Wednesday, November 02, 2016
3:00 p.m. – Regular Meeting

Council Chambers – 4th Floor – City Hall

**Members:**
- Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4 *(Chair)*
- Regional Councillor G. Gibson – Wards 1 and 5
- Regional Councillor E. Moore – Wards 1 and 5
- Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6
- Regional Councillor G. Miles – Wards 7 and 8 *(Vice-Chair, Corporate and Financial Affairs)*
- Regional Councillor J. Sprovieri – Wards 9 and 10 *(Vice-Chair, By-law Enforcement)*
- City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6
- City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4
- City Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8
- City Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10

For inquiries about this Agenda, or to make arrangements for accessibility accommodations for persons attending (some advance notice may be required), please contact:

Sonya Pacheco, Legislative Coordinator
Phone (905) 874-2178, TTY (905) 874-2130, cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca

Note: Some meeting information may also be available in alternate formats, upon request.
Note: Please ensure all cell phones, mobile and other electronic devices are turned off or placed on non-audible mode during the meeting. Council Members are prohibited from sending text messages, e-mails and other electronic messaging during the meeting.

1. Approval of Agenda

2. Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

3. Consent

   * The following items listed with an asterisk (*) are considered to be routine and non-controversial by the Committee and will be approved at this time. There will be no separate discussion of any of these items unless a Committee Member requests it, in which case the item will not be consented to and will be considered in the normal sequence of the agenda.

   (8.1, 9.1)

4. Announcements

5. Delegations

6. Staff Presentations

7. By-law Enforcement

8. Corporate and Financial Affairs


   Recommendation
9. Minutes


To be approved

10. Other/New Business

11. Referred Matters

Note: In accordance with the Procedure By-law, the Referred Matters List will be published quarterly on a meeting agenda for Committee’s reference and consideration. A copy of the current Referred Matters List for this Committee is publicly available on the City’s website.

12. Deferred Matters

13. Notices of Motion

14. Correspondence

15. Regional Council Business

16. Councillors Question Period

17. Public Question Period

15 Minute Limit (regarding any decision made at this meeting)

18. Closed Session

19. Adjournment

Next Meeting: November 16, 2016
Date: September 27 2016

Subject: 2017 Interim Tax Levy Report and By-law

Contact: David Sutton
Director, Finance (Interim Treasurer)
905 874-2257

Recommendations:

1) That the report from David Sutton, Director, Finance (Interim Treasurer), Corporate Services Department, dated September 27, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee meeting of November 2, 2016, re: 2017 Interim Tax Levy, be received; and

2) That a By-law be passed for the levy and collection of the 2017 Interim Tax Levy.

OVERVIEW:

- To authorize the annual issuance of Interim Tax bills for 2017 with the enactment of a By-law, effective January 1, 2017.

Background:

The Municipal Act, 2001 provides municipalities with the ability to levy interim taxes in an amount not exceeding 50% of the previous year’s total taxes. This allows the municipality to meet its ongoing financial obligations including tax payments to the Region of Peel, School Boards, and the Downtown Brampton Business Improvement Area prior to the issuance of the Final Tax Bills. The estimated levy amount for interim billing is $518 Million which includes the City portion of $210 Million.
Current Situation:

To enable billing of interim taxes for 2017, a By-law is required to establish the levy, due dates, and other administrative needs regarding the interim tax amounts.

As has been our practice in previous years, the 2017 interim levy will be payable in three (3) instalments due February 15, March 22, and April 19, 2017. Properties enrolled in the City of Brampton's monthly Pre-authorized Tax Payment Program (PTP) will be paid in six (6) instalments based on the taxpayer's withdrawal day being the 1st or 15th or the next business day in the months of January through June. After the 2017 budget is approved by Council and the Regional requisition is received, the Final City and Region Tax Rates for the year will be set. The Education Tax Rates are set by the Province. The amount of the 2017 Interim bill will be deducted from the total levied with the balance being the Final Tax Bill.

It is anticipated that the schedule for issuing the Final Tax billings in 2017 will be similar to that of 2016, with Residential properties by mid-June and Commercial, Industrial and Multi-Residential by the middle of July. A report regarding Final Billing will be provided to Corporate Services Committee, as is the usual practice.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

The approval of this report and By-law is essential to support the budget requirements of the City, Region of Peel, and the Province for education. An information brochure will accompany the bills. In addition, the City will place notices in the local press and on our web site www.brampton.ca advising residents of the instalment due dates for the 2017 interim billing. As required by the Municipal Act, 2001, a By-law is necessary to accompany this report. As such, the City’s Legal Services Division will review and approve the 2017 By-law for the levy and collection of interim realty taxes.
Other Implications:

N/A

Strategic Plan:

This report achieves the Strategic Priority of Good Government by contributing to the Strategic Initiative of Continued Financial Stability and it promotes transparency in the reporting of the City's financial affairs.

Conclusion:

The 2017 interim tax levy By-law is necessary to ensure the City can continue to meet its financial obligations including payments to the Region of Peel and School Boards.

Report Approved by:

David Sutton, Director, Finance (Interim Treasurer)  
Patrick Moyle, Commissioner, Corporate Services

Attachment: 2017 Interim Tax Levy By-Law

Author: Martin Finnegan, Senior Manager, Revenue (Interim)
A By-law to provide for the Levy and Collection of Interim Taxes for the Year 2017

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide for the levy and collection of interim taxes for the year 2017 and to impose penalties on all current levies and interest on all arrears of taxes pursuant to Sections 317, 343, and 345 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, Chapter 25, as amended;

AND WHEREAS Section 317 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides for an interim levy, on the assessment for real property according to the most recently revised tax roll, a sum not exceeding 50 percent of the total amount of taxes for municipal and school purposes levied on a property for the previous year;

AND WHEREAS Section 317 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides for the adjustment in the calculation of the taxes for the previous year for the purposes of calculating an interim levy,

AND WHEREAS Section 317 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides for an interim levy on the assessment for real property added to the tax roll for the current year that was not on the assessment roll in the previous year,

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the City of Brampton, ENACTS as follows:

1. **DEFINITIONS**

   For the purposes of the By-law and the annexed Schedules:

   “City” means The Corporation of the City of Brampton;

   “Treasurer” means the Treasurer of The Corporation of the City of Brampton;
“Clerk” means the Clerk of the City of Brampton or his duly appointed Deputy;

“Council” means the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton;

2. (A) THERE shall be levied and collected an amount on the assessment according to the tax roll for taxation in the previous year as most recently revised subject to the following:

   (i) THE amount levied shall not exceed 50% of the total amount of taxes for municipal and school purposes levied on the property for the previous year;

   (ii) THAT for the purpose of calculating the total amount of taxes for the previous year under paragraph 2(A)(i), if any taxes for municipal and school purposes were levied on a property for only part of the previous year because assessment was added to the tax roll during the year, an amount shall be added equal to the additional taxes that would have been levied on the property if the taxes for municipal and school purposes had been levied for the entire year;

(B) THAT for real property added to the assessment roll for the current year, that was not on the tax roll for the previous year, there shall be levied an amount, not to exceed 50% of the total amount of taxes that would have been levied on the assessment in the previous year.

3. THAT there may be added to the tax roll, all or any arrears of charges, fees, costs, or other expenses as may be permitted by Provincial legislation and such arrears of charges, fees, costs or other expenses shall be deemed to be taxes, collected as taxes, or collected in the same manner as municipal taxes, or dealt with in such fashion as may be specifically authorized by the applicable statute.

4. THAT such levies made under subsections 2(A) and 2(B) shall be due and payable in three instalments on February 15th, March 22nd, and April 19th, 2017, but for those properties subject to an agreement under one of the City of Brampton Monthly Pre-Authorized Tax Payment Plans, such levies shall be due and payable in six instalments based on the withdrawal day of the 1st or 15th or next business day in the event of a statutory holiday in the months of January, February, March, April, May and June, 2017.
5. (A) Pursuant to Section 345(2) of the Municipal Act, 2001 the Treasurer shall add a late payment charge as a penalty for nonpayment of the instalment(s) of taxes on the respective due date(s) of one and one quarter percent (1¼ %) interest on the first day of default in the year in which the taxes were levied;

(B) Pursuant to Section 345(3) of the Municipal Act, 2001 the Treasurer shall add a late payment charge of one and one quarter percent (1¼ %) interest for the non payment of taxes due and unpaid on the first day of each calendar month.

6. THAT the Treasurer is hereby authorized to accept part payment from time to time on account of any taxes due and to give a receipt for such part payment, provided that acceptance of any such part payment does not affect the collection of any percentage charge imposed and collectible under the provision of subsections 5 (A) and 5 (B) of this By-law in respect of non-payment of any taxes or any class of taxes or of any instalment thereof.

7. (A) THAT the following institutions are hereby authorized to collect instalments of taxes to be credited to the Treasurer:

(i) All Schedule I and Schedule II banks pursuant to the Bank Act;
(ii) Trust Companies registered under the laws of the Province of Ontario;
(iii) Credit Unions and Caisses Populaires.

(B) THE institutions described in subsection 7(A) shall provide a receipt to the taxpayer and the City shall credit the taxpayer’s account for the amount paid effective on the date of the institution’s receipt to the taxpayer.

8. THAT the Treasurer is hereby authorized to mail every tax notice or cause the same to be mailed to the address of the residence or place of business of the person taxed unless directed otherwise by the taxpayer or agent of the taxpayer, as provided by the Municipal Act, 2001 as amended.

9. If any section or portion of this By-law is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, it is the intent of Council for The Corporation of the City of Brampton that all remaining sections and portions of this By-law continue in force and effect.
10. This By-law comes into effect January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2017.

ENACTED and PASSED this 9\textsuperscript{th} day of November 2016

Approved as to form.  
\_\_/\_/\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Linda Jeffrey, Mayor

Approved as to content  
\_\_/\_/\_  
\_\_\_\_

Treasurer

Peter Fay, City Clerk
Tuesday, October 11, 2016

Members Present:  Frank Raymond (Acting Chair)
                 Harvinder Bajwa
                 Vicki Faulkner
                 Sherri Hopkins
                 Raymond Shaver
                 Therese Anne Sidler
                 Franco Spadafora

Members Absent:   Glenda Coupland (Chair) (regrets)
                 Harpreet Bhons (regrets)
                 Karyn Pelkie (regrets)
                 Mary Pettingill (regrets)
                 City Councillor Pat Fortini – Wards 7 and 8 (illness)

Staff Present:    Enforcement and By-law Services:
                 Peter Bryson, Supervisor, By-law Enforcement
                 Roberta Van Belkom, Enforcement Officer
                 Frank Grech, Accessible Enforcement Officer

Strategic Communications:
                 Sudha Hemmad, Senior Advisor, Communications

City Clerk’s Office:
                 Wendy Goss, Accessibility Coordinator
                 Sylvia Ingham, Access, Inclusion and Diversity Clerk
                 Earl Evans, Deputy City Clerk
                 Chandra Urquhart, Legislative Coordinator
The meeting was called to order at 6:37 p.m. and adjourned at 7:26 p.m.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

AAC032-2016  That the agenda for the Accessibility Advisory Committee Meeting of October 11, 2016, be approved as printed and circulated.

Carried

2. **Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act**

3. **Minutes**

3.1. **Minutes – Accessibility Advisory Committee – September 13, 2016**

The minutes were considered by the Corporate Services Committee on October 5, 2016 and the recommendations were pending approval by Council on October 12, 2016. The minutes were provided for Committee’s information.

4. **Presentations/Delegations**

4.1. **Sudha Hemmad, Senior Advisor, Strategic Communications, re: Accessible Parking Campaign Update.**

Sudha Hemmad, Senior Advisor, Strategic Communications, presented an update to Committee regarding the Accessible Parking Public Awareness Campaign and provided details with respect to the following:

- Background
- Objectives
- Communications strategy
- Key messages
- Tactics – pre-launch, launch, digital, sustain momentum
Ms. Hemmad advised that feedback received from Committee at the May 17, 2016 meeting was summarized and incorporated into this presentation.

Committee discussion on this matter included:

- Concern that the tactic to “stage a photo showing people using wheelchairs and other assistive devices occupying non-accessible parking spots” may convey a negative message
- The need to enhance communications with ethnic communities
- Suggestions that:
  - presentations be given at local schools to raise awareness and educate children about accessibility matters
  - ethnic media and Facebook be used as tools to promote this campaign
  - promotional materials be translated into the top four spoken languages in Brampton

The following motion was considered.

AAC033-2016 That the presentation Sudha Hemmad, Senior Advisor, Strategic Communications, to the Accessibility Advisory Committee Meeting of October 11, 2016, re: Accessible Parking Campaign Update be received.

Carried

5. Municipal Accessibility Plan Priorities

6. Other/New Business
7. **Correspondence**

8. **William Osler Health Centre Accessibility Advisory Committee**

9. **Information Items**

9.1. **Accessible Parking Enforcement Update-Q3 2016**

Roberta Van Belkom, Enforcement Officer, introduced Frank Grech, Accessible Enforcement Officer, to the Committee, and advised that he will be assuming responsibilities relating to accessible parking.

Ms. Van Belkom presented an overview of the Accessible Parking Enforcement Update included in the agenda. She provided details on the status of various open investigations and issues relating to incorrect line painting on accessible parking spaces by contractors. Ms. Van Belkom advised that staff will continue to seek compliance and a further update will be provided in the next quarter.

In response to questions from Committee, Ms. Van Belkom provided information with respect to:

- challenges faced by property owners to comply (e.g. contractors not complying with standards, inclement weather)
- the responsibility for additional costs incurred due to contractor errors
- penalties for non-compliance
- cooperation and communication between property owners and staff to achieve compliance
- an information session held on accessible parking line painting requirements, which was attended by contractors and property owners
- efforts by the City to provide accurate and clear information regarding accessibility requirements

The following motion was considered:
AAC034-2016 That the **Accessible Parking Enforcement Update – Q3 2016**, to the Accessibility Advisory Committee Meeting of October 11, 2016, be received.

Carried

10. **Future Agenda Items**

11. **Construction Projects**

Wendy Goss, Accessibility Coordinator, City Clerk’s Office, advised that staff continue to monitor construction projects and there were no updates at this time.

12. **Question Period**

13. **Public Question Period**

14. **Adjournment**

AAC035-2016 That the Accessibility Advisory Committee do now adjourn to meet on Tuesday, November 8, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

_________________________________________
Frank Raymond (Acting Chair)
Date: November 1, 2016

Subject: Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads - City of Brampton - Update

Contact: Michael J. Parks, Director, Road Maintenance, Operations & Fleet, Public Works & Engineering, 905-874-2572

Recommendations:

1. **THAT** the report from Michael J. Parks, Director, Road Maintenance, Operations & Fleet, Public Works & Engineering, dated November 1, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of November 2, 2016 re: Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads - City of Brampton – Update be received; and,

2. **THAT** staff be directed to notify Region of Peel staff that the original timeline of 90 days is not practical to complete a fulsome study and that another 90 days be added to the original completion date.

Overview:

- On October 27, 2016 Regional Council received an update report relating to the implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads.

- A resolution requesting local municipal staff work with an external auditor and the Region of Peel to model the financial implications of a download of Regional Roads to be completed in 90 days was passed at Regional Council.

- Staff feels that meeting the Regional Council deadline is not practical and seeks direction to notify Region of Peel staff that in order to complete a fulsome study that another 90 days should be added to the original completion date.

- City staff will work with the external auditor, Regional staff and local municipal staff to complete a report on the financial implications of a transfer of Regional Roads to the local municipalities.
Background:

At the Regional Municipality of Peel Council meeting Thursday, October 27, 2016 the report attached as Appendix 1 was submitted for information on the Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads – Update.

Current Situation:

Leading up to the Regional Council meeting, City of Mississauga Council supported the following resolution tabled by Councillor Parrish on October 26, 2016, which is attached Appendix 2:

- The Council of the Region of Peel endorse, in principle, the transfer of ownership and jurisdictional responsibility of Regional Arterial Roads to the City of Mississauga and authority be given to Region staff to model the costs and negotiate the terms involved with this transfer with City of Mississauga staff and report back to Regional Council for approval within ninety days. This negotiation is to address the transfer of infrastructure, the division of services offered by each level of government, appropriate staffing transfers, and the financial implications.

After deliberations, primarily as a result of input from City of Brampton Regional Council members, Regional Council supported the following resolution which is a much softened version of the motion City of Mississauga originally tabled (Appendix 2) as follows (also attached as appendix 3):

- That authority be given to Regional staff and the external auditor (Deloitte) to model the financial implications of a possible transfer to the local municipalities of ownership and jurisdictional responsibility of Regional Arterial Roads, with City of Mississauga, Brampton and Caledon staff and report back to Regional Council within ninety days;

- And further, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the local municipalities with a request that staff be authorized to work with Deloitte to enable Deloitte to produce the report in the timeline provided.

Following the Council meeting, staff from the Region of Peel provided local municipal staff with a schedule required to meet the Regional Council meeting timelines to comply with the 90 day deadline. The report would have to finalized by the middle of December as well as provide sufficient time for the auditor’s analysis, review and reporting. Staff therefore feels that the original timeline of 90 days is not practical to complete a fulsome study and seeks direction to notify Region of Peel staff that another 90 days should be added to the anticipated completion date.
Financial implications:

In order for the City of Brampton’s Finance staff to complete a thorough review of the Auditor’s analysis, findings and recommendations, dedicated staff with an appropriate and qualified level of expertise will be required to commit to this initiative. With the City’s 2017 budget process, Corporate Asset Management Plan, and Financial Master Plan underway all with pending deadlines of December 2016, Finance will be unable to dedicate staff immediately. An additional extension of 90 days would provide the opportunity for Finance to allocate resources beginning in January 2017 to ensure the appropriate review is performed.

Conclusion:

City of Brampton staff has been working with Region of Peel and City of Mississauga Staff culminating in the report attached as Appendix 1. Brampton staff support the information contained in the report and will continue to work with the municipalities and the external auditor to complete the report requested by Regional Council. The intent of the report is to determine the jurisdictional and financial implications to the local municipalities should the transfer of Regional Roads be undertaken. For the reasons outlined in this report, the original timeline of 90 days is not practical to complete a fulsome study and staff seeks direction to notify the Region that another 90 days should be added to the completion date.

Approved by:  
Michael Parks, C.E.T.  
Director,  
Roads Maintenance, Operations & Fleet  
Public Works & Engineering  

Approved by:  
Joe Pitushka  
Commissioner,  
Public Works & Engineering

Attachments:  
Appendix 1: Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads- Update  
Appendix 2: 10.1-Councillor Parrish Motion  
Appendix 3: Revised Notice of Motion October 27, 2016
REPORT
Meeting Date: 2016-10-27
Regional Council
For Information

DATE: October 14, 2016
REPORT TITLE: IMPLICATIONS OF TRANSFER OF JURISDICTIONAL AND FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR REGIONAL ROADS - UPDATE
FROM: Dan Labrecque, Commissioner of Public Works

OBJECTIVE
To provide an update on the “Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads.”

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- At the May 12, 2016, meeting of Regional Council, a motion to direct staff to engage with staff from the City of Mississauga to develop an implementation plan that considers all ramifications to ultimately support the transfer of jurisdictional and financial responsibility for all Regional roads within the boundaries of the City of Mississauga was deferred until the October 27, 2016 meeting.
- Regional staff continues to recommend the Region maintain jurisdictional ownership and responsibility for condition inspections and all construction, operations and maintenance on Regional roads through a combination of in-house staff, select activities through maintenance agreements with the local municipalities and contracting to third party service providers.
- Since May 12, 2016, Peel staff have held three meetings with the Cities and provided information for their review and comment.
- Senior staff from the City of Mississauga requested additional time to assess how to deal with staffing and financial impacts and engage union representatives in order to report to City Council on this issue.
- Brampton’s Senior staff confirmed the City’s objective to undertake the operations and maintenance of Regional Roads within the City’s boundaries can be achieved through level of service agreements that would be phased in over time.

DISCUSSION

1. Background

At the May 12, 2016, meeting of Regional Council, a motion to direct staff to engage with staff from the City of Mississauga to develop an implementation plan that considers all ramifications to ultimately support the transfer of jurisdictional and financial responsibility for all Regional Roads within the boundaries of the City of Mississauga was deferred until the October 27, 2016 meeting (See Appendix I for May 12, 2016 meeting minutes). In addition, on May 12, 2016 representatives from the City of Brampton’s Council also expressed an interest in being part of the discussions to understand implications to maintenance of
Regional roads in Brampton. Both the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton have positions that are contrary to the recommendation presented by Regional staff at the July 9, 2015 Council meeting. This recommendation is a pending item for Regional Council to address. An overview of the current municipal positions on this issue is provided in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region of Peel</td>
<td>Status Quo – The Region continues jurisdictional ownership and responsibility for condition inspections and all construction, operations and maintenance on Regional roads through a combination of in-house staff, select activities through maintenance agreements with the local municipalities and contracting to third party service providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>Proposes to take full responsibility for Regional roads within their geographic boundaries. Based on the discussion at the May 12, 2016, Council meeting it is understood that Mississauga will continue to contribute its proportion of the Regional tax levy to the Regional road system in Brampton and Caledon. At the time of writing this report, Peel staff requested that City staff seek clarity on this municipal position. Recent discussions with Senior staff from the City suggest that spring 2018 is identified as a target for transfer of the Region’s road network and related assets in the right-of-way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Brampton</td>
<td>City of Brampton staff confirms their position is to maintain and operate Regional Roads within the City’s boundaries, and charge the Region for this service. This stems from a motion from the City’s Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee (October 19, 2015 – P&amp;IS248-2015, Appendix II) which directs Brampton staff to negotiate the transfer of all maintenance activities on Regional Roadways to the City of Brampton. At a September 15, 2016 meeting with Senior staff from Brampton it was confirmed the City’s objective to undertake the operations and maintenance of Regional Roads can be achieved through level of service agreements that would be phased in over time. Regional staff will continue to explore this approach with the City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Caledon</td>
<td>Supports the current model whereby the Region maintains ownership, undertakes capital works, operations and maintenance on Regional roads through a combination of in-house staff, select activities through maintenance agreements with the local municipalities and contracting to third party service providers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential Scenarios

Based on the current positions, four scenarios have been developed for the purposes of information exchange and dialogue with the Cities.
IMPLICATIONS OF TRANSFER OF JURISDICTIONAL AND FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY
FOR REGIONAL ROADS - UPDATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Region continues jurisdictional ownership and responsibility for condition inspections and all construction, operations and maintenance activities for the urban roadway travelled portion (curb-to-curb) on Regional roads rights-of-way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mississauga takes full jurisdiction and responsibility for Regional roads within their boundaries. No change to delivery of maintenance, operations and capital delivery in Brampton or Caledon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mississauga takes full jurisdiction and responsibility for Regional roads within their boundaries. Brampton takes only the maintenance, Peel maintains patrol and inspection for quality and risk, and delivery of the capital program remains with Peel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cities of Mississauga and Brampton take full jurisdiction and responsibility for Regional roads within their boundaries. No change to delivery of maintenance, operations and capital delivery in Caledon.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the May 12, 2016 meeting, Peel staff has arranged three meetings with both Cities to further discuss implications of decentralizing the Region’s road network in the context of the above scenarios. Discussions were focused on:

- high level impact analysis of staffing;
- information based on discussions between Peel staff and CUPE National Local 966;
- yard space, equipment and material inventories;
- strategic transportation planning issues to support Regional Council Term of Council Priorities;
- full listing of capital projects as per the Region’s 2016-2025 Capital Plan;
- status and estimated financial value of the Region’s transportation assets in the City of Mississauga; and
- preliminary financial implications associated with the decentralization of the Region’s road network.

The third in a series of meetings with Cities was held on September 9, 2016. At this meeting, the Cities requested additional time to:

- review the financial implications of potential scenarios presented to them;
- understand how to deal with staff transfers;
- review levels of service for Class 1 roads (i.e. winter maintenance) for residents and businesses who commute throughout the Region;
- have dialogue with their respective unions;
- review development charges to finance growth related infrastructure;
- assess how to deal with boundary agreements with neighbouring Regions (York, Halton, Town of Halton Hills); and
- understand how strategic transportation planning functions to support Regional Term of Council priorities would be maintained.
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

City of Mississauga staff has indicated they require additional time to analyze the above issues to understand the cost implications and report back to its Council. Staff will report back to Regional Council on this issue when the City completes their analysis.

Through previous Council motions, staff was directed to upload street lighting, sidewalks and multi-use trails/paths. Uploading of the street lighting is complete in Caledon and Mississauga and the agreement with Brampton is expected to be finalized by the end of the year. Through discussions with the Cities, it was agreed not to proceed with uploading the sidewalks and multi-use trails/paths until the arterial road jurisdiction has been resolved. The reason for not proceeding is that the maintenance activities are closely linked with road maintenance. As there are no outstanding road rationalization issues in Caledon, the maintenance of its sidewalks and multi-use trails/paths is being uploaded. This is reflected in the Region’s 2017 Capital Budget.

CONCLUSION

Since May 12, 2016, Regional staff has provided information to the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton on implications of transfer of jurisdictional and financial responsibility for Regional roads. Based on the discussions to date, it is clear that many details are still required before Council can make a decision on this issue. The different objectives for the Cities suggest that two work streams can occur at this point in time. The first stream provides Mississauga staff more time to review material provided to them and initiate discussions with its union. Subsequently, if Council directs, a transition plan can be brought back to Regional Council for consideration.

As Brampton’s focus is on the operations and maintenance, a second stream between Regional staff and the City can work to develop level of service agreements that would be phased in over time. The level of service agreements would deal with risk and legal claims and allow the seamless operations and maintenance of roads under mixed jurisdiction (i.e. Kennedy Road and Chinguacousy/Mavis Road). In addition, a phased approach avoids potential conflicts between the Region’s capital program and Brampton’s operations and maintenance.
Staff will report back to Council on discussions with the Cities once they have reviewed this report and provided feedback.

Dan Labrecque, Commissioner of Public Works

Approved for Submission:

D. Szwarc, Chief Administrative Officer

APPENDICES

1. Appendix I - Minutes from May 12, 2016 Council Meeting
2. Appendix II - City of Brampton Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee, October 19, 2015 – P&IS248-2015

For further information regarding this report, please contact Steve Ganesh, Strategist, Infrastructure Planning & Design, ext. 7824, steve.ganesh@peelregion.ca.

Authored By: Steve Ganesh

Reviewed in workflow by:

Financial Support Unit
7.6. **Report from the Regional Clerk Regarding the Peel Regional Governance Review Task Force meeting held on April 28, 2016**

Received 2016-390

Councillor Saito noted that the report of the March 31, 2016 Governance Review Task Force meeting, listed on the May 12, 2016 Regional Council agenda, was listed in error as they were dealt with at a previous meeting of Regional Council. The April 28, 2016 Governance Review Task Force meeting report should have been included on the May 12, 2016 Regional Council agenda instead.

The Regional Clerk distributed copies of the Report of the Governance Review Task Force meeting held on April 28, 2016.

7.7. **Ontario Culture Strategy**

Received 2016-391

COMMUNICATIONS

7.8. **Sylvia Jones, MPP, Dufferin-Caledon**, Letter received May 3, 2016 Advising that Nominations for the Ontario Senior Achievement Award are Open

Received 2016-392

8. **ITEMS RELATED TO PUBLIC WORKS**

*Chaired by Councillor R. Starr*

8.1. **Implications of Transfer of Jurisdictional and Financial Responsibility for Regional Roads**

Presentation by Gary Kocialek, Acting Director, Transportation, and Rob Elliot, Director, Business and Financial Planning

Received 2016-393

See also Resolutions 2016-394 and 2016-407

Gary Kocialek, Acting Director, Transportation, and Rob Elliot, Director, Business and Financial Planning, presented the financial implications related to the jurisdictional transfer of regional roads, highlighting the 10 year Capital Plan, Reserve Funds and Balances, capital projects in progress and the 2016 Operating Budget. A detailed transition plan would be required to assess which projects would be transferred to the area municipalities and the timing of potential transfers. Non-financial considerations include: issues related to human resources; collective agreements; yards and fleet; support services; contractual obligations; capital programming; office accommodations; and, Development Charges By-laws.
In response to a question from Councillor Sprovieri, Gary Kocialek advised that there are approximately 1,600 lane kilometres of regional roads with approximately one-third of same located in each local municipality. He stated that most arterial roads in the City of Mississauga have been built out and do not require as much capital expenditures. In the City of Brampton, roads are being widened or reconstructed resulting in more capital expenditures.

Councillor Parrish placed the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Parrish,
Seconded by Councillor Carlson;


And whereas, the subject report presented a position that, “the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton and the Town of Caledon each have jurisdictional and financial responsibility over all roads within their boundaries, excluding those under provincial jurisdiction and those rural roads in Caledon deemed truly regional, following a rationalization review”;

And whereas, in August 2006 Regional Council directed staff to work with area municipalities to undertake a rationalization of the arterial road inventory from the perspectives of customer service and cost;

And whereas, Phase 1 and Phase 2 of this review have been completed resulting in the definition of a major arterial road and nine jurisdictional options with Option 4A being selected as the preferred model;

And whereas, Phase 3 of this review continues with the model for the maintenance of roadways (curb-to-curb) still outstanding;

And whereas, on October 28, 2015 the Mississauga Council passed a resolution that would see the City have jurisdictional and financial responsibility of all roads within its boundary, excluding those under provincial jurisdiction, in order to provide a more efficient level of customer service and a clearer picture within the urban area of who is responsible for the road system within its borders;

And whereas, an Arterial Roads Rationalization Review Task Force workshop took place on October 29, 2015 with the primary objective of discussing the various service delivery options for the operation and maintenance of Regional Roads at which the City of Mississauga proposed to take full responsibility for Regional roads within the boundaries of the City of Mississauga;

And whereas, the City, having full responsibility for the complete road network, would support continued development and growth within the City of Mississauga;

Therefore be it resolved, that The Council of the Region of Peel direct Regional staff to engage with City of Mississauga staff to develop an implementation plan that considers all ramifications to ultimately supporting
the transfer of jurisdictional and financial responsibility for all Regional Roads within the boundaries of The City of Mississauga currently under Regional jurisdiction, to the City of Mississauga.

Referred to Public Works for a report back to Regional Council on discussions with the local municipalities by October 2016 2016-394

See also Resolutions 2016-393 and 2016-407

Councillor Parrish advised that the position of the City of Mississauga staff is that they would like control of their own roads. She stated that the issue of the transfer of jurisdiction of regional roads is not related to the issue of regional governance. She further stated that the intention of Mississauga was to continue to contribute their proportion to the Region to contribute to the Regional road system in Brampton and Caledon.

Councillor Medeiros noted that the position of staff at the City of Brampton is similar to that taken by the City of Mississauga staff with respect to the maintenance of regional roads, and he requested that the motion placed by Councillor Parrish be referred to the local municipalities for discussion and report back to Regional Council.

In response to a question from Councillor Shaughnessy, Gary Kocialek stated that there are numerous approaches to achieve disentanglement and that staff require direction and timelines from Regional Council so that the appropriate work-plan can be identified.

Councillor Jeffrey stated that it would be premature to request that staff identify a work-plan to effect the transfer of regional roads.

Councillor Thompson noted that the motion placed by Councillor Parrish does not address planning concerns related to regional roads, such as, limited points of access, and that those concerns are what initiated the arterial roads review.

Councillor Saito stated that the City of Mississauga is requesting authority to assume regional roads and that the only affect on taxation would be to re-proportion as was done for traffic signal operations.

8.2. **Final Settlement - Widening of Regional Road 8 (Gore Road) from Cottrelle Boulevard to Castlemore Road, Capital Project 03-4020, Document 2010-039 - City of Brampton, Ward 10**

Moved by Councillor Sprovieri,
Seconded by Councillor Groves;

That the contract (Document 2010-039T) for the widening of The Gore Road (Regional Road 8) from Cottrelle Boulevard to Castlemore Road, in the City of Brampton, with Aecon Construction and Materials Limited, be extended for a final settlement associated with additional work and negotiated delay claims, in the estimated amount of $1,289,175.03, for a total contract commitment of $17,287,668.40 (excluding applicable taxes), under Capital Project 03-4020.

Carried 2016-395
H 6. Report from C. Kummer, Manager, Traffic Services, and E. VanRavens, Manager, Road Operations, dated October 15, 2015, re: Arterial Road Rationalization Review (ARRASC) Update (File BA.x - ARR)
In response to questions from Committee, staff provided a summary of the subject report, including a brief history of arterial roads in the Region of Peel.
Committee consideration of the matter included:
- Coleraine Drive transfer to the Region of Peel
- Transfer of maintenance activities on regional roadways to the City
- Regional service delivery options for regional roads
- Transfer of operational responsibilities (traffic signals and street lighting)
- Environmental assessments, transfer of taxes, and development charges

Committee requested that staff provide a report at a future meeting on the scope of potential jurisdiction changes to regional arterial roads.
The following motion was considered:

P&IS248-2015

1. That the report from C. Kummer, Manager, Traffic Services, and E. VanRavens, Manager, Road Operations, dated October 15, 2015, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of October 19, 2015, re: Arterial Road Rationalization Review (ARRASC) Update (File BA.x - ARR) be received; and,
2. That staff be directed to continue to negotiate the transfer of all maintenance activities on Regional Roadways to the City of Brampton; and,
3. That the Executive Director, Public Works, be authorized to execute the Transfer of Coleraine Drive upon satisfaction of the City’s Solicitor.
4. That staff provide a report at a future meeting on the potential jurisdiction changes to regional arterial roads.
Moved by: Carolyn Parrish
Seconded by: George Carlson


And whereas, the subject report presented a position that, “the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton and the Town of Caledon each have jurisdictional and financial responsibility over all roads within their boundaries, excluding those under provincial jurisdiction and those rural roads in Caledon deemed truly regional, following a rationalization review”;

And whereas, in August 2006 Regional Council directed staff to work with area municipalities to undertake a rationalization of the arterial road inventory from the perspectives of customer service and cost;

And whereas, Phase 1 and Phase 2 of this review have been completed resulting in the definition of a major arterial road and nine jurisdictional options with Option 4A being selected as the preferred model. The option of Mississauga, Brampton, and Caledon having financial and jurisdictional responsibility over regional arterial roads was not included in the nine options presented.

And whereas, the only proposed change resulting from Option 4A within Mississauga is the transfer of the section of Winston Churchill Boulevard from Dundas Street to Highway 401, to the Region. The adjoining sections of Winston Churchill Boulevard south of Dundas Street and north of Highway 401 are already under the jurisdiction of the Region.

And whereas, Phase 3 of this review continues with the model for the maintenance of roadways (curb-to-curb) still outstanding;

And whereas, on October 28, 2015 Mississauga Council passed a resolution that would see the City have jurisdictional and financial responsibility of all roads within its boundary, excluding those under provincial jurisdiction, in order to provide a more efficient level of customer service and a clearer picture within the urban area of who is responsible for the road system within its borders;

And whereas, an Arterial Roads Rationalization Review Task Force workshop took place on October 29, 2015 with the primary objective of discussing the various service delivery options for the operation and maintenance of Regional Roads at which the City of Mississauga proposed to take full responsibility for Regional roads within the boundaries of the City of Mississauga;

And whereas, the City, having full responsibility for the complete road network, would support continued development and growth within the City of Mississauga;

Therefore be it resolved that:

The Council of the Region of Peel endorse, in principle, the transfer of ownership and jurisdictional responsibility of Regional Arterial Roads to the City of Mississauga and authority be given to Region staff to model the costs and negotiate the terms involved with this transfer with City of Mississauga staff and report back to Regional Council for approval within ninety days. This negotiation is to address the transfer of infrastructure, the division of services offered by each level of government, appropriate staffing transfers, and the financial implications.
Moved By: Councillor Parrish
Seconded By: Councillor Thompson

Date: October 27, 2016
Item Number 10.1

That authority be given to Regional staff and the external auditor (Deloitte) to model the financial implications of a possible transfer to the local municipalities of ownership and jurisdictional responsibility of Regional Arterial Roads, with City of Mississauga, Brampton and Caledon staff and report back to Regional Council within ninety days;

And further, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the local municipalities with a request that staff be authorized to work with Deloitte to enable Deloitte to produce the report in the timeline provided.

__________________________
Chair
1. **Regional Council Business** (Item 15) – The attached briefing report was provided to the Clerk’s Office after the agenda was printed:

   15.1. Briefing report from the CAO’s Office re: **Region of Peel Council/Committee Agenda for November 3, 2016**.
   - Peel Housing Corporation
Briefing Report

The Regional Municipality of Peel
Thursday, November 3, 2016

Peel Housing Corporation
8:30 AM – 10:30 AM

Key Agenda Items:

4. Mohamed Bhamani, Senior Manager, KPMG LLP
   - Presentation on the Twin Pines Project

5 Reports

5.1 Update on Board Directed Action Items for the Peel Housing Corporation (For Information)
   - Provides an update on actions arising since 2015.
   - Staff will report back on March 2, 2017 with a detailed work plan recommending priorities, deliverables and timeframes.

5.2 Peel Living 2017 Budget (Includes a presentation by Janice Sheehy, Acting General Manager and Dave Bingham, Treasurer)
   - Presents the 2017 budget including the operating and capital budget costs for Peel Living-owned buildings only. The budget for regionally owned buildings will be part of the Region of Peel’s budget process.
   - Highlights include:
     - Operating revenues of $98.5M; Expenditures of $90.1M (before reserve transfers).
     - Budgeted net reserve transfers are $8.4M (increase of $0.8M from 2016.
     - The 2017 Capital Budget of $13.9M for State of Good Repair is funded by:
       - $6.4M draw from Peel Living reserves
       - $7.5M in Service manager loans