Monday, March 7, 2016

Members Present:  
Regional Councillor E. Moore – Wards 1 and 5 (Chair)  
Regional Councillor G. Gibson – Wards 1 and 5  
Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6  
Regional Councillor G. Miles – Wards 7 and 8  
Regional Councillor J. Sprovieri – Wards 9 and 10  
(Vice-Chair, Engineering and Construction)  
City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6  
City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4  
City Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8  
(Vice-Chair, Planning)  
City Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10  
(Vice-Chair, Maintenance and Operations)

Members Absent:  
Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4 (vacation)

Staff Present:  
Planning and Infrastructure Services Staff  
J. Pitushka, Acting Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer  
H. MacDonald, Acting Executive Director, Planning and Building  
M. Won, Executive Director, Engineering and Development  
A. Parsons, Manager, Development Services  
C. Duyvestyn, Manager, infrastructure Planning  
J. Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy  
J. Spencer, Manager, Parks and Facility Planning  

Corporate Services Staff  
P. Simmons, Chief Corporate Services Officer and Acting CAO  
J. Zingaro, Legal Counsel, Real Estate  
P. Fay, City Clerk  
E. Evans, Deputy City Clerk  
S. Danton, Legislative Coordinator
The meeting was called to order at 7:02 p.m., recessed at 9:02 p.m., reconvened at 9:18 p.m., recessed again at 10:46 p.m., reconvened again at 10:54 p.m., and adjourned at 11:20 p.m.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

P&IS047-2016 That the agenda for the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, be approved, as amended, as follows:

To add:

10.1 Discussion at the request of Mayor Jeffrey, re: *Senior Housing Work Plan*

Carried

The following was received by the City Clerk’s Office after the agenda was printed and related to published items on the Agenda. Committee approval was not required for addition of these items in accordance with Procedure By-law 160-2004, as amended:

Re: **Item 6.1** – Comments Received from the Open House and Agency Circulation of the May 2014 Land Use Concept Secondary Plan Areas 52 and 53 (Heritage Heights)

Delegation from:
- Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza (and correspondence dated March 7, 2016)

Re: **Item 6.3** – Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law – Royalcliff Developments – Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Ltd. – Northwest Corner of Sandalwood Parkway and Conestoga Drive

Delegations from:
- Michael Gagnon/Richard Domes, Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Ltd.
- Leo O’Brien, Brampton resident
- Kevin Montgomery, Co-Chair, Cycling Advisory Committee
- Ford Clancy, Brampton resident
- Douglas Herlovitch, Brampton resident
- Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza
- Gwyneth Hutchinson, Brampton resident
- Paul Hogg, Brampton resident
• Joe and Sherry Maugeri, Brampton residents
• John Boeltses, Brampton resident
• Josh Grant, Brampton resident
• Leslie Hodder, Brampton resident
• Miriam Vanderploeg, Brampton resident

Email Correspondence from the following Brampton residents:
• Rick and Marie Stewart, dated March 4, 2016
• Kathryn Hodsell, dated March 4, 2016
• Briana Simpson, dated March 4, 2016
• Pam McCullogh, dated March 4, 2016
• Patricia Hodgins, dated March 5, 2016
• Barbara and Mark Johnson, dated March 5, 2016
• Sherry Pfeffer, dated March 5, 2016
• William Horton, dated March 5, 2016
• Belita Pratt, dated March 6, 2016
• Angela Jones, dated March 6, 2016
• Cosimo Saragosa, dated March 6, 2016
• Joanna Saragosa, dated March 6, 2016
• Kim Dares, dated March 7, 2016
• Heidi Wilbur, dated March 7, 2016
• Ken Wilbur, dated March 7, 2016
• Gillian Carson, dated March 7, 2016
• Lesley McGregor, dated March 7, 2016
• Patricia Smith, dated March 7, 2016
• Henry Smith, dated March 7, 2016
• John and Pamela Soltesz, dated March 7, 2016
• Valerie Benson, dated March 7, 2016

Re: Item 6.4 – Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Orlando Corporation – Glen Schnarr & Associates – 8200 & 8204 Heritage Road

Delegations from:
• Murray Cook, Brampton resident
• Josie and Joseph Canning, Brampton residents

Re: Item 8.1 – Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Alternative Routes

Delegations from:
• Kevin Montgomery, Brampton resident
• Chris Drew, Co-founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton
The following was listed on the agenda for distribution prior to the meeting and was provided:


The following was provided at the request of the Chair:

- Recommendation P&IS287-2015 from the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of November 16, 2015, re: LRT

Note: later in the meeting on a two-thirds majority vote, Approval of the Agenda was reopened and the following delegations were added:

Re: Item 6.3 – Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law – Royalcliff Developments – Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Ltd. – Northwest Corner of Sandalwood Parkway and Conestoga Drive
- Ros Feldman, Brampton resident

Re: Item 6.4 – Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Orlando Corporation – Glen Schnarr & Associates – 8200 & 8204 Heritage Road
- Carl Brawley, Glen Schnarr & Associates

2. **Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act** - nil

3. **Consent**

* The following items listed with an asterisk (*) were considered to be routine and non-controversial by the Committee and were approved at one time.

(6.2, 6.5)

(Item 7.1 was removed from consent)
4. **Statutory Public Meeting Reports** - nil

5. **Delegations/Presentations** - nil

6. **Planning**  
   *(Vice-Chair, City Councillor Fortini)*

6.1. Report from Michelle Gervais, Policy Planner, re: **Comments Received from the Open House and Agency Circulation of the May 2014 Land Use Concept Secondary Plan Areas 52 & 53 (Heritage Heights) – Ward 6**  
   (File: P26RE - 52 & 53)

Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza, requested that the Heritage Heights planning process continue in conjunction with the adjacent lands under consideration for the GTA West Corridor by the Ministry of Transportation (MTO).

Committee consideration of the matter included a proposed amendment to the recommendations that directs staff to continue advancing the Secondary Plan for the Heritage Heights lands not directly related to the GTA West Corridor currently under consideration by the MTO.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS048-2016  
1. That the report from Michelle Gervais, Policy Planner, Planning Policy & Growth Management dated February 17, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: **Comments Received from the Open House and Agency Circulation of the May 2014 Land Use Concept, Secondary Plan Areas 52 & 53 (Heritage Heights)** (File: P26RE - 52 & 53) be received;

2. That the City of Brampton support Regional Council Resolution 2016-68 with respect to the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) West Corridor Environmental Assessment – Suspension of Study that authorizes the Regional Chair and Mayors of Brampton, Caledon and Mississauga to meet with the Ontario Minister of Transportation to discuss the importance of the GTA West Corridor to the Region of Peel and the importance of completing the EA;

3. That staff continue with advancing those aspects of the Secondary Plan for Heritage Heights not directly related to the GTA West Corridor Environmental Assessment including, but not limited to:
• City of Brampton Municipal Comprehensive Review on Employment Land Needs affecting the Heritage Heights Secondary Plan area;
• City of Brampton Office Strategy and its implication to land needs in the Heritage Heights Secondary Plan area;
• North West Brampton Shale Policy Review;
• Heritage Heights Secondary Plan Sub-watershed Study; and
• Heritage Heights Secondary Plan Cultural Heritage Study.

4. That staff be directed to report back to Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee with a course of action for advancing secondary planning in Heritage Heights once the Ministry of Transportation issues an update with respect to the GTA West Corridor Environmental Assessment; and,

5. That a copy of the report and Council Resolution be circulated to the Region of Peel, Region of Halton, Town of Halton Hills, Town of Caledon and the Ontario Ministry of Transportation, Central Region.

6. That the delegation and correspondence dated March 7, 2016, from Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Comments Received from the Open House and Agency Circulation of the May 2014 Land Use Concept, Secondary Plan Areas 52 & 53 (Heritage Heights) (File: P26RE - 52 & 53) be received.

Carried

6.2. * Report from Neal Grady, Development Planner, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – MMM Group Limited – Primont Homes (Mount Pleasant II) Inc. – North side of Sandalwood Parkway West, between Mississauga Road to the West and Huttonville Road to the east – Ward 6 (File C04W14.008)

The following motion was considered:

P&IS049-2016 1. That the report from Neal Grady, Development Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated February 10, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting
of March 7, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – MMM Group Limited – Primont Homes (Mount Pleasant II) Inc. – North side of Sandalwood Parkway West, between Mississauga Road to the West and Huttonville Road to the East be received; and,

2. That the application be approved in principle with respect to motor vehicle, townhouse and apartment purposes uses and staff be directed to prepare the appropriate amendments to the Zoning By-law.

3. That the Zoning By-law be amended generally as follows:

   3.1 by rezoning the lands at the north-east corner of Mississauga Road and Sandalwood Parkway West from “Highway Commercial One- Section 2295” to “Commercial Three- Section 2294” and by rezoning the lands at the north-west corner of Kent Road and Sandalwood Parkway West from “Commercial Three-Section 2294” to “Highway Commercial One- Section 2295”

   3.2 by adding to Section 2293.2 the following:
   (14) Parking shall be provided as follows:

   (i)  2.15 spaces per dwelling unit, inclusive of visitor parking

   3.3 by deleting Section 2295.1 (5) and replacing it with the following:

   (5) For the purposes of this by-law, Sandalwood Parkway West shall be deemed to be the front yard

   3.4 by deleting Section 2295.1 (6) (ii) and replacing it with the following:

   (ii) no landscaped open space area is required abutting an interior lot line.

   3.5 by adding to Section 2295.1 the following:

   (10) Minimum Lot Width – 30 metres

   (11) Minimum Number of Parking Spaces- 23
(12) Minimum Number of Stacking Spaces for a Drive-Through Facility and Car Wash Facility- 7

(13) A loading space shall be permitted within the exterior side yard

3.6 by deleting Section 2296.1 regarding the apartment use reservation period and replacing it with the following:

2296.1 Shall only be used for the purposes permitted in Section 2293.1 (7)

4. That staff be direct to report back to City Council with a separate report and Zoning By-law with respect to additional site-specific provisions for the apartment sites depicted as Blocks 3 and 4 on Map 1 of this report.

5. That the decision of approval of this application be null and void and a new development application be required, unless a zoning by-law is passed within 36 months of the decision, or within an extended time, to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer.

Carried


Planning consultants Michael Gagnon and Richard Domes, Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Ltd., and Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza, provided a brief overview of the subject matter including its history, current situation and next steps. Mr. Snider requested that staff be directed to advance the application in a timely manner.

Leo O’Brien, Brampton resident, requested that staff expedite the proposal.

Kevin Montgomery, Co-Chair, Cycling Advisory Committee, requested that appropriate pedestrian and cycling connections be provided and measures taken to mitigate automobile dependency in the area.

The following delegations spoke in favour of the development as proposed:

- Douglas Herlovich, Brampton resident
Committee consideration of the matter included expressions of support for the development as proposed and a suggestion to add a preamble to the recommendations stating Committee’s approval of the application.

Legal staff advised against Committee expressing a position on the application at this early stage in the proposal and prior to its consideration at a public meeting.

In response to a question from Committee, planning staff noted that due to legal requirements for circulation of meeting notices, the earliest a public meeting may be held on the subject matter is May 16, 2106.

A motion was introduced to amend the recommendations in the report to include direction to staff to schedule a public meeting on or before May 16, 2016, and prepare a final recommendation report for the proposal as submitted by June 15, 2016.

Committee clarified that the proposed motion will include the suggested preamble expressing Committee’s position prior to the recommendations.

A recorded vote was requested.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS050-2016 Whereas the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee supports in principle the development as proposed by the applicant, Therefore be it resolved that:

1. That the report from Gavin Bailey, Development Planner, Planning & Building Division, dated February 3, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Status Update Report: Royalcliff Developments Inc. – Northwest Corner of Sandalwood Parkway and Conestoga Drive – Ward # 2 (File: C01E14.026), be received; and
2. That Royalcliff Developments Inc. (File: C01E14.026) Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment application proposing 124 townhouses and 2 semi-detached units on public rights-of-way be scheduled for a statutory public meeting before Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee before May 16, 2016; and

3. That Planning & Building Division staff continue to work in good faith with Royalcliff Developments Inc. in processing of the above-noted development application as currently submitted; and

4. That a final recommendation report for the Royalcliff Developments Inc. Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment application, as currently submitted, be prepared for consideration by Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee before June 15, 2016.

5. That the following delegations to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Status Update Report: Royalcliff Developments Inc. – Northwest Corner of Sandalwood Parkway and Conestoga Drive – Ward # 2 (File: C01E14.026), be received:
   2. Leo O’Brien, Brampton resident
   3. Kevin Montgomery, Co-Chair, Cycling Advisory Committee
   4. Douglas Herlovitch, Brampton resident
   5. Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza
   6. Gwyneth Hutchinson, Brampton resident
   7. Paul Hogg, Brampton resident
   8. John Boeltzes, Brampton resident
   9. Josh Grant, Brampton resident
   10. Leslie Hodder, Brampton resident
   11. Miriam Vanderploueg, Brampton resident
   12. Ros Feldman, Brampton resident

6. That the following correspondence to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Status Update Report: Royalcliff Developments Inc. – Northwest Corner of Sandalwood Parkway and Conestoga Drive – Ward # 2 (File: C01E14.026), be received:
   1. Rick and Marie Stewart, dated March 4, 2016
2. Kathryn Hodsoll, dated March 4, 2016  
4. Pam McCullogh, dated March 4, 2016  
5. Patricia Hodgins, dated March 5, 2016  
6. Barbara and Mark Johnson, dated March 5, 2016  
7. Sherry Pfeffer, dated March 5, 2016  
8. William Horton, dated March 5, 2016  
10. Angela Jones, dated March 6, 2016  
11. Cosimo Saragosa, dated March 6, 2016  
12. Joanna Saragosa, dated March 6, 2016  
17. Lesley McGregor, dated March 7, 2016  
18. Patricia Smith, dated March 7, 2016  

A recorded vote was requested and the motion carried as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried  
8 Yeas  
2 Nays  
1 Absent

6.4. Report from Gavin Bailey, Development Planner, re: **Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Orlando Corporation – Glen Schnarr & Associates – 8200 & 8204 Heritage Road – Ward 2** (File C06W01.004)

Murray Cook, Brampton resident, stated that his driveway is directly across from the proposed access and requested that it be relocated to the southern
end of the subject lands. Mr. Cook provided photographs depicting his property in relation to the access.

Josephine Canning, Brampton resident, stated that she lives across from the proposed access and requested that it be relocated.

In response to questions from Committee, Carl Brawley, Glen Schnarr and Associates, provided details on the application and the current stage of development. Mr. Brawley noted that the applicant is willing to work with the concerned residents to come to an agreeable solution with respect to the proposed access.

Committee consideration of the matter included questions of clarification regarding the subject application and the adjacent property. Committee directed staff to work with the residents and applicant to reach an agreement regarding the proposed access.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS051-2016 1. That the report from Gavin Bailey, Development Planner, Planning & Building Division, dated February 3, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Orlando Corporation – Glen Schnarr & Associates – 8200 & 8204 Heritage Road – Ward 2 (File: C06W01.004), be received;

2. That the application be approved in principle and staff be directed to prepare the appropriate amendment to the Zoning By-law;

3. That the Zoning By-law be amended generally as follows:

3.1 To rezone the site from “Agricultural” to “Industrial Four – Section 2404 (M4 – 2404)” and “Floodplain (F)”.

4. That prior to the enactment of the Zoning By-law amendment, the applicant shall enter into a Rezoning Agreement with the City, which shall generally include the following:

4.1 Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit on the lands to be zoned “Industrial Four – Section 2404”, site plan approval shall be obtained, which shall include the submission of a site development plan, landscaping, grading and storm drainage, elevation and cross section drawings, fire protection plan, and engineering
and servicing plans;

4.2 The Owner shall pay all applicable Region, City and Educational Development Charges in accordance with the respective development charges by-law;

4.3 Prior to site plan approval, an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) shall be approved to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning & Infrastructure Services Officer in consultation with the Credit Valley Conservation Authority (CVC) and shall generally include the following:

i. A restoration plan for the Levi Creek valley corridor that demonstrates a net ecological benefit to the site’s natural heritage system and which mitigates the removal of 0.05 ha of tableland wetland to the satisfaction of the City and CVC.

4.4 Prior to site plan approval, the owner will submit Landscape Plans for the Levi Creek corridor that demonstrate a net ecological benefit to the site’s natural heritage system and which mitigates the removal of 0.05 ha of tableland wetland to the satisfaction of the City and Credit Valley Conservation authority;

4.5 Prior to site plan approval, a detailed Noise Mitigation Report shall be approved to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning & Infrastructure Services Officer that adequately addresses noise mitigation measures associated with the proposed industrial use and associated traffic on the adjacent residential uses located on the east side of Heritage Road;

4.6 The Owner acknowledges and agrees that no vehicular access shall be granted from the subject lands to Heritage Road;

4.7 Prior to site plan approval, this site and the abutting property to the south are to be merged to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning & Infrastructure Services Officer, or alternative arrangements are to be made for access easements over the abutting property to the south in favour of this site;
4.8 Prior to site plan approval, the owner will be required to gratuitously dedicate all valleylands zoned “Floodplain” and all associated environmental buffers free and clear of all encumbrances to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning & Infrastructure Services Officer;

4.9 Prior to site plan approval, the owner will be required to ensure that all matters related to the protection of endangered species within the Levi Creek Corridor are addressed to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning & Infrastructure Services Officer in consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources;

4.10 The Owner acknowledge and agrees to provide superior landscape vegetation, berming and noise wall along the frontage of Heritage Road to provide mitigating measures to limit visual and noise impacts on the residential dwellings on the east side of Heritage Road;

5. That prior to the enactment of the Zoning By-law amendment:

5.1 Lands for the purpose of road widening along Heritage Road including easements to satisfy the requirements of the Heritage Road Environmental Assessment Study shall be gratuitously dedicated to the City free and clear of all encumbrances to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer;

6. That a decision of approval for the subject application be considered null and void and a new development application be required, unless a Zoning By-law is passed within 36 months of the Council approval of this decision, or an extended period that is to the discretion of the Director of Development Services.

7. That the following delegations to the Planning and infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Orlando Corporation – Glen Schnarr & Associates – 8200 & 8204 Heritage Road – Ward 2 (File: C06W01.004) be received:

1. Murray Cook, Brampton resident
2. Josie and Joseph Canning, Brampton residents
3. Carl Brawley, Glen Schnarr & Associates

Carried


The following motion was considered:

P&IS052-2016 1. That the report from Neal Grady, Development Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated February 17, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Townwood Homes – NorthWest Brampton Developments Incorporated – part of Lot 15, Concession 4, W.H.S. – East side of Mississauga Road, North of Buick Boulevard – Ward 2 (File: C04W15.002) be received;

2. That the application be approved in principle and staff be directed to prepare the appropriate amendment to the Zoning By-law;

3. That the Zoning By-law be amended generally as follows:

3.1 Blocks 593, 601 and 716 within subdivision 21T-10012B shall be rezoned from “Residential Townhouse E-5.5-Section 2217” (R3E-Section 2217) to an appropriate townhouse zoning designation that permits street townhouses with the following provisions:

(1) Minimum Lot Area:
   Interior Lot: 122 square metres
   Corner Lot: 185 square metres
   End Lot: 150 square metres

(2) Minimum Lot Width:
   Interior Lot: 4.45 metres
   Corner Lot: 7.5 metres
   End Lot: 5.7 metres
3. the requirements and restrictions of Section 2217.2 (3) to Section 2217.2 (26); and,

4. That the decision of approval of this application be null and void and a new development application be required, unless a zoning by-law is passed within 36 months of the decision, or within an extended time, to the satisfaction of the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer.

Carried

7. Engineering and Construction
   (Vice-Chair, Regional Councillor Sprovieri)


In response to questions from Committee, staff confirmed that opportunities to intensify the tree canopy around play areas and installation of temporary shade structures will be investigated in future city park enhancements.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS053-2016 1. That the report dated February 04, 2016 from John Spencer, Manager, Parks & Facility Planning, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting of March 07, 2016, re: Shade Structure Locational Criteria and Implementation Protocol (File JB.c (SHA) COR.15), be received;

2. That Council approve the proposed Shade Structure Locational Criteria (Appendix B) and the associated Shade Structure Implementation Protocols (Appendix C);

3. That staff be directed to use the Locational Criteria for the evaluation of all future requests for additional shade structures in the City’s parks; and

4. That staff be directed to use the Implementation Protocols for all requests which are endorsed through the Locational Criteria

Carried
8. **Maintenance and Operations**  
 (*Vice-Chair, City Councillor Dhillon*)


Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton, stated that he is against the proposed tunnel under Main Street and requested Committee to state their preferred routes.

Andrew Degroot, Co-Founder, One Brampton, requested Committee to provide their preferred route to staff.

Chris Bejnar, Co-Chair, Citizens for a Better Brampton, expressed his opposition to the proposed tunnel and requested that Council direct staff to study alternate routes.

Doug Bryden, Co-Chair, Citizens for a Better Brampton, stated that alternate routes should be considered by staff and Council and receive public input.

Maureen Harper, Brampton resident, stated her opposition to the proposed Main Street tunnel and requested that Council direct staff to study alternate routes.

A motion was introduced to approve clauses 1-3 of the staff recommendations, amend clause 4, and delete clause 5 as follows:

1. That the report from Chris Duyvestyn, Manager, Infrastructure Planning, Planning & Infrastructure Services, and Janice Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning & Infrastructure Services, dated February 22, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: **Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes – Wards # 1 & 3** (File IA.A (16-3130-101)), be received.

2. That staff be directed to further study tunnel options for an extension of the LRT from the Gateway Terminal at Steeles Avenue to the Brampton GO station including;
   a. Option #1 – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with three underground stations at Nanwood, Wellington, and Brampton GO; and
b. Option #2 – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with a surface stop at Elgin and an underground station in Downtown Brampton.

3. That a budget amendment be approved and a new capital project be established in the amount of $2,500,000 for technical studies, preliminary design, public consultation, business case analysis, and Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) amendment to determine a preferred tunnel option, with funding of $2,500,000 transferred from the General Rate Stabilization Reserve.

4. That the City Clerk be requested to schedule and ensure appropriate public notice for a marketplace or Open House on April 18, 2016, at 7:00 p.m. and that the purpose of the April 18, 2016, marketplace will be:
   1. to allow the public input about the alternative alignments listed on page 8.1-10, on the staff report of February 22, 2016, Planning and Infrastructure Services, numbers 2 to 8 inclusive, not including the surface alignment north of Steeles Avenue which has been removed through Council Resolution C325-2015; and,
   2. to allow the public to propose potential new alignments for consideration;

Further, that all input and options be placed before the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee prior to June 30, 2016, for direction to staff on which route is to be pursued at Brampton’s Council endorsed LRT alignment north of Steeles Avenue from the Gateway Terminal.

A second motion was presented. The motion recommends approval of the staff recommendations as written with the addition of a sixth clause recommending the hiring of a consultant to study alternate routes 3, 4, and 5, as follows:

1. That the report from Chris Duyvestyn, Manager, Infrastructure Planning, Planning & Infrastructure Services, and Janice Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning & Infrastructure Services, dated February 22, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes – Wards # 1 & 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)), be received.
2. That staff be directed to further study tunnel options for an extension of the LRT from the Gateway Terminal at Steeles Avenue to the Brampton GO station including:
   a. Option #1 – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with three underground stations at Nanwood, Wellington, and Brampton GO; and
   b. Option #2 – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with a surface stop at Elgin and an underground station in Downtown Brampton.

3. That a budget amendment be approved and a new capital project be established in the amount of $2,500,000 for technical studies, preliminary design, public consultation, business case analysis, and Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) amendment to determine a preferred tunnel option, with funding of $2,500,000 transferred from the General Rate Stabilization Reserve.

4. That the City Clerk be requested to schedule a Special Meeting of the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee on Monday, April 18, 2016, at 7:00 p.m., in the Council Chambers, to consider the Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Alternative Routes; and

5. That the report be presented at the Special Meeting, and that appropriate public notice be provided to invite public participation and input, to enable Committee to make informed recommendations to City Council.

6 a). 1. That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 3 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016, with the purpose of the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

   2. That staff work with Toronto Region Conservation staff to come to an agreement on Route 3 – Etobicoke Creek Valley, and if an agreement cannot be reached, the matter be brought forward to the Toronto Region Conservation Board of Directors.

6 b). That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 4 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016, with the purpose of
the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

6 c). That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 5 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016, with the purpose of the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

Committee consideration of the matter included the following:

- Questions regarding logistics of the Main Street tunnel option
- Potential federal and provincial funding
- Capital costs of the proposed tunnel
- Costs of the consultant
- Timelines and estimated construction start-dates
- Comments regarding the merits of the alternate routes
- Procedural questions regarding the motion

In response to questions from Committee, staff noted that alternate routes may be studied and the pros and cons presented at a future meeting. Staff will not recommend an alternate route; Committee must decide which route to endorse after receiving the information.

Subsequently, amendments to the motions were made as follows:

- First motion: approval of clauses 1-3 as written in the report, withdrawal of amendment to clause 4 and deletion of clause 5
- Second motion: withdrawal of approval of clauses 1-3, approval of clauses 4 and 5 as written in the report, and addition of clause 6

The following motion was considered:

P&IS054-2016 1. That the report from Chris Duyvestyn, Manager, Infrastructure Planning, Planning & Infrastructure Services, and Janice Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning & Infrastructure Services, dated February 22, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes – Wards # 1 & 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)), be received.
2. That the following delegations to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: **Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes – Wards # 1 & 3** (File IA.A (16-3130-101)), be received:

   1. Chris Drew, Co-founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton
   2. Andrew Degroot, Co-founder, One Brampton
   3. Chris Bejnar, Co-Chair, Citizens for a Better Brampton
   4. Doug Bryden, Co-Chair, Citizens for a Better Brampton
   5. Maureen Harper, Brampton resident

3. That the correspondence from Lloyd Fournier, Brampton resident, dated March 7, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, re: **Budget Amendment and Recommendation Report: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes – Wards # 1 & 3** (File IA.A (16-3130-101)), be received.

   Carried

   A recorded vote was requested on each of the following recommendations.

   **P&IS055-2016** Lost

   That staff be directed to further study tunnel options for an extension of the LRT from the Gateway Terminal at Steeles Avenue to the Brampton GO station including:

   a. **Option #1** – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with three underground stations at Nanwood, Wellington, and Brampton GO; and

   b. **Option #2** – Tunnel on Main Street from north of Elgin Drive to the Brampton GO station with a surface stop at Elgin and an underground station in Downtown Brampton.

   A recorded vote was requested and the motion lost as follows:

   **Yea**
   - Gibson
   - Miles
   - Fortini
   - Bowman
   - Moore
   - Dhillon
   - Sprovieri

   **Nay**
   - Medeiros

   **Absent**
   - Gibson
   - Miles
   - Fortini
   - Bowman
   - Moore
   - Dhillon
   - Sprovieri
P&IS056-2016

That a budget amendment be approved and a new capital project be established in the amount of $2,500,000 for technical studies, preliminary design, public consultation, business case analysis, and Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) amendment to determine a preferred tunnel option, with funding of $2,500,000 transferred from the General Rate Stabilization Reserve.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion lost as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Fortini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Bowman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td>Palleschi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td>Whillans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lost
0 Yeas
10 Nays
1 Absent

P&IS057-2016

That the City Clerk be requested to schedule a Special Meeting of the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee on Monday, April 18, 2016, at 7:00 pm., in the Council Chambers, to consider the Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station - Alternative Routes.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion lost as follows:
Minutes
Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee

Yea  Nay  Absent
Gibson  Medeiros
Miles
Fortini
Bowman
Moore
Dhillon
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans
Jeffrey

Lost
0 Yeas
10 Nays
1 Absent

P&IS058-2016
That this report be presented at the Special Meeting, and that appropriate public notice be provided to invite public participation and input, to enable Committee to make informed recommendations to City Council.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion lost as follows:

Yea  Nay  Absent
Gibson  Medeiros
Miles
Fortini
Bowman
Moore
Dhillon
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans
Jeffrey

Lost
0 Yeas
10 Nays
1 Absent

P&IS059-2016
1. That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 3 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016,
with the purpose of the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

2. That staff work with Toronto Region Conservation staff to come to an agreement on Route 3 – Etobicoke Creek Valley, and if an agreement cannot be reached, the matter be brought forward to the Toronto Region Conservation Board of Directors.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion carried as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried
8 Yeas
2 Nays
1 Absent

P&IS060-2016 That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 4 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016, with the purpose of the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion carried as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Palleschi
Whillans

Carried
8 Yeas
2 Nays
1 Absent

P&IS061-2016
Lost

That staff immediately commence the procurement process to hire a qualified expert consultant to study route 5 as noted on page 8.1-10 of the staff report dated February 22, 2016, with the purpose of the study being to recommend to Council a route that will provide the most intensification opportunities in the central area and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion lost as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lost
4 Yeas
6 Nays
1 Absent

9. Minutes

9.1. Minutes – Brampton Heritage Board – February 16, 2016

P&IS062-2016

That the Minutes – Brampton Heritage Board – February 16, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, Recommendations HB011-2016 to HB019-2016, be approved as printed and circulated.

The recommendations were approved as follows:

HB011-2016

That the agenda for the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016 be approved as printed and circulated.
HB012-2016  That the following delegations from DeFilippis Design, Agents for the Property Owners, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: *Heritage Permit Application – 7534 Creditview Road – Ward 6,* be received:
1. Nick DeFilippis
2. Tejpal Kaloe

HB013-2016  1. That the report from Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated February 5, 2016 to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: *Heritage Permit Application – Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act for 7534 Creditview Road – Ward 6* (File HE.x), be received; and,
2. That the Heritage Permit Application for the property owner at 7534 Creditview Road for the construction of a new garage be approved subject to the following conditions:
   a) That the height of the garage be 23 feet or less to the peak of the roof;
   b) That the current driveway width be maintained and substantial vegetation, including large caliper trees, be incorporated in the front lawn on both the north and south sides of the driveway;
   c) That the overhang in front of the garage be 4 feet or less and not include pillars;
   d) That details regarding cladding, windows, doors and garage doors be submitted; and
   e) That the conditions be fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services.

HB014-2016  That the *Minutes of the Outreach and Marketing Sub-Committee Meeting of January 28, 2016,* to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, be received.

HB015-2016  1. That the report from Antonietta Minichillo, dated February 5, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: *Heritage Permit Application – 7611 Creditview Road – Ward 6* (File HE.x), be received; and,
2. That the Heritage Permit Application by the property owner of 7611 Creditview Road for the demolition of an existing detached garage with attached shed, and the construction of a new garage and storage area be approved subject to the following conditions:
   a) That elevations drawings be revised to include details regarding the eaves and fascia;
b) That material samples of the stucco be submitted;
c) That the final details regarding the garage door be submitted; and,
d) That the conditions be fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services.

HB016-2016 1. That the report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated February 8, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: Heritage Designation under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act – Cole Farmhouse – Ward 10 (HE.x), be received;
2. That designation of Cole Farmhouse under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act as a property of cultural heritage significance, be approved; and,
3. That staff be authorized to publish and serve the Notice of Intention to Designate in accordance with the requirements under the Ontario Heritage Act; and,
4. That, if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act, a by-law be passed to designation the subject property; and,
5. That, if there are any objections in accordance with the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act, staff be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Ontario Conservation Review Board; and,

HB017-2016 That the verbal update from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: Public Open House – Draft Main Street South Heritage Conservation District Plan – Ward 3, be received.

HB018-2016 That the verbal update from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of February 16, 2016, re: Loss of Heritage Resource to Fire – 6791 Mayfield Road – Ward 10, be received.

HB019-2016 That the Brampton Heritage Board do now adjourn to meet again on Tuesday, March 15, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

P&IS063-2016 That the Minutes – Cycling Advisory Committee – February 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of March 7, 2016, Recommendations CYC006-2016 to CYC010-2016, be approved as printed and circulated.

The recommendations were approved as follows:

CYC006-2016 That the agenda for the Cycling Advisory Committee Meeting of February 18, 2016, be approved, as printed and circulated.

CYC007-2016 That the presentation by N. Cadete, Project Manager, Active Transportation, Long Range Transportation Planning, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to the Cycling Advisory Committee Meeting of February 18, 2016, re: Active Transportation Plan – Terms of Reference (File BC.x) be received.

CYC008-2016 1. That the Minutes – Programs Subcommittee to the Cycling Advisory Committee Meeting of February 18, 2016, be received;

2. That Lisa Stokes, Member, make an announcement regarding the purpose and promotion of the 2016 Community Ride schedule at the March 9, 2016, Council meeting.

CYC009-2016 That the following Committee Members be selected to attend the Ontario Bike Summit:

1. Alina Grzejszczak
2. Lisa Stokes
3. Dayle Laing (first alternate)
4. Pauline Thornham (second alternate)

CYC010-2016 That the Cycling Advisory Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Thursday, March 17, 2016, at 7:00 p.m.

Carried

10. Other/New Business/Unfinished Business

10.1 Discussion at the request of Mayor Jeffrey, re: Senior Housing Work Plan

Mayor Jeffrey proposed a motion that directs staff to report back to Committee on a work plan that designates specific areas within the built-up areas of the City for seniors housing that is adjacent to existing health care providers, public services, transit, and places of worship.
The following motion was considered:

P&IS064-2016  That Planning and Building staff be directed to report back to Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee on a work program to investigate the potential to designate specific areas within the built-up areas of the City for seniors housing that is adjacent to existing health care providers, public services, transit, and places of worship.

Carried

11. **Referred Matters** - nil

12. **Deferred Matters** - nil

13. **Notice of Motion** - nil

14. **Correspondence** - nil

15. **Councillors Question Period** - nil

16. **Public Question Period**

   In response to questions from three members of the public, staff provided information on fees and estimated budget costs, timelines, and ridership with respect to Light Rail Transit.

17. **Closed Session**

18. **Adjournment**

P&IS065-2016  That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Monday, April 4, 2016, at 7 p.m.

Carried