.

All positions are full time and are available immediately. Please submit your resume and cover letter to: mariav@deltaurban.com

Delta Urban Inc. is a performance driven consulting company that provides strategic and technical advice to assist in various components of the land acquisition, development approval, pre-construction, construction and post-construction processes. We are leaders in landowner group management with over 27 years of experience in project management and development management services.

8800 Dufferin St. Suite 104 Vaughan Ontario, L4K 0C5
www.deltaurban.com
The Town of Newmarket is transforming its 11.6-acre Mulock Property at the northwest corner of Yonge Street and Mulock Drive into a new public park. Late last month, Newmarket’s Committee of the Whole was presented with three design concepts for the park, developed using five guiding principles that emerged following an extensive public engagement process.

The Mulock Property contains the former residence of politician, lawyer, educator, agriculturalist, and philanthropist, Sir William Mulock. The property was visited by a number of dignitaries and influential historical figures in the past, including Frederick Banting and Edward VIII, and it remained with the Mulock family for six generations.

The Town of Newmarket purchased the Mulock Property in October 2018 with the intention to preserve the designated heritage house on the site. In October 2019, Newmarket partnered with PLANT Architects and public engagement experts PROCESS to lead the visioning consultation and concept master plan development for creating a public park on the property.

“I don’t think I’ve ever worked on anything in the town that has had more public engagement and input and in different forms and formats,” Newmarket mayor John Taylor told NRU. “I just really want people to be engaged and excited because this is a big responsibility. We’re building a space that’s going to be there for generations to come. We want to make sure we get it right.”

Community consultations informed the creation of five guiding principles to shape the project—make it a destination, keep it natural, root it in diverse histories and look forward, create inclusive and accessible spaces, and connect it to the town. The three culminating design concepts share similar features, including trails, gardens, water features, and recreational opportunities.

‘An Energized Mulock’ proposes The Village Green, an active park with natural play zones, running paths, a skating trail, yoga area, a fire pit, a food market and café, and an interactive water feature. ‘An Expressive Mulock’ proposes The Culture Hub, with performance spaces, art installations, a sculpture garden, and artist residencies striving to inspire creativity. ‘A Peaceful Mulock’ features The Arboretum, which would include a conservatory and recreational trails meandering through woods and gardens.

“The three concepts highlight the different things that we heard, but ultimately, we don’t want to pit those different concepts against each other,” PROCESS principal Sara Udow told NRU. “There are opportunities to incorporate aspects of each of the concepts onto the site.”

At a harvest picnic in October 2019, nearly 1,000 members of the Newmarket community were welcomed onto the Mulock grounds to explore the heritage home and the surrounding green space. Udow told NRU the picnic was just one piece of the comprehensive engagement work that has been carried out to date.

“Our work is collaborative, inclusive and people-centred. We really try to understand the diversity of perspectives and experiences and how those can be incorporated into the plan,” Udow told NRU. “A key part of our approach to engagement is education to ensure we have a mutual understanding.”

In January and February 2020, the town of Newmarket conducted focus groups, pop-up engagement activities at town events, school workshops, an
online survey, and a community visioning workshop. The phase one engagement report was presented to city council in May 2020.

“We’ve never worked with the Town of Newmarket before, and I think that their attention and desire to connect to the public is exemplary,” PLANT Architect founding partner Lisa Rapoport told NRU. “We’ve never seen anything like this before, so that’s fantastic.”

A separate consultation held virtually in July with neighbours of the property examined possible design solutions to address local concerns. “We had a special meeting just with the neighbourhood to talk about possible traffic calming measures, how they felt about certain kind of uses being adjacent to their property, noise and lighting at night, parking alternatives, all of those kinds of issues,” Rapoport told NRU.

Under Newmarket’s Urban Centres Secondary Plan—which will guide development on the Yonge Street and Davis Drive corridors for the next 25 years—the majority of the Mulock Property is designated as parks and open space.

“The Urban Centres Secondary Plan is going to see increased density, particularly at the corner of Mulock and Yonge,” Mayor Taylor told NRU. “Having this large, significant park space will provide so much support for people who will eventually move into this area.”

Taylor said a multi-use path along the hydro corridor to the north and a shuttle service on Yonge would connect the park to Ray Twinney Recreation Complex, located approximately one kilometre northwest of the Mulock Property. “We’re really trying to make sure [the park] integrates into the existing communities and also plans for future residents.”

Continued feedback from the community will be used to identify priority elements from each plan, which can be integrated into one final concept. “The public consultations at this point are going to help us understand the level of interest or excitement, or lack thereof, related to some of the more key elements of the eventual final design,” Taylor told NRU.

“I am so proud of our community for their ongoing engagement in the landmark Mulock property,” Newmarket ward six councillor Kelly Broome told NRU. “Thousands of ideas have been shared and all voices have been heard. This has truly been a collaborative effort and we will all share an enormous sense of pride when our vision becomes a reality.”

The preferred concept will be presented during a Newmarket committee of the whole meeting in November or December. The initial master plan will be presented to council in early 2021, with approval expected in the spring.

“A large part of the way we do our work and the process for this is to keep people engaged for the remainder of the masterplan and to build long-term capacity and stewardship to realize the plan,” Udow told NRU. “If people are involved throughout the process, they’ll want to stay involved, have their voices heard, and they’ll also be excited to be part of a plan built for them and by them.”

Map showing proposed amenities of the ‘Energized Mulock: Village Green’ design concept presented to the Town of Newmarket. The concept was one of three that emerged from public consultations on preferred uses for the site. It includes a food market and café, two large lawns, skating and walking trails, and an interactive water feature.

SOURCE: TOWN OF NEWMARKET
ARCHITECT: PLANT ARCHITECT

The ‘Expressive Mulock: Culture Hub’ design concept presented to the Town of Newmarket. This concept includes performance spaces, art installations, walking trails, and sculpture gardens.

SOURCE: TOWN OF NEWMARKET
ARCHITECT: PLANT ARCHITECT

The ‘Peaceful Mulock: Arboretum’ design concept presented to the Town of Newmarket late last month. This concept features hiking and skating trails, two lawns, a conservatory, and a terraced garden.

SOURCE: TOWN OF NEWMARKET
ARCHITECT: PLANT ARCHITECT
in place allowing employment growth along 400-series highways in the Greenbelt, dating back to 2004, but so far, the province has not been willing to consider the requests. Richmond Hill, Whitchurch-Stouffville, East Gwillimbury, and King have also made their own individual requests to remove lands from the Greenbelt between 2013 and 2019.

“At this time, we have not received any formal requests on the matter from York Region,” Municipal Affairs and Housing Ministry media relations and correspondence issues manager Richard Stromberg told NRU. “The government remains fully committed to protecting the Greenbelt, and as the Minister has made clear—we are not considering any proposals to remove lands from the Greenbelt. We look forward to continuing to work with York Region to accelerate opportunities that create jobs and housing, outside the Greenbelt and the Oak Ridges Moraine. Together, we will get Ontario back on track.”

Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville mayor Iain Lovatt told NRU that it is necessary to allow employment growth on lands at key intersections along the 400-series highways in order to take advantage of the access to major highways and to allow municipalities to grow and diversify their tax base. He says permitting employment growth to occur at the three interchanges in the town—Stouffville Road, Bloomington Road, and Davis Drive—could bring as many as 26,000 jobs and as much as $62-million in tax revenue for the town. Lovatt says this revenue is vital to upgrading aging infrastructure and building new community amenities. He adds that he only wants to see environmentally sustainable industrial and employment development in the Greenbelt, not the urban sprawl that usually comes in the form of low-density residential developments.

“This is not a free-for-all in the Greenbelt,” says Lovatt. “The three areas that we’ve identified along the 404 are what we call provincially-stranded assets. A billion dollars of infrastructure has been put in by the province, and we’re not maximizing the value that’s there. And we should be. And it’s a mistake to ignore these lands.”

There is significant concern about the proposal and what the implications of allowing and development in the greenbelt could be. Greenbelt Council chair David Crombie told NRU that if any requests to remove lands from the Greenbelt are approved, it would create a precedent allowing more municipalities in the future to remove other lands from the Greenbelt as well.

“We’ve always been pretty steadfast as a province that the Greenbelt is the Greenbelt, and once you start moving things around, there are people who hold land and can make money who will look for ways in which they [can make money],” says Crombie. “I’m sure that [municipalities] have their own economic development plan. I think they should be doing it without having to take land out of the Greenbelt.”

Environmental Defence executive director Tim Gray told NRU that he shares Crombie’s concerns with York Region’s proposal. He says the Greenbelt has been the only effective way to stop urban sprawl in the GTHA, and that any proposal to remove lands from it, even targeted strategic lands, would weaken the legislation and allow for more lands to be developed in the future. He adds that most York Region municipalities have a large supply of employment land available to them and that there is no guarantee the lands removed from the Greenbelt would remain as an employment uses, and they could easily be redesignated for residential uses.

“We spent the years from the...
Second World War until about the mid-2000s just gobbling up farmland like crazy and building highways everywhere, building low-density subdivisions, and we see the results of it in terms of sprawl, impacts on competitiveness, impacts on people’s quality of life, impacts on rising property tax costs and overtaxed municipalities—the long list of things that are associated with poorly planned development,” says Gray. “Since the Greenbelt came in, we haven’t lost a single hectare of farmland… [in] the rest of Southern Ontario, we’re still losing farmland like crazy.”

Lovatt says that most employment growth is in York Region’s southern municipalities, like Vaughan and Markham, and municipalities with a significant amount of Greenbelt lands are unable to share in the prosperity that brings. He says that without growing the municipality’s tax base, which is almost exclusively residential, there will be no way to finance the infrastructure upgrades Whitchurch-Stouffville needs and provide needed amenities like community centres for local residents. He adds that regional funding for infrastructure would be the only other way the town could undertake major infrastructure projects, and calls a request for such funding a “non-starter”, noting that there is not support for it in council.

“You’ve got a 400-series, 10-lane highway at interchanges with four other lanes. It’s already disruptive of the Greenbelt,” King Township mayor Steve Pellegrini told NRU. “You’re not going to do too much environmental damage when it’s right up against something that’s already provided the corridor through the gateway there.”

Pellegrini says he has spoken with several landowners who own property abutting various parts of the Greenbelt who would be willing to swap their lands with the province in exchange for sites along the strategic interchanges to which growth would be directed. He says the land they currently own would then be added to the Greenbelt, meaning that strategic development could result in a larger Greenbelt overall.

“Here’s an opportunity for a win-win for everybody,” says Pellegrini. “And all we’re trying to do is utilize the billion dollars of investment that the province has put in, in terms of increasing the 400-series highways everywhere. So, go ahead. It’s not a broad brushstroke to say we can do whatever we want.”
# HAMILTON & BARRIE PLANNING CONTACTS

## Hamilton

**Telephone:** 905-546-2424

**DIRECTOR’S OFFICE**
Steve Robichaud, Director & Chief Planner, ext. 4281
Marie Ouk, Administrative Assistant, ext. 4134

Development Planning, Heritage & Design
Anita Fabac, Manager, ext. 1258
Lorrie Bowman, Administrative Assistant, ext. 6673

**URBAN PLANNING**
Shannon McKie, Senior Project Manager, ext. 1288
Andrea Dear, Senior Planner, ext. 7856
Mark Kehler, Planner I, ext. 4148
Daniel Barnett, Planner II, ext. 4445
Sean Stewart, Planner II, ext. 7163
Jennifer Allen, Planning Technician, ext. 4672
David Addington, Cultural Heritage Planner, ext. 1214
Ivacant!, Urban Designer, ext. 1393
Victoria Cox, UD - DRP Coordinator, ext. 6364
Ivacant!, Parking Technologist, ext. 1262

**SUBURBAN PLANNING**
Yvette Rybensky, Senior Project Manager, ext. 5134
Tim Vrooman, Senior Planner, ext. 5277
James Van Rooi, Planner I, ext. 4283
Mark Andrews, ext. 1384
Melanie Schneider, Planner II, ext. 1224
Michael Fiorino, Planner II, ext. 4424
Emily Bent, Planner II, ext. 2266
Ivacant!, Planning Technician, ext. 2694
Sara Rogers, Planning Technician, ext. 2694
Melissa Kiddie, Natural Heritage Planner, ext. 1290
Miranda Brunton, Cultural Heritage Planner, ext. 1202
Ana Crucero, Urban Designer, ext. 5707

**RURAL PLANNING**
June Christy, Senior Project Manager, ext. 5863
Michael Davis, Senior Planner, ext. 1024
Ivacant!, Planner I, ext. 7163
Alaina Baldassarra, Planner II, ext. 7421
Elyse Meneray, Planner II, ext. 6360
Velimir Lazarevic, Planning Technician, ext. 5865
Cathy Plosz, Natural Heritage Planner, ext. 1231

**BUSINESS FACILITATION**
Joe Gravina, Coordinator, ext. 1284
Shannah Evans, Business Facilitator, ext. 1928
Jennifer Haan, Business Facilitator, ext. 1230
Johanna Black, Business Facilitator, ext. 1324
Kathy Jazvac, Business Facilitator, ext. 1463
Maria Viveiros, Dev. App. Intake Coordinator, ext. 1293
Cathy Walsh, Development Clerk - 5th Floor, ext. 2799
Amanda Brazeau, Development Clerk - 5th Floor, ext. 1355

Linda Kelly, 5th Floor Receptionist/Clerk, ext. 4498

**COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT**
Jamila Sheffield, Secretary Treasurer, ext. 3935
Martha Mignano, Assist. Sec-Treas., ext. 4130
Morgan Evans, Development Clerk, ext. 4221

**Community Planning, GIS Planning & Cartographic Services**
MANAGER’S OFFICE
Christine Newbold, Manager, ext. 1279
Lorrie Bowman, Admin. Sec., ext. 6673

**COMMUNITY PLANNING & COMMUNITY INITIATIVES**
Alissa Mahood, Senior Project Manager, ext. 1250
Mark Hefferton, Senior Planner, ext. 1251
Melanie Pham, Senior Planner, ext. 6685
Robert Clackett, Planner I, ext. 1274
Jennifer Roth, Planner I, ext. 2058
Tiffany Singh, Planner I, ext. 1221
Justine Waai (Planning Technician II), ext. 1501
Marion Penetrante, Planning Technician, ext. 1252

**GIS PLANNING**
Angela Monaco, Sr. Info. Sys. Planner, ext. 1222
Richard Paola, Info. Sys. Planner, ext. 1277
David Cook, GIS Technician, ext. 1272
Gregory Sergeant, GIS 3D Specialist, ext. 1263
Simone Narancic (Cartographic & Graphics Tech.), ext. 4325

**CARTOGRAPHIC SERVICES**
Nada Belair, Sr. Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1232
Adam Lobodici, Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1257
Vic Sidhu, Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1278

Policy Planning & Zoning By-law Reform
MANAGER’S OFFICE
Joanne Hickey-Evans, Manager, ext. 1282
Joyce Shurr, Admin., ext. 1212
Heather Travis, SPM, Gr. Mgmt. Strategies, ext. 4168

**ZONING BY-LAW REFORM**
Tim Lee, Senior Planner, ext. 1249
Alana Fulford, Planner I, ext. 4771
Madeleine Giroux, Planner I, ext. 2664
Lucas Mascotto-Carbone, Planner II, ext. 7582
Ivacant!, Planner Technician II

**POLICY PLANNING**
Lauren Vraets, Planner I, ext. 1234
Ivacant!, Planner I, ext. 2634
Delia McPhail, Planner I, ext. 6663
Ivacant!, Co-op Student, ext. 1221
Eric Yemen, GIS Planning Technician, ext. 5802

**staff direct line:** 705-726-4220

### GREATER TORONTO & HAMILTON AREA

- **Barrie**

  Development Services (Customer Service Contact Centre): 705-726-4242
  Staff direct line: 705-739-4220

  Michelle Banfield, Director of Development Services, ext. 5466
  Tiffany Thompson, Manager, Growth & Development, ext. 5485
  Kathy Suggitt, Manager, Strategic Initiatives, Policy and Analysis, ext. 5268
  Jordan Lambie, Senior Urban Design Planner, ext. 4342
  Logan Lufiermans, Planner, ext. 4447
  Carlissa McLaren, Supervisor of Planning, ext. 4719
  Andrew Gameiro, Planner, ext. 5038
  Celeste Kitsemey, Senior Planner, ext. 4430
  Dana Suddaby, Planner, ext. 4473
  Olga Sanchez, Planning Services Technical Coordinator, ext. 4380
  Shelby White, Planner, ext. 5446
  Jen Porter, Urban Design Planner, ext. 4353
  Madelaine Snow, Planner (Zoning), ext. 4378
  Tomasz Wierzb, Planner, ext. 4403
  Janice Sadgrove, Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, ext. 4577

### Committee of Adjustment

- **Linda Kelly,** 5th Floor Receptionist/Clerk, ext. 4498
- **Jamila Sheffield,** Secretary Treasurer, ext. 3935
- **Martha Mignano,** Assist. Sec-Treas., ext. 4130
- **Morgan Evans,** Development Clerk, ext. 4221
- **Community Planning, GIS Planning & Cartographic Services**
  - **MANAGER’S OFFICE**
    - **Christine Newbold,** Manager, ext. 1279
    - **Lorrie Bowman,** Admin. Sec., ext. 6673
- **COMMUNITY PLANNING & COMMUNITY INITIATIVES**
  - **Alissa Mahood,** Senior Project Manager, ext. 1250
  - **Mark Hefferton,** Senior Planner, ext. 1251
  - **Melanie Pham,** Senior Planner, ext. 6685
  - **Robert Clackett,** Planner I, ext. 1274
  - **Jennifer Roth,** Planner I, ext. 2058
  - **Tiffany Singh,** Planner I, ext. 1221
  - **Justine Waai** (Planning Technician II), ext. 1501
  - **Marion Penetrante,** Planning Technician, ext. 1252
- **GIS PLANNING**
  - **Angela Monaco,** Sr. Info. Sys. Planner, ext. 1222
  - **Richard Paola,** Info. Sys. Planner, ext. 1277
  - **David Cook,** GIS Technician, ext. 1272
  - **Gregory Sergeant,** GIS 3D Specialist, ext. 1263
  - **Simone Narancic** (Cartographic & Graphics Tech.), ext. 4325
- **CARTOGRAPHIC SERVICES**
  - **Nada Belair,** Sr. Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1232
  - **Adam Lobodici,** Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1257
  - **Vic Sidhu,** Cartographic & Graphics Tech., ext. 1278
- **Policy Planning & Zoning By-law Reform**
  - **MANAGER’S OFFICE**
    - **Joanne Hickey-Evans,** Manager, ext. 1282
    - **Joyce Shurr,** Admin., ext. 1212
    - **Heather Travis,** SPM, Gr. Mgmt. Strategies, ext. 4168
- **ZONING BY-LAW REFORM**
  - **Tim Lee,** Senior Planner, ext. 1249
  - **Alana Fulford,** Planner I, ext. 4771
  - **Madeleine Giroux,** Planner I, ext. 2664
  - **Lucas Mascotto-Carbone,** Planner II, ext. 7582
  - **Ivacant!, Planner Technician II**
- **POLICY PLANNING**
  - **Lauren Vraets,** Planner I, ext. 1234
  - **Ivacant!, Planner I,** ext. 2634
  - **Delia McPhail,** Planner I, ext. 6663
  - **Ivacant!, Co-op Student,** ext. 1221
  - **Eric Yemen,** GIS Planning Technician, ext. 5802

---

**NOVÆ RES URBIS GREATER TORONTO & HAMILTON AREA**

**Wednesday, October 14, 2020**
Jefferson semi-detached dwellings proposed

At Richmond Hill Council’s October 7 public meeting, a preliminary staff report regarding a rezoning application by Zonix Group (Harris) Inc. for 229 Harris Avenue was presented. Zonix proposes to construct six semi-detached dwellings on lots having frontages of 15.67 metres and lot areas of 902 m².

Bayview-Bloomington mid-rise development proposed

At its October 13 meeting, Markham Development Services Committee considered a preliminary report regarding official plan and zoning by-law amendment applications by Springhill Homes Inc. for 7128, 7170 and 7186 Highway 7 East. Springhill proposes to build four residential towers having heights of 18, 18, 24 and 24 storeys, containing a total of 977 dwelling units. The existing heritage-designated Francis Pike House will be retained on-site where it will be used as a day care.

Locust Hill towers proposed

At its October 13 meeting, Markham Development Services Committee considered a preliminary report regarding a rezoning application by 30 Heritage Development Limited Partnership for 30 Heritage Road. The applicant proposes a six-storey 12,654 m² commercial self-storage facility.
SETTLEMENT APPROVED FOR VAUGHAN OP APPEALS

In an October 5 decision, LPAT member Scott Tousaw allowed appeals, in part, by MCN (Pine Valley) Inc. and Block 42 Landowners Group Inc. against the 2010 Vaughan Official Plan (VOP).

The appeals of both MCN (Pine Valley) and Block 42 Landowners Group concerned the manner in which the VOP designates and applies policies for natural heritage areas. Both appeals were settled without the need to hold a contested hearing.

MCN (Pine Valley) owns approximately 60 hectares of land within the northwest part of the area of Vaughan known as Block 42—a 500-hectare area at Vaughan’s northern boundary that is presently largely designated for agricultural uses, but may be considered for future urban development pending further study by York Region.

MCN’s settlement modifies Schedule 2 of the VOP (Natural Heritage Network) to remove certain “Core Feature” and “Enhancement Area” designations on the lands, and adds a policy recognizing that a small wetland at the southwest part of the property can be studied in greater detail if and when a development application comes forward for the site.

Planner Michael Bissett (Bousfields), ecologist Bradley Baker (Birks Natural Heritage Consultants) and agrologist Paul Neals (Orion Environmental Solutions) provided evidence on behalf of MCN, in support of their settlement.

Block 42 Landowners Group also owns lands in the Block 42 area. Its settlement with the City modifies Schedule 2 of the VOP to clarify that any natural features on its lands will be determined at the time of future development, and includes policies that provincially-significant wetlands will be surrounded by a 30-metre protection zone, and other wetlands will be surrounded by a 10-metre protection zone.

Planner Ryan Mino-Leahan (KLM Planning Partners) and ecologist Brian Henshaw (Beacon Environmental) provided evidence in support of the Block 42 Landowners Group settlement.

The Tribunal was satisfied by the uncontested evidence of the expert witnesses and agreed that the proposed amendments to the VOP satisfy the intent of relevant planning and environmental policies at the provincial, regional and local levels.

Solicitors involved in this decision were Steve Ferri and Mandy Ng (Loopstra Nixon) representing MCN (Pine Valley) Inc., Michael Melling and Andy Margaritis (Davies Howe) representing Block 42 Landowners Group Inc., Effie Lidakis representing the City of Vaughan and Tim Duncan (Gardiner Roberts) representing Toronto & Region Conservation Authority. [See LPAT Case No. PL111184.]

DECISION ISSUED IN BOLTON SETTLEMENT AREA EXPANSION APPEALS

In an October 7 decision, LPAT member David Brown dispensed with a number of motions in connection with appeals of Peel Region’s adoption of Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 30 (ROPA 30), which expands the urban settlement boundary of the Bolton Rural Service Centre in the Town of Caledon.

Appellants Tesmar Holdings Inc., Nutristock Corp., Sarno Holdings Corp. and BoltCol South Holdings Inc. brought a motion seeking inclusion of a 34.2-hectare

CONTINUED PAGE
site—described within the
case of the appeals as the
“Triangle Lands”—within the
urban settlement boundary for
the purpose of employment
uses.

The Triangle Lands
appellants proffered expert
evidence that the lands can
accommodate approximately
1,026 new jobs, contributing
to the Region’s employment
 targets under the
Growth
Plan. Counsel for the Triangle
Lands appellants advised that
the lands have always been
contemplated for employment
uses, that the lands are no
longer required to be frozen
pending completion of the
planning process for the
GTA West Highway, and that
restrictive covenants will
be applied preventing any
residential uses on the Triangle
Lands, thus ensuring they are
developed for employment
uses.
The Tribunal allowed the
Triangle Lands appellants’
motion and allowed their
appeals, in part, approving
ROPA 30 specifically as it
applies to the Triangle Lands.

Appellant Zancor Homes
(Bolton) Ltd. brought a
motion requesting the Tribunal
modify and approve ROPA
30 to allow the expansion
of the Bolton Settlement
Area boundary to include its
10.08-hectare property located
at the intersection of Glasgow
Road and Chickadee Lane.

Zancor intends to develop
its lands for residential uses
and has submitted separate
planning applications to the
Town of Caledon. A portion
of its lands are located in
the Greenbelt, although the
Tribunal heard evidence from
Zancor’s expert witnesses that
the lands are situated at the
fringe of the rural and urban
area, are not in agricultural
use, and can be easily serviced
by existing infrastructure in
the area.

The Tribunal expressed
reservations about Zancor’s
motion seeking expansion of
the settlement area to permit
residential uses, finding
that it is “not able to assess
what impact the requested
expansion may have [pending]
a full hearing of the merits
of [ROPA 30].” The Tribunal
denied Zancor’s motion.

Solicitors involved in
this decision were
Stephen D’Agostino
(Thomson
Rogers) and
R. Arti Sanichara
representing Peel Region,
Chris Barnett and Alyssa
Clutterbuck (Osler, Hoskin
& Harcourt) representing
the Town of Caledon,
Janice Page and Anna-
Lea Beamish representing
Ministry of Municipal
Affairs & Housing, Quinto
Annibale and Brendan
Ruddick (Loopstra Nixon)
representing Bolton North
Hill Landowners Group Inc.,
Patrick Harrington (Aird &
Berlis) representing Bolton
Option 3 Landowners Group,
Hannah Bahmanpour (Davis
Webb) representing Bolton
Residential Option 4 and
5 Group Inc., Gordon Dennis,
Gold Humber Station Inc.,
Flato Developments Inc.
and 2357104 Ontario Inc.,
Andrew Biggart (Ritchie
Ketcheson Hart & Biggart)
representing Zancor Homes
(Bolton) Ltd., J. Pitman
Patterson, Piper Morley
and Lee English (Borden
Ladner Gervais) representing
BoltCol South Holdings
Inc. and Michael Melling
and Jamie Cole (Davies
Howe) representing Humber
Station Villages Landowners
Group Inc., Sarno Holdings
Corp., Longbrook Holdings
Inc., 2440981 Ontario
Inc., Ballantry (Bolton 2)
Inc., Ballantry (Bolton)
Inc., Tesmar Holdings Inc.,
Nutristock Corp., Equity
Inc., Roger Simone and Ben
Chlandry. [See LPAT Case No.
PL170058.]

PEOPLE
Peter Smith has
been elected
Residential and Civil
Construction Alliance
of Ontario (RCCAO)
chair. He is also
Heavy Construction
Association of
Toronto executive
director. Smith
replaces outgoing
RCCAO chair Phil
Rubinoff.

The Architectural
Conservancy
of Ontario has
announced the
winners of its
heritage awards. City
of Hamilton heritage
planning specialist
Alissa Golden has
been awarded the
Carlos Ventin Award
for Municipal Heritage
Leadership for her
work in streamlining
and improving
the city’s heritage
conservation abilities.
Kenneth Stroud, President of gsi Real Estate & Planning Advisors Inc., is pleased to announce that Ian Tilley, Peter Rusin and Catherine Spears have been made partners – “Each individual brings a unique blend of background, education and training to our firm, and I am delighted to have Ian, Peter and Catherine add theirs to the partnership team”.

Ian Tilley  AACI, P. App., PLE

Ian Tilley is an accredited real estate appraiser (AACI, P.App.) and Professional Land Economist, having worked in the appraisal field for more than 18 years. His practice focuses on the preparation of appraisal reports for matters related to expropriation and litigation, and he has appeared as an expert witness at the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal and the Superior Court of Ontario. Ian has performed a wide range of real estate valuation assignments for both the private and public sectors, and has appraised a multitude of property types. He is a long-serving member of the Ontario Expropriation Association’s Board of Directors and is a past president.

Peter Rusin  AACI, P. App

Specializing in real property consulting, advisory, appraisal, and property acquisition and negotiation services for all public sector levels of government, government agencies, and private sector clients, Peter Rusin has served a diverse clientele for more than 30 years. He is currently actively engaged in a variety of transportation infrastructure initiatives across the GTHA, including land assemblies and property acquisitions and dispositions. He also provides a wide range of expropriation services including appraisal, amicable settlements, and litigation support. He was also a past member of the Board of Negotiation chairing land compensation disputes.

Catherine Spears  MCIP, RPP

Catherine Spears, MCIP, RPP has over 37 years experience in land use planning, land development, expropriation and project management. She has appeared before the LPAT and TLAB, Superior Court of Canada and Arbitration, Board of Negotiation and Assessment Review Board hearings, and specializes in Highest and Best Use analyses, planning justification reports, expropriation reply reports, and all facets of land development approvals.

About gsi Real Estate & Planning Advisors Inc.

gsi Real Estate & Planning Advisors Inc., with over 10 professionals, has been providing real estate counselling for more than 25 years. Our boutique valuation firm is recognized for its excellence in real estate appraisal, litigation support and dispute resolution services, and we have a proven track record in the preparation of appraisal and valuation reports in support of matters before the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal.