Wednesday, July 6, 2016
9:30 a.m. – Regular Meeting
Council Chambers – 4th Floor

Closed Session following (See Item 21)
- Council Committee Room – 4th Floor
- Boardroom CH-6A (Item 21.9)
(Under Section 239 of the Municipal Act, 2001)

Members:  Mayor L. Jeffrey
Regional Councillor G. Gibson – Wards 1 and 5
Regional Councillor E. Moore – Wards 1 and 5
Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6
Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4
Regional Councillor G. Miles – Wards 7 and 8
Regional Councillor J. Sprovieri – Wards 9 and 10 (Acting Mayor – July)
City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6
City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4 (Acting Mayor – August)
City Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8
City Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10 (Acting Mayor – September)

For inquiries about this agenda, or to make arrangements for accessibility accommodations for persons attending (some advance notice may be required), please contact:
Terri Brenton, Legislative Coordinator, Telephone 905.874.2106, TTY 905.874.2130
cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca

Note: Some meeting information may also be available in alternate formats upon request.
Note: Please ensure all cell phones, mobile and other electronic devices are turned off or placed on non-audible mode during the meeting. Council Members are prohibited from sending text messages, e-mails and other electronic messaging during the meeting.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

2. **Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act**

3. **Adoption of the Minutes**

4. **Consent Motion**
   All items listed with an asterisk (*) are considered to be routine and non-controversial by Council and will be approved by one resolution. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Council Member requests it in which case the item will be removed from the consent resolution and considered in its normal sequence on the agenda.
   
   
   (8.2, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 8.10)

5. **Announcements** (2 minutes maximum)
   
   5.1. Announcement – Introduction of the Lexus Titans Wrestling Club  
   (Council Sponsor – City Councillor Dhillon)
   
   Harinder Bains, Lexus Titans Wrestling Club, will be present to make the announcement.

   5.2. Announcement – City of Brampton Cheque Presentation to William Osler Health System for Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness  
   (Council Sponsor – Mayor Jeffrey)
   
   Mayor Jeffrey will make the announcement.
6. **Delegations** (5 minutes maximum)

6.1. Delegations re: **Lorne Scots Regiment 150th Anniversary**:
1. William Adcock, Honourary Lieutenant Colonel of the Lorne Scots
2. Bryan Cronk, Association Member

See Item 14.1

6.2. Delegation from Toby Lennox, Interim Chief Operating Officer, Toronto Global, re: **New Greater Toronto Area Foreign Direct Investment Agency: Changes to Ownership and Corporate Governance Structure**

See Item 8.1

6.3. Staff Presentation by M. Clark, Fire Chief, Fire and Emergency Services, Public Services, re: **Fireworks Review**

See Item 8.11

7. **Reports from the Head of Council**

8. **Reports of Corporate Officials**

**Office of the Chief Administrative Officer**

**Office of the Chief Operating Officer**

8.1. Report from D. Cutajar, Chief Operating Officer, dated June 22, 2016, re: **New Greater Toronto Area Foreign Direct Investment Agency: Changes to Ownership and Corporate Governance Structure**

See Item 6.2

*Recommendation*

* 8.2. Report from B. Grant, Acting Manager, Special Events, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, dated June 17, 2016, re: **Exception Grant Request – Investors Group Donation to Carabram**

*Recommendation*
Corporate Services

8.3. Report from M. Rea, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, dated June 20, 2016, **Status Update re: OMB Appeals**.

*To be received*

Planning and Infrastructure Services

8.4. Report from J. Pitushka, Acting Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, dated June 24, 2016, re: **Amendment to Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012 to Appoint a Chief Building Official and Inspectors** (File BJ.x).

See By-law 144-2016

Recommendation

8.5. Report from C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 14, 2016, re: **Hurontario Light Rail Transit Project Update – Memorandum of Understanding – Metrolinx, City of Brampton and City of Mississauga – Wards 3 & 4** (File IA.a).

Recommendation


See By-laws 145-2016 and 146-2016

Recommendation

*8.7. Report from D. VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, dated June 8, 2016; re: **Facade and Building Improvement Grants Application for 78/80 Queen Street West – Ward 1**.

Recommendation
* 8.8. Report from Y. Mantsvetov, Policy Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 15, 2016, re: Proposed Work Plan to Identify Potential Areas for Seniors Housing within the City of Brampton

Recommendation


See By-law 147-2016

Recommendation


Recommendation

Public Services

8.11. Report from M. Clark, Fire Chief, Fire and Emergency Services, Public Services, dated June 27, 2016, re: Amending Fireworks By-law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013

See Item 6.3

Recommendation

8.12. Report from V. Wong, Acting Manager, Realty Services, Public Services, dated June 17, 2016, re: Authorization for the Execution of Certain Encroachment Agreements by Delegated Authority and to Amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended

See By-law 148-2016

Recommendation
8.13. Report from S. Connor, Executive Director, Transit, Public Services, dated June 1, 2016, re: **Cost Sharing Agreement with Sheridan College Steeles Avenue Züm Improvements – Phase II – To Construct a Bus Loop on Sheridan College Property** (File IB.c)

*Recommendation*

9. **Reports of Accountability Officers**

10. **Committee Reports**

10.1. **Minutes – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee – June 20, 2016**
(Chair – Regional Councillor Moore)

*To be received*
The recommendations were approved by Council on June 22, 2016

10.2. **Minutes – Brampton Heritage Board – June 21, 2016**
(Council Representative – City Councillor Whillans)

*To be approved*

11. **Unfinished Business**

12. **Correspondence**

12.1. Correspondence from Mayor Bonnie Crombie, City of Mississauga, dated June 3, 2016, re: **Intensive Behavioural Intervention Therapy – Autism Spectrum Disorder.**

*To be received*

12.2. Correspondence from Frank Dale, Regional Chair and Chief Executive Officer, The Regional Municipality of Peel, dated June 3, 2016, re: **Region of Peel as Pilot Site for Basic Income Guarantee Study**

*To be received*
12.3. Correspondence from Budget Committee Chair Councillor Gibson re: 2017 Budget Process

13. **Resolutions**

14. **Notices of Motion**

14.1. **Notice of Motion – Council and City of Brampton Support for the 150th Anniversary of the Lorne Scots Regiment**

See Item 6.1

Moved by: Regional Councillor Miles
Seconded by: Mayor Jeffrey

Whereas the Lorne Scots (Peel Halton and Dufferin Regiment) originated in Brampton in September 1866 and will be celebrating the 150th anniversary of the Regiment this September, in recognition of its soldiers and the sacrifices they made;

Whereas residents of Brampton and the Peel Region have served in this esteemed regiment since its inception, and members have been deployed in almost every theatre of war in which Canada has fought;

Whereas members of the Regiment have been deployed internationally to support peacekeeping missions, as well deployed throughout Canada, to provide support during times of natural disaster;

Whereas the Lorne Scots have upheld the essence of their motto, For Our Heritage, proud of their sacrifices and accomplishments, proud of their roots, and dedication to create new history to add to their heritage;

Whereas his Royal Highness Prince Edward, the Duke of Kent will be attending the official 150th ceremony and celebrations in his role as the Colonel-in-Chief of the Lorne Scots Regiment;

Therefore be it resolved:

That Council support the Lorne Scots and attend the Regimental Meet and Greet and the monumental unveiling to commemorate the 150th anniversary, both events to be held on September 23, 2016, as well as attend the formal 150th anniversary Gala, to be held on September 24, 2016;
That the City of Brampton Councilors utilize their social media accounts to promote the 150th celebrations, to recognize the dedication and commitment of their fellow Bramptonians, this September;

That City of Brampton staff be directed to provide City of Brampton website and other communication support to promote the celebrations, so that residents of Brampton can also take part and show support to honor past and present members of the Regiment; and

That in general, Council Members take on the role of champions and advocates, to support the Lorne Scots Regiment in preparation of the 150th anniversary celebrations.

15. **Petitions**

16. **Other Business/New Business**

17. **Procurement Matters**

18. **Regional Council Business**

18.1. Verbal Briefing from the CAO’s Office re: **Region Peel Council Meeting and Retail Business Holidays Act (RBHA) Public Meeting** (application from Yuan Ming Supermarket Ltd. – 1000 Burnhamthorpe Drive – Mississauga) – July 7, 2016

19. **Public Question Period**

15 Minute Limit (regarding any decision made at this meeting)

20. **By-laws**

20.1. 142-2016 To Execute a License Agreement for a Telecommunications Tower at 2691 Sandalwood Parkway East – Ward 10 (See Item 3.1 – Resolution C217-2016)

20.2. 143-2016 To authorize the Purchase of a Portion of 9375 Goreway Drive for Goreway Drive Widening – Ward 8 (See Item 3.1 – Resolution C219-2016)
20.3. 144-2016 To Amend By-law 308-2012, being the “Building Division Appointment By-law”
(See Item 8.4)

(See Item 8.6)

20.5. 146-2016 To amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended – Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Limited – 1435586 Ontario Limited – 30 McLaughlin Road South – Ward 3 (File C01W05.043)
(See Item 8.6)

20.6. 147-2016 To accept and assume works in Registered Plan 43M-1829 – Sandringham Place Inc., Wolverleigh Construction Ltd., & The Villages of Rosedale Inc. - Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 – South of Countryside Drive, West of Dixie Road – Ward 9 (File C03E15.006)
(See Item 8.9)

20.7. 148-2016 To delegate certain powers under the Municipal Act, 2001 relating to the execution of Encroachment Agreements and to amend By-law 191-2011, as amended
(See Item 8.12)

20.8. 149-2016 To amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended – administrative update – schedules relating to through highways, fire routes, stop signs, no parking and rate of speed
(See Item 10.1 – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Recommendation P&IS195-2016 – June 20, 2016)

20.9. 150-2016 To amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended - schedule relating to to heavy trucks – Torbram Road truck prohibitions
(See Item 10.1 – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Recommendation P&IS198-2016 – June 20, 2016)

20.10. 151-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Hurontario Street) – Ward 3

20.11. 152-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Jordensen Drive) – Ward 5
20.12. 153-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Degrey Drive) – Ward 8

20.13. 154-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Bayhampton Drive) – Ward 10

20.14. 155-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-1986 – south of Wanless Drive and east of Mississauga Road – Ward 6 (File PLC16-011)

20.15. 156-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-2007 – south of Mayfield Road and east of Creditview Road – Ward 6 (File PLC16-012)

20.16. 157-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-2006 – south of Mayfield Road and east of Creditview Road – Ward 6 (File PLC16-015)

20.17. 158-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-2007 – south of Mayfield Road and east of Creditview Road – Ward 6 (File PLC16-014)

20.18. 159-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-1999 – east of Dixie Road and north of Countryside Drive – Ward 9 (File PLC16-013)

20.19. 160-2016 To prevent the application of part lot control to part of Registered Plan 43M-1999 – southeast corner of Mayfield Road and Dixie Road – Ward 9 (File PLC16-016)

21. **Closed Session**

Note: A separate package regarding this agenda item is distributed to Members of Council and Senior Staff only.


21.3. Verbal update from J. Zingaro, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, re: **Acquisition of Property – Ward 8** – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board
21.4. Report from N. Kotecha, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, dated June 23, 2016, re: Conservation Review Board Matter – Ward 1 – litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board

21.5. Report from M. Rea, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, dated June 27, 2016, re: Conservation Review Board Matter – Ward 10 – litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board

21.6. Report from M. Rea, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, dated June 20, 2016, re: OMB Appeal – Ward 6 – litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board

21.7. Labour relations or employee negotiations – collective agreement matter

21.8. Report from H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer, re: Ombudsman Update – the security of the property of the municipality or local board; personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees; an ongoing investigation respecting the municipality, a local board or a municipally-controlled corporation by the Ombudsman appointed under the Ombudsman Act or Ombudsman referred to in the Municipal Act, 2001

21.9. Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees – personnel matter

22. Confirming By-law

22.1. To confirm the proceedings of the Regular Council Meeting of July 6, 2016

23. Adjournment

Next Meetings: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 – 9:30 a.m.  
Wednesday, September 28, 2016 – 9:30 a.m.

Proclamations

The following City of Brampton proclamation will be made on behalf of Council:
• Pride Week – July 11-17, 2016 – to be given to Pride Committee of Peel
Wednesday, June 22, 2016

Members Present:  
Mayor L. Jeffrey (left at 11:42 a.m. – personal)  
Regional Councillor G. Gibson – Wards 1 and 5  
Regional Councillor E. Moore – Wards 1 and 5  
Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6 (Chaired meeting from 11:42 a.m. to 2:19 p.m.)  
Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4 (left at 11:42 a.m. – personal)  
Regional Councillor G. Miles – Wards 7 and 8 (left at 1:48 p.m. – other municipal business)  
Regional Councillor J. Sprovieri – Wards 9 and 10  
City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6  
City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4  
City Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8 (left at 2:05 p.m. – other municipal business)  
City Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10 (left at 1:20 p.m. – personal)

Members Absent:  
nil

Staff Present:  
H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer  
J. Patteson, Chief Public Services Officer  
P. Simmons, Chief Corporate Services Officer  
J. Pitushka, Executive Director, Public Works, and Acting Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer  
O. Lukich, Senior Manager, Community Engagement, and Acting Chief Operating Officer  
R. Zuech, Acting City Solicitor, Corporate Services  
P. Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services  
T. Brenton, Legislative Coordinator, Corporate Services  
S. Pacheco, Legislative Coordinator, Corporate Services
The meeting was called to order at 9:32 a.m. and recessed at 11:42 a.m. Council moved into Closed Session at 12:18 p.m., recessed and moved out of Closed Session at 2:08 p.m. Council reconvened in Open Session at 2:13 p.m. and adjourned at 2:19 p.m.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

Discussion took place with respect to amendments to the agenda.

The following motion was considered, with the required two-thirds majority vote achieved.

C197-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri  
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

That the agenda for the Regular Council Meeting of June 22, 2016 be approved as amended, as follows:

**To add the following report:**

16.1 Report from P. Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services, dated June 21, 2016, re: *Amendment to Municipal Officials By-law 84-2008*

**To add the following by-laws:**

- **135-2016** To amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended – to extend the Downtown Parking Exemption – Wards 1 and 3
- **136-2016** To amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended – schedule relating to rate of speed – James Potter Road and Chinguacousy Road – Wards 4 and 5
- **137-2016** To amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended – schedule relating to No Parking – Frostweed Court – Wards 8
- **138-2016** To amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended – schedules relating to through highways and stop signs – Whitwell Drive and Yukon Lane – Ward 10
- **139-2016** To appoint Denis Squires as City Solicitor and make other housekeeping amendments to Municipal Officials By-law 84-2008, as amended
To delete the following report and by-laws:


Carried

The following supplementary information was provided at the meeting:

10.6. Recommendations – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee – June 20, 2016

21.9 Report from H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer, re Ombudsman Investigation – an ongoing investigation respecting the municipality, a local board or a municipally-controlled corporation by the Ombudsman appointed under the Ombudsman Act or an Ombudsman referred to in subsection 223.13 (1) of the Municipal Act, 2001

Re: Item 5.1 (Announcement – Vibrant Brampton):
• Copy of presentation

Re: Item 5.3 (Announcement – Match for Marrow Foundation Walk):
• Information Sheet

Re: Item 18.1 (Regional Council Business)
• Briefing Report from the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer re: Region of Peel Council Meeting and Peel Living Annual General Meeting – June 23, 2016

2. Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act – nil
3. **Adoption of the Minutes**

3.1. **Minutes – City Council – Regular Meeting – June 8, 2016**

The following motion was considered.

C198-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Palleschi
Seconded by City Councillor Bowman

That the **Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting of June 8, 2016**, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be approved as printed and circulated.

Carried

4. **Consent Motion**

Note: Item 8.7 was removed from Consent as it was deleted under Approval of Agenda Resolution C197-2016.

The following motion was considered.

C199-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri
Seconded by City Councillor Bowman

8.3. 1. That the report from B. Grant, Advisor, Community Events, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, dated June 2, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: **Support for the Brampton BIA Classic Cars and Thursday Night Concert in the Park Events**, be received; and,

2. That the City of Brampton provide in-kind support for the Classic Cars Celebration event scheduled for July 14, 15, 16, 2016 in the amount of $17,800.00; and,

3. That the City of Brampton provide in-kind support for the Thursday Night Concert in the Park events scheduled from June 2 to August 25, 2016 in the amount of $5,200.00; and,

4. That staff continue to work with the BIA to assist with developing a plan designed to reduce the overall subsidy from the City; and,
5. That the organizers of future BIA events be required to submit a request for consideration of in-kind, financial or regulatory approval in future years; and,

6. That the City Clerk and Mayor be authorized to execute the necessary agreement(s) to effect the recommendations in this report, subject to the content of such agreements being satisfactory to the Chief Operating Officer (or designate) and the form of such agreements being satisfactory to the City Solicitor (or designate).

8.6. 1. That the report from N. Grady, Development Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 25, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: **Application to Amend the Official Plan – Weston Consulting-10254 Hurontario Property Inc. – East side of Hurontario Street, South of Sandalwood Parkway West and North of Bovaird Drive – Ward 2** (File C01W12.012), be received; and,

2. That By-law 117-2016 be passed to adopt Official Plan Amendment OP2006-120; and,

3. That it is hereby determined that in adopting Official Plan Amendment OP2006-120, Council has had regard to all matters of Provincial Interest and the Provincial Policy Statement as set out in Section 2 and 3(5) respectively of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. c.P. 13, as amended.

8.8. 1. That the report from N. Grady, Development Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 25, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: **Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Townwood Homes-North West Brampton Developments Inc. – East Side of Mississauga Road, North of Buick Boulevard – Ward 6** (File C04W15.002) be received; and,

2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the development agreement based on terms and conditions approved by the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services, and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor; and,

3. That By-law 120-2016 be passed to amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004 as amended.
8.9. 1. That the report from G. Bailey, Development Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 25, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Glen Schnarr and Associates Inc. – Orlando Corporation – 8200 and 8204 Heritage Road – Ward 6 (File C06W01.004), be received; and,

2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Rezoning Agreement based on the terms and conditions approved by the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor; and,

3. That By-law 121-2016 be passed to amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended, and that pursuant to Section 34(17) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. c.P. 13, no further notice or public meeting be required.

8.10. 1. That the report from D. Waters, Manger, Land Use Policy, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 20, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Interim Control By-law (ICBL) 306-2003 Exemption Request – 10916 Mississauga Road – Ward 6, be received; and,

2. That By-law 122-2016 be passed to exempt 10916 Mississauga Road from Interim Control By-law 306-2003, as amended.

8.11. 1. That the report from J. Edwin, Manager, Development Construction, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 19, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Subdivision Release and Assumption – Criterion Development Corporation – Registered Plan No. 43M-1865 – South of Castlemore Road and West of Clarkway Drive – Ward 10 (File C10E09.005), be received; and,

2. That all works constructed and installed in accordance with the subdivision agreement for Registered Plan No. 43M-1865 (the “Subdivision”) be accepted and assumed; and,

3. That the Treasurer be authorized to release the securities held by the City; save and except for the amount of
$100,000 which shall be held by the City until such time as the Executive Director, Engineering and Development Services, is satisfied that the warranty period in respect of all landscaping works has expired; and,

4. That By-law 123-2016 be passed to assume the following streets and street widening block as shown on Registered Plan No. 43M-1865 as part of the public highway system:

Bellchase Trail, Birch Tree Trail, Castle Oaks Crossing, Drexel Road, Drummondville Drive, Everlasting Court, Long Branch Trail, Meltwater Crescent, Riseborough Drive, Washburn Road and Street Widening Block 278 to be part of Clarkway Drive

Carried

5. Announcements

5.1. Announcement – Vibrant Brampton – Saturday July 23, 2016 – Garden Square Brampton

Arpan Banerjee, Sandeep Prabhakar, Kawaljit Bajaj, and Krish Chatterjee, from Arts and Culture Initiative of South Asia (ACISA), announced Vibrant Brampton, a free multi-disciplinary festival celebrating South Asian arts, culture and heritage, taking place in Garden Square on Saturday, July 23, 2016 from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

Mr. Banerjee provided a presentation outlining information on ACISA and the Vibrant Brampton event, and extended thanks to the City for its support.

Regional Councillor Medeiros, announcement sponsor, referenced the City’s vibrant South Asian community and highlighted the benefits of the downtown Brampton location for the event.

5.2. Announcement – Donation of Artwork from Night and Day Studio to the City of Brampton

Ranjit Khatkur, Kevin Hunt and Natalia Norton, representing Night and Day Studio, announced the donation of an art piece entitled “Insight” to the City of Brampton.

Ms. Khatkur provided details on Night and Day Studio, a description of the artwork, and assistance provided by City Councillors Whillans, Bowman and Fortini toward acceptance of the artwork by the City.
City Councillor Whillans, announcement sponsor, extended thanks for the artwork, acknowledged assistance provided by City staff, and confirmed that the artwork is on display in the 24 hour lobby of City Hall.

5.3. Announcement – Match for Marrow Foundation Walk – Friday, July 1, 2016

Simrat Deol, Match for Marrow Foundation, in attendance with family members Inderjit and Jasnoor, announced the 2\textsuperscript{nd} Annual Match for Marrow Walk taking place on Friday, July 1, 2016 beginning at 3:00 p.m. in Meadowland Park.

Ms. Deol outlined her personal connection to the Match for Marrow Foundation, provided a presentation on bone marrow donations, and highlighted the need for a more diverse worldwide bone marrow registry.

Mayor Jeffrey, announcement sponsor, recognized the Deol family’s efforts toward raising awareness of bone marrow donations.

5.4. Announcement – Presentation of Awards to Recreation and Culture Lifeguard Staff for their Recent Lifesaving Efforts at Earnscliffe Recreation Centre

Donna-Lynn Rosa, Director, Recreation and Culture, Public Services, announced the efforts of Earnscliffe Recreation Centre lifeguards, Sana Siddiqui, Jessika Alessi-Zimmer, Elizabeth Lach, Kristina Veri and Nathan Borg, whose skills and quick action resulted in saving the life of Jasmine Rogers. She also acknowledged the assistance and response from Fire and Emergency Services and Peel Paramedics.

Brian Connors, President, Lifesaving Society Ontario, and Andrea Herrmann, President Elect, Lifesaving Society Ontario, presented Awards of Merit and Commendation to the lifeguards in recognition of their outstanding team efforts.

Regional Councillor Gibson, announcement sponsor, highlighted the value of well-trained staff and extended thanks to the lifeguard team for their actions.

5.5. Announcement – Results of Purina Walk for Dog Guides held on Sunday, May 29, 2016

Wesley Coupland, Chinguacousy Lions Club (Chair, Dogwalk), announced that over $6,000 was raised at the Purina Walk for Dog Guides held on
Sunday, May 29, 2016. He acknowledged assistance provided by the Salvation Army, and extended thanks to the 40 participants, and Councillors Fortini, Bowman and Whillans for their attendance at the event.

City Councillor Bowman, announcement sponsor, acknowledged Mr. Coupland’s efforts toward this worthy cause.

6. **Delegations** – nil

7. **Reports from the Head of Council** – nil

8. **Reports of Corporate Officials**

8.1. Report from M. McCollum, Manager, Corporate Development and Strategy, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated June 15, 2016, re: **Canada 150 Infrastructure Program – Additional Funding**.

Council consideration of this matter included:
- details from staff about why the Gage Park Splash Pad was not included in the proposed projects list
- lack of parks, facilities and other amenities in Wards 1 and 5 and 3 and 4
- potential for infrastructure funding for future projects such as splash pads, the completion of which could be funded under future City budgets
- correction to the Ward reference for Earnie Mitchell Park on page 8.1-6

The following motion was considered.

C200-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Palleschi
Seconded by City Councillor Bowman

1. That the report from M. McCollum, Manager, Corporate Development and Strategy, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated June 15, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: **Canada 150 Infrastructure Program – Additional Funding**, be received; and,

2. That the projects, listed in Appendix A in priority order, be approved as the basis for the City of Brampton’s application to the Canada 150 Community Infrastructure Program, Intake Two; and,

3. That staff prepare a report to Council with the necessary funding implications for the municipal contribution should any of these projects be selected for funding; and,
4. That, subject to Council deliberation and decision of the budget impacts for the municipal contribution, a by-law be passed as required to provide confirmation of Council support, should the submitted projects be selected for implementation.

Carried


The following motion was considered.

C201-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Palleschi
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

1. That the report from S. Saeed, Director, Economic Development and Tourism, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, dated June 3, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Staff Attendance at the International Federation of Library Associations (IFLA) Public Libraries Satellite Conference (File CE.x), be received; and,

2. That the Senior Advisor, Creative Economy (Kelly Stahl), Economic Development and Tourism Division, be authorized to attend the 21st Century Literacies for Public Libraries meeting from August 10-11, 2016 in Philadelphia, PA.

Carried

8.3. Report from B. Grant, Advisor, Community Events, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, dated June 2, 2016, re: Support for the Brampton BIA Classic Cars and Thursday Night Concert in the Park Events

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016

8.4. Report from P. Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services, dated June 2, 2016, re: Establishment of a Chief Administrative Officer Performance Review Committee (File: FE.x).

The following motion was considered.
C202-2016  Moved by Regional Councillor Gibson
Seconded by Mayor Jeffrey

1. That the report from P. Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services, dated June 2, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Establishment of a Chief Administrative Officer Performance Review Committee (File FE.x), be received; and,

2. That the terms of reference for the CAO Performance Review Committee, as set out in Appendix 1 to this report, be approved.

Carried

8.5. Report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated June 1, 2016, re: By-Law to Designate Bank Signing Authority and to Repeal By-Law 262-2013

The following motion was considered.

C203-2016  Moved by City Councillor Bowman
Seconded by Regional Councillor Palleschi

Whereas the City is required to ensure that the By-Law to designate Signing Authority on cheques, approve Electronic Funds Transfer and Wire transfers, and general banking controls is up to date.

Therefore it be resolved that:

1. The report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated June 1, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: By-Law to Designate Bank Signing Authority and to Repeal By-Law 262-2013, be received; and,

2. By-law 116-2016 be enacted to designate signing authority on cheques, approval of electronic funds transfer and wire transfers, and to repeal By-Law 262-2013; and,

3. The Treasurer be authorized to amend any and all internally documented policies and procedures and any external financial services agreements to reflect the proper position titles as per the by-Law to designate Signing Authority on cheques, approve Electronic Funds Transfer and Wire transfers, and general banking controls.

Carried

See By-law 117-2016

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016


Deleted under Approval of Agenda Resolution C197-2016

* 8.8. Report from N. Grady, Development Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 25, 2016, re: Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Townwood Homes-North West Brampton Developments Inc. – East Side of Mississauga Road, North of Buick Boulevard – Ward 6 (File C04W15.002)

See By-law 120-2016

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016


See By-law 121-2016

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016


See By-law 122-2016

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016
8.11. Report from J. Edwin, Manager, Development Construction, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated May 19, 2016, re: Subdivision Release and Assumption – Criterion Development Corporation – Registered Plan No. 43M-1865 – South of Castlemore Road and West of Clarkway Drive – Ward 10 (File C10E09.005).

See By-law 123-2016

Dealt with under Consent Resolution C199-2016


Prior to consideration of the subject report, Julian Patteson, Chief Public Services Office, announced the retirement of Realty Services Manager Ann Pritchard after 22 years with the City, and acknowledged her leadership, dedication, volunteerism and overall contributions.

Ms. Pritchard reminisced about her time working at the City, extended thanks to Chief Patteson, her colleagues in Realty Services, senior management and Members of Council for their support.

Members of Council expressed their appreciation to Ms. Pritchard for her professionalism and service, and extended best wishes in her retirement.

The following motion was considered.

C204-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri
Seconded by City Councillor Dhillon

1. That the report from A. Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services, Public Services, dated May 17, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Expropriation of Certain Lands for widening of Goreway Drive from North of Humberwest Parkway to South of Castlemore Road – Ward 10, be received; and,

2. That By-law 124-2016 be enacted to approve the expropriation of the properties listed in Schedule “A” to this report for the purpose of the widening of Goreway Drive from north of Humberwest Parkway to south of Castlemore Road; and,
3. That the Mayor and City Clerk, or their delegated authority, as the case may be, be authorized to execute all documents, and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, serve and publish on behalf of The Corporation of the City of Brampton, all notices, applications, advertisements and other documents required by the *Expropriations Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.E.26 as amended, in order to complete the expropriation of the said property rights based on terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor.

Carried

9. **Reports of Accountability Officers** – nil

10. **Committee Reports**

10.1. **Minutes – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee – June 6, 2016**

Regional Councillor Moore, Committee Chair, introduced the minutes, and provided a reminder they were presented for receipt, as the recommendations were approved at the Council Meeting of June 8, 2016.

The following motion was considered.

C205-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Moore
Seconded by Regional Councillor Sprovieri

That the Minutes of the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 6, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received.

Carried

10.2. **Minutes – Audit Committee – June 7, 2016**

Regional Councillor Medeiros, Acting Committee Chair, introduced the minutes.

The following motion was considered.
Minutes
City Council

C206-2016  Moved by Regional Councillor Medeiros
Seconded by Regional Councillor Gibson

1. That the Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received; and,

2. That Recommendations AU010-2016 to AU017-2016, be approved, as outlined in the subject minutes.

Carried

The recommendations were approved as follows.

AU010-2016  That the agenda for the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016 be approved, as amended, to add the following item:

4.1. Delegation from Mr. Kevin Travers, Partner, KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants, re: 2015 Audited Financial Statements for the City of Brampton.

AU011-2016  1. That the delegation from Mr. Kevin Travers, Partner, KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: 2015 Audited Financial Statements for the City of Brampton be received; and,

2. Whereas the Municipal Act, 2001, Section 294.1 requires that “A municipality shall, for each fiscal year, prepare annual financial statements for the municipality in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for local governments as recommended, from time to time, by the Public Sector Accounting Board of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants.”;

Whereas the Municipal Act, 2001, Section 296 (1) requires that “A municipality shall appoint an auditor licensed under the Public Accounting Act, 2004, who is responsible for,

a. Annually auditing the accounts and transactions of the municipality and its local boards and expressing an opinion on the financial statements of these bodies based on the audit.”; and,

Whereas the audit of the 2015 Annual Financial Statements by the City Auditor, KPMG LLP Chartered Accountants is complete;
Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from S. Gannon, Director, Treasury Services and Deputy Treasurer, Corporate Services, dated May 15, 2016, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: 2015 Audited Financial Statements for the City of Brampton be received.

AU012-2016 That the report from K. Liew, Acting Director, Internal Audit, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated May 20, 2016, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: Quarterly Status of Management Action Plans – March 2016 be received.

AU013-2016 That the report from A. Damian, Senior Advisor, Internal Audit, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated May 11, 2016, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: Corporate Fraud Prevention Hotline Overview – Phase I (File GE.a) be received.

AU014-2016 That the report from G. Lee, Internal Auditor, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated May 7, 2016, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: Driver Certification Program (DCP) Specified Procedures Inspection Compliance Audits be received.

AU015-2016 Whereas the City’s 2014 Annual Report received a Canadian Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from S. Gannon, Director, Treasury Services and Deputy Treasurer, Corporate Services, dated May 18, 2016, to the Audit Committee Meeting of June 7, 2016, re: Canadian Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting – 2014 be received.

AU016-2016 That Committee proceed into Closed Session in order to address matters pertaining to the following:

10.1. Report from Karen Liew, Acting Director, Internal Audit, dated May 27, 2016, re: Employee Purchasing Card Audit Report (File GE.a) – the security of the property of the municipality or local board
That the Audit Committee do now adjourn to meet again on September 13, 2016, at 9:30 a.m. or at the call of the Chair.

10.3. Minutes – Community & Public Services Committee – June 15, 2016

Regional Councillor Gibson, Committee Chair, introduced the minutes and provided a summary of matters considered at the meeting.

The following motion was considered.

C207-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Gibson
Seconded by Regional Councillor Palleschi

1. That the Minutes of the Community & Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received; and,

2. That Recommendations CPS070-2016 to CPS086-2016 be approved, as outlined in the subject minutes.

The recommendations were approved as follows.

CPS070-2016 That the agenda for the Community & Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, be approved, as amended to add the following item:

12.1 Councillor Doug Whillans, re: Motion – Fundraising event for Peel Memorial.

CPS071-2016 1. That the report from Victoria Mountain, Advisor, Corporate Development and Strategy, Officer of the Chief Administrative Officer, dated May 30, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Arts and Culture Panel Budget Request be received; and

2. That the Treasurer is authorized to create a new Cost Centre for the Arts and Culture Panel with an expenditure budget of $72,600 and an equal revenue budget of $72,600 to be transferred from the General Rate Stabilization Reserve as required to offset expenditures; and
3. That the Treasurer is authorized to continue this Cost Centre into 2017 and to continue to transfer sufficient funds from the General Rate Stabilization Reserve to offset expenses charged to the Arts and Culture Panel Cost Centre; and

4. That the Arts and Culture Panel provide regular updates to City Council regarding the budget and actual expenditures; and

5. That the delegation of Chuck Scott, Chair, and José Bertrand, and Vice-Chair, Arts and Culture Panel, to the Community and Public Services Committee meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Arts and Culture Panel Budget Request be received.

CPS072-2016 That the presentation by Olga Lukich, Senior Manager, Office of Community Engagement, and Molly Leathem, Project Coordinator, Youthful Cities, to the Community and Public Services Committee meeting of June 15, 2016, re: City of Brampton 2016 Youth Survey Results be received.

CPS073-2016 That the presentation by Jessica VanStokkum, Programmer, Aquatics; Ryan Giles, Coordinator, Community Programs; and Joey Rusnak, Coordinator, Community Programs and Marek Holke, Coordinator, Community Programs, to the Community and Public Services Committee meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Drowning Prevention Initiatives Update be received.

CPS074-2016 That the report and presentation from Ken Esplen, Manager, Public Services Department, dated May 20, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Alderlea – One Year Review – Ward 3, be received.

CPS075-2016 1. That the presentation by Al Meneses, Executive Director, Facility Services, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Update on Howden Recreation Centre, be received; and

2. That Option 5, as presented by staff be approved, and that staff continue to investigate options for the future of Howden Recreation Centre and report back to Committee after completion and approval of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
CPS076-2016

1. That the report from Erica McDonald, Manager, Sport Brampton, Recreation and Culture Division, Public Services Department, dated May 25, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, re: 2016 Canada 55+ Games Update Report #2, be received; and

2. That the following new sponsors be acknowledged for their generosity in supporting the 2016 Games: Starbucks, Zoomer Radio, Brampton Guardian, Kanef Golf, Ontario Tennis Association, The Salvation Army, Canadian Seniors Inc., Cedar Springs Water; and

3. That Council approve free of charge use of Brampton Transit for all credentialed Games participants and their companions in addition to all credentialed volunteers from August 15 to 19, 2016 inclusive; and

4. That Council approve free of charge use of all City of Brampton recreation facilities for all credentialed Games participants and their companions from August 15 to 19, 2016 inclusive; and

5. That the Games Organizing Committee (GOC) provide one additional pre-game update in July via a Council Briefing Note.

CPS077-2016

1. That the report from Donna-Lynn Rosa, Director, Recreation and Culture, Public Services, dated May, 16, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June,15, 2016 re: Endorsement of the Diversity and Inclusion Charter of Peel (File: 31/2015), be received; and

2. That the Diversity and Inclusion Charter of Peel be endorsed; and

3. That a copy of this report be forwarded to the City’s Inclusion and Equity Committee for its reference and consideration in developing an Inclusion and Equity Strategy for the City.

CPS078-2016

1. That the report from Michael Clark, Fire Chief, Brampton Fire and Emergency Services, Public Services, dated May 16, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Tiered Response Agreement
between Peel Regional Paramedic Services and Municipal Fire Services within the Region of Peel, be
received; and

2. That Council authorize entering into the Region of Peel, Cities of Mississauga and Brampton and the Town of Caledon Tiered Response Agreement; and

3. That Council authorize the Fire Chief to execute the Region of Peel, Cities of Mississauga and Brampton and the Town of Caledon Tiered Response Agreement on behalf of the City of Brampton.

CPS079-2016 That the report from Suzanne Connor, Executive Director, Transit, Public Services, dated May 2, 2016, to Community and Public Services Committee, meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Transit Purchase Order Amendment: Prevost, a division of Volvo Group – All Wards, be received.

CPS080-2016 Whereas the PRESTO Smart Card System was created to facilitate seamless inter-regional transit travel throughout the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) and Ottawa;

Whereas on June 21, 2006, Council authorized the execution of a ten year Operating Agreement (2006-2016) with the Ministry of Transportation;

Whereas PRESTO did not become available in Brampton until 2011, a full five years into the 10 year operating agreement;

Whereas the current PRESTO Operating Agreement with Metrolinx is set to expire October 27, 2016;

Therefore be it resolved:

1. That the report by Peter Simmons, Acting Chief Administrative Officer, dated May 25, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: PRESTO Operating Agreement Renewal – Update be received; and

2. That the Chief Administrative Officer continue to work with the 905 Municipal Service Providers to negotiate a new PRESTO governance model and legal agreement for the consideration of Council.
CPS081-2016 1. That the report from Dave Nixon, Manager of Maintenance, Transit, Public Services Department, dated May 4, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re. **Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-Law Section 4.0 – Remove and Replace up to Eight (8) Transit Bus Vehicle Lift Hoists at the Clark Transit Facility located at 185 Clark Boulevard, Ward 7**, be received; and

2. That the Purchasing Agent be authorized to begin the procurement to Remove and Replace up to Eight (8) Transit Bus Vehicle Lift Hoists at the Clark Transit Facility located at 185 Clark Boulevard.

CPS082-2016 1. That the report from Suzanne Connor, Executive Director, Transit, Public Services dated April 29, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: **Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-Law Section 4.0 – To Supply Radial Type Transit Bus Tires for The City of Brampton, Transit, on a Lease Basis for a Five Year Period, All Wards**, be received; and

2. That the Purchasing Agent be authorized to begin the procurement to Supply Radial Type Transit Bus Tires for The City Of Brampton, Transit, on a Lease Basis for a five year period.

CPS083-2016 1. That the report from Ann Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services, dated May 12, 2016, to the Community and Public Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: **Civic Centre Future Use Study, Ward 7**, be received; and

2. That the Civic Centre Future Use Study, as described in the subject report, be approved by Council; and,

3. That staff report back to Council with a cost estimate to explore the Civic Centre future use options described in this report and a recommended source of funding.

CPS084-2016 Whereas the keys to the newly constructed Peel Memorial Hospital are expected to be turned over in September 2016, at which time fit-up of the facility will begin;
Whereas the funding arrangement between the Provincial Government and the William Osler Healthcare System places a financial obligation on the local community to raise 10% of the cost of new construction, and 100% of the cost of fit-up;

Whereas Brampton City Council has demonstrated its support and commitment for the redevelopment of the Peel Memorial Hospital site through a local tax levy to raise $60 million towards the cost of construction;

Whereas Brampton City Council wishes to show continued support by assisting with a financial contribution towards the fit-up costs;

Therefore be it resolved, that Council formally endorse a “Brampton City Council Golf Tournament” to be hosted by all members of Brampton Council, to be held Thursday September 29, 2016, at Brampton Golf Club with all funds raised to help finish and equip the new Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness.

CPS085-2016 That Committee move into Closed Session to deal with matters pertaining to:

19.1 Report from Al Meneses, Executive Director, Facility Services, Public Services, dated May 26, 2016, re: Transitional Buildings – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board

CPS086-2016 That the Community and Public Services Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Wednesday, September 7, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

10.4. Minutes – Corporate Services Committee – June 15, 2016

Regional Councillor Medeiros, Committee Chair, introduced the minutes and provided a summary of matters considered at the meeting.

Regional Councillor Gibson confirmed his acceptance of the position of Budget Committee Chair.

The following motion was considered.
Moved by Regional Councillor Medeiros
Seconded by Regional Councillor Miles

1. That the Minutes of the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received; and,

2. That Recommendations CS091-2016 to CS106-2016 be approved, as outlined in the subject minutes.

The recommendations were approved as follows.

CS091-2016 That the agenda for the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016 be approved as printed and circulated.

CS092-2016 Whereas the Finance Division conducts a User Fee review periodically to ensure adequate cost recovery for services provided;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 11, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Amendment to User Fee By-law 380-2003 – Amendment of Schedules I and L be received; and,

2. That a by-law be passed to amend Schedules I and L of User Fee By-Law 380-2003, as amended, to reflect the new rates after factoring in the impact of HST and other additions/deletions.

CS093-2016 Whereas Section 385, Part XI of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides that a municipality, instead of charging its actual costs in determining any cancellation price, may fix a scale of costs to be charged as the reasonable costs of proceedings under Part XI of the Act, which scale shall be designed to meet only the anticipated costs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 31, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Scale of Costs for proceedings under Part XI of the Municipal Act, 2001 be received; and,
**Municipal Act, 2001** Sale of Land for Tax Arrears, Section 385, be received; and,

2. That a Scale of Costs by-law be passed in accordance with Attachment “A” to the subject report.

**CS094-2016**

Whereas in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 438/97 of the *Municipal Act, 2001* and the Council approved Investment Policy, the report presents the results of the investment activities, interest earnings and investment yield for the year ended December 31, 2015;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 19, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: 2015 Cash Management and Investment Report – for the year ended December 31, 2015 be received; and,

2. That the City’s Investment Policy be amended to reflect the change related to The One Investment Program as described in the subject report, and attached as Appendix A – Investment Policy (FP 13.6.0).

**CS095-2016**

That the following recommendations be endorsed, in principle, and referred to the recommended Budget Committee Chair (Regional Councillor Gibson) to consult with staff, invite each Member of Council to submit comments to the Chair, and make further amendments for Council’s consideration:

Whereas the Treasurer is required to provide Council with information related to the financial affairs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 16, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: 2017-2019 Budget Process, be received; and,

2. That Council approve one budget workshop in September 2016 to discuss opportunities for enhancements to the budget process based on the feedback received from Council members (Appendix A); and
3. That Council approve four days of Preliminary Budget Deliberations of Budget Committee in October 2016 and two days of Final Budget Deliberations in December 2016, followed by Council Budget Approval on December 14, 2016; and

4. That the 2019 capital spending envelope be set at $180 million in order to align capital budget requests with the capacity to deliver the approved capital program; and

5. That a Special Council Meeting be scheduled for Wednesday December 14, 2016 commencing at 7:00 p.m. for the purpose of:
   a. Approving the 2017 Operating and Capital Budgets, and
   b. Approving the 2018 and 2019 Operating and Capital Budgets, subject to additional approvals in subsequent years as required by the Municipal Act, 2001, section 291.

6. That, for the purpose of the 2017-2018 budget approval cycle, the Budget Committee Chair be Regional Councillor Gibson and the Vice-Chair be Regional Councillor Medeiros.

CS096-2016 Whereas the Treasurer is required to provide Council with information related to the financial affairs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated April 19, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: 2015 Operating Budget and Reserve Fund Year End Status Report (as at December 31, 2015) be received.

CS097-2016 Whereas the Treasurer is required to provide Council with information related to the financial affairs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 5, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: 2016 Current Budget and Reserve Fund Status Report (as at March 31, 2016) be received.
CS098-2016  Whereas the Tax Billing and Collection Policy (13.10.0) includes a requirement to report annually to Council outlining the status of the City’s overdue tax accounts;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from D. Sutton, Director, Financial Planning and Budgets, Corporate Services, dated May 5, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: **Status of Tax Collection Accounts** be received.

CS099-2016  Whereas the Treasurer is required to provide Council with information related to the financial affairs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from R. Kumar, Manager, Capital and Development Finance, Corporate Services, dated May 11, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: **Budget Amendment – Transit Development Charges 10% Tax Based Funding**, be received; and,

2. That the funding for all Transit Development Charges funded capital projects identified in Appendix A be amended to return the 10% funded from tax based reserves to its source (Reserve Fund #78) and replace it with DC Reserve Funds – Transit; and,

3. That this additional Transit Development Charge capital funding be included in the next Development Charges Background Study and By-Law to be collected from future development.

CS100-2016  Whereas the Treasurer is to report to Council semi-annually on the City’s Capital Program;

Therefore Be It Resolved:

1. That the report from S. Gannon, Director, Treasury Services and Deputy Treasurer, Corporate Services, dated May 13, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: **Capital Project Financial Status Report – Year End 2015**, be received, and,
2. That the budget be amended for the following capital projects:

   a. That Capital Project #094500.006 – Environmental Assessment (Sandalwood Pkwy – McLaughlin-Heart Lake) be amended to offset an increased contribution of $80,769.61 from the Region of Peel.

   b. That Capital Project #133411.001 – Creditview Road Reconstruction (Fairhill Avenue – Sandalwood Pkwy) be amended to offset an increased contribution of $421,885.75 from the Region of Peel.

   c. That Capital Project #133880.001 – Bramalea Road Widening (Countryside Drive – Mayfield Road) be amended to offset an increased contribution from Developers by $767,427.64.

   d. That Capital Project #133940.001 – Countryside Drive Widening (Torbram Road – Airport Road) be amended to offset an increased contribution from Developers by $166,337.94.

   e. That Capital Project #093430.001 – Queen Street East Widening (Centre Street – Highway 410) be amended to offset a decreased contribution from Cost Recovery-Regional by $1,484,372.10 and an increased contribution from DC Reserve #137 by $449,368.81 and Reserve #4 by $23,650.99.

CS101-2016

1. That the report from J. Iacobucci, Manager, Court Administration, Corporate Services, dated May 10, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Administrative Monetary Penalty System (AMPS) – Program Enhancements Resulting from Ontario Regulation 149/15 (File Bl.x), be received; and,

2. That a by-law be passed to amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, and Administrative Penalty By-law 333-2013, as amended, substantially in accordance with the wording set out in Appendix 1, to establish the following parking infractions subject to administrative penalties, effective July 1, 2016, as enabled through Ontario Regulation 333/07, as amended by Ontario Regulation 149/15:
a. Section 43(1)(k) – No person shall park any vehicle on any highway at any designated accessible parking space unless a valid accessible parking permit has been placed on said vehicle ($350 administrative penalty);

b. Section 48(5)(a) – No person shall park a motor vehicle in a designated accessible parking space in a public parking area, unless a valid accessible parking permit is properly displayed upon the motor vehicle. ($350 administrative penalty);

c. Section 48(3) – No person, including persons driving a vehicle displaying a valid accessible parking permit, shall park on or behind an access aisle ($300 administrative penalty); and,

3. That a by-law be passed to amend Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, and Administrative Penalty By-law 333-2013, as amended, substantially in accordance with the wording set out in Appendix 1, to establish the following parking infractions subject to administrative penalties, effective July 1, 2016, as enabled through Ontario Regulation 333/07, as amended by Ontario Regulation 149/15:

a. Section 45 – No person shall park a large vehicle or detached trailer on any street in any residential zone unless it is at the time being used to make a delivery or to provide a service (administrative penalty increase from $100 to $125); and,

b. Section 47 (5) – No person shall park or leave a vehicle at any time on or along any part of a private roadway designated as a fire route (administrative penalty change increase from $100 to $150).

CS102-2016 1. That the report from P. Gunasekera, Senior Manager, Business Services, Corporate Services, dated May 19, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Budget Amendment and Request To Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-law Section 4.0 Oracle PeopleSoft Upgrade and Support be received; and,
2. That capital project #151043 – Finance Systems Maintenance and Enhancement be closed, with funding of $119,000 returned to Reserve #4 – Asset Repair and Replacement; and,

3. That a budget amendment be approved and a new capital project be established for the PeopleSoft Upgrade, with funding of $1,775,242 transferred from Reserve #4 – Asset Repair and Replacement; and,

4. That the Purchasing Agent be authorized to engage in Direct Negotiations with Oracle Corporation for upgrade and support services for the City’s Oracle PeopleSoft business systems; and,

5. That a competitive Request for Proposal be issued if direct negotiations with Oracle Corporation are unsuccessful.

CS103-2016 That the report from H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Proposed Delegation Topics to Ministers at Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) Conference be received.

CS104-2016 Whereas the Treasurer is required to provide Council with information related to the financial affairs of the municipality;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the report from R. Kumar, Manager, Capital and Development Finance, Corporate Services, dated May 11, 2016, to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Changes to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (DCA) brought about through the adoption of Bill 73 – Smart Growth for our Communities Act, 2015, be received.

CS105-2016 That the briefing report from the CAO's Office to the Corporate Services Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Region of Peel Committee Agendas – June 16, 2016 be received.

CS106-2016 That the Corporate Services Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Wednesday, September 7, 2016 at 3:00 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

City Councillor Bowman, Committee Chair, introduced the minutes and provided a summary of matters considered at the meeting.

The following motion was considered.

C209-2016 Moved by City Councillor Bowman
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

1. That the Minutes of the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received; and;

2. That Recommendations ED075-2016 to ED083-2016 be approved, as outlined in the subject minutes.

The recommendations were approved as follows.

ED075-2016 That the agenda for the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016 be approved, as amended, to add the following item:


ED076-2016 That the delegation from Suzy Godefroy, Executive Director, Downtown Brampton BIA, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Downtown Brampton BIA Marketing and Event Update be received.

ED077-2016 That the presentation by S. Saeed, Director, Economic Development and Tourism, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Economic Development Marketing Plan Update be received.

ED078-2016 That the report from S. Saeed, Director, Economic Development and Tourism, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, dated May 9, 2016, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Post-Mission Information Report – New Exporters to Border States ("NEBS") Mission (File CE.x) be received.
ED079-2016 That the verbal update from S. Wilcox, Manager, Tourism and Film Services, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Economic Development and Tourism's Culinary Tourism Strategy for 2016-2017 be received.

ED080-2016 That the verbal update from S. Wilcox, Manager, Tourism and Film Services, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Film Statistics for 2015-2016 be received.

ED081-2016 That the verbal update from P. Aldunate, Central Area Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Central Area Development Activity Update be received.

ED082-2016 That the verbal update from C. Baccardax, Senior Advisor, Foreign Investment, Office of the Chief Operating Officer, to the Economic Development Committee Meeting of June 15, 2016, re: Pre-Mission Update – Health and Life Sciences – San Francisco, California, USA – June 2016 be received.

ED083-2016 That the Economic Development Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Wednesday, September 7, 2016 at 1:00 p.m.

10.6. **Recommendations – Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee – June 20, 2016**

The subject recommendations were distributed at the meeting.

Regional Councillor Moore, Committee Chair, introduced the subject recommendations and provided a summary of matters considered at the meeting.

Council discussion took place with respect to Recommendations P&IS180-2016 and P&IS203-2016.

A motion was introduced to amend Recommendation P&IS180-2016 to add the following clause:
5. **THAT** Brampton Brick be requested to make a public presentation regarding their revised plans for the Norval Quarry on September 12, 2016 @ 7:00 PM.; and

**THAT** interested residents of Brampton be given an opportunity to provide their views on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry; and

**THAT** the presentation is not a statutory meeting under The Planning Act and staff and Members of Council will not have an official position regarding Brampton Brick’s revised proposal and as such questions will not be directed to or answered by either staff or Members of Council at this meeting; and

**THAT** public comments/questions will be considered during the preparation of the final report on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry.

The amendment was voted on and carried.

A motion was introduced to refer the correspondence referenced in Recommendation P&IS203-2016 to staff for a report to a future Committee meeting. The amendment, as follows, was voted on and carried:

P&IS203-2016 That the correspondence from Jeanette and John Veira, Brampton residents, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **2088 Embleton Road – Cash-in-lieu of Parkland Refund Request – Ward 6** be referred to staff for a report to a future Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting.

The following motion to approve the recommendations, as amended, was considered.

C210-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Moore
Seconded by City Councillor Fortini

1. That the **Summary of Recommendations from the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016**, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, be received; and,

2. That Recommendations P&IS167-2016 to P&IS179-2016, P&IS181-2016 to P&IS202-2016, and P&IS204-2016, be approved, as outlined in the subject summary; and,
3. That Recommendation P&IS180-2016 be approved, as amended, to add the following clause:

5. **THAT** Brampton Brick be requested to make a public presentation regarding their revised plans for the Norval Quarry on September 12, 2016 @ 7:00 PM.; and

**THAT** interested residents of Brampton be given an opportunity to provide their views on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry; and

**THAT** the presentation is not a statutory meeting under **The Planning Act** and staff and Members of Council will not have an official position regarding Brampton Brick’s revised proposal and as such questions will not be directed to or answered by either staff or Members of Council at this meeting; and

**THAT** public comments/questions will be considered during the preparation of the final report on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry.

4. That Recommendation P&IS203-2016 be approved, as amended, to read as follows:

P&IS203-2016

That the correspondence from Jeanette and John Veira, Brampton residents, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **2088 Embleton Road – Cash-in-lieu of Parkland Refund Request – Ward 6** be referred to staff for a report to a future Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting.

Carried

The recommendations were approved, as amended, as follows.

P&IS167-2016

That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Agenda for June 20, 2016, be approved, as amended as follows:

**To add:**

10.1. Discussion at the request of Regional Councillor Palleschi, re: **Closed Session Procedures**
To delete:


P&IS168-2016 That the following delegations to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received:
1. Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton
2. Charles Brooks, Brampton resident
3. Janina Brooks, Brampton resident
4. Eloa Doner, Brampton resident
5. Michael Faye, Brampton resident
6. Andrew deGroot, Co-founder, OneBrampton
7. Barbara Sweeney, Brampton resident
8. Doug Bryden, Citizens for a Better Brampton
9. Chris Bejnar, Citizens for a Better Brampton

P&IS169-2016 That the report from Report from C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, dated May 30, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received.

P&IS170-2016 That the Etobicoke Creek valley route be eliminated from further study due to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority’s (TRCA) decision on May 27, 2016 to not support the Light Rail Transit (LRT) route within the Etobicoke Creek valley as well as noncompliance with the Provincial policies and municipal planning and environmental policies.

P&IS171-2016 Lost That staff be directed not to undertake an Environmental Assessment (EA) study for the two LRT alternative routes on Kennedy Road and McLaughlin Road from the Gateway Terminal to the Brampton GO station.
P&IS172-2016 That staff be directed to undertake an Environmental Assessment (EA) study for the two LRT alternative routes on Kennedy Road and McLaughlin Road from the Gateway Terminal to the Brampton GO station.

P&IS173-2016 That staff be directed to prepare the Terms of Reference for the EA study with the evaluation criteria for the LRT alternative routes to include the most intensification opportunities in the central area, and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton, in addition to other criteria associated with the EA process.

P&IS174-2016 That staff be directed to prepare a Request to Begin Procurement Report for a budget amendment to fund the EA study following preparation of the EA Terms of Reference.

P&IS175-2016 That the City Clerk be directed to forward a copy of the report and Council resolution to the City of Mississauga, Region of Peel, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Metrolinx, Ministry of Transportation, and Ministry of Environment and Climate Change for information.

P&IS176-2016 That the following correspondence to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received:
1. David Laing, Brampton resident, dated March 17, 2016
2. Rachael and Jeff Chadwick, Brampton residents, dated June 17, 2016

P&IS177-2016 That the delegation from Karen Bannister, Program Coordinator, Credit Valley Conservation, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Fletcher’s Creek SNAP (Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan) be received.

P&IS178-2016 1. That the report from Michael Heralall, Environmental Engineer, Engineering and Development Services, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-law Section 4.0 – Stormwater Management Financing Study, be received;
2. That the Purchasing Agent be authorized to begin the procurement to undertake the Stormwater Management Financing Study.

3. That the presentation by L. Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering, Engineering and Development Services, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Stormwater Management User Fee be received.

P&IS179-2016

1. That the report from C. LaRota, Policy Planner, Planning and Building, dated May 10, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review be received;

2. That staff be authorized to hold a statutory public meeting to present the City’s draft updated Secondary Plans in the form of Official Plan Amendments, and to report back to Council with the results of public consultation and a final recommendation.

3. That the presentation from Nick McDonald, Meridian Planning Consultants, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review be received.

P&IS180-2016

1. That the report from N. Rea, Policy Planner, Planning and Building Division, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Proposed Norval Quarry – Brampton Brick Ltd. – Ward 6 (File: C06W12.002) be received;

2. That staff be requested to ensure any new information received in regard to this matter is available for public access and review;

3. That staff be requested to review the new information provided and report back to Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee should staff analysis indicate the need for a peer review of any of the new information received, as well as report on the need and opportunities for further public input and participation in this matter;
4. That the following correspondence to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Proposed Norval Quarry – Brampton Brick Ltd. – Ward 6** (File: C06W12.002) be received:
   2. Sean Hamilton, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016
   3. Tim Lester, Norval resident, dated June 17, 2016
   4. Mary Pettingill, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016;

5. **THAT** Brampton Brick be requested to make a public presentation regarding their revised plans for the Norval Quarry on September 12, 2016 @ 7:00 PM.; and

**THAT** interested residents of Brampton be given an opportunity to provide their views on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry; and

**THAT** the presentation is not a statutory meeting under The Planning Act and staff and Members of Council will not have an official position regarding Brampton Brick’s revised proposal and as such questions will not be directed to or answered by either staff or Members of Council at this meeting; and

**THAT** public comments/questions will be considered during the preparation of the final report on Brampton Brick’s revised plans for the Norval Quarry.

---

**P&IS181-2016** That the report from J. Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning Policy and Growth Management, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Central Area Master Plan – Wards 1, 3 and 7** (File: J.BA), be received.

**P&IS182-2016**

1. That the report from P. Cooper, Policy Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Town of Caledon OPA 222 Mayfield West Secondary Plan – Direction to Attend Pre-Hearing Conference and Request Party Status – Ward 2** (File: G70 CA), be received;

2. That staff be directed to attend the pre-hearing conference on August 10, 2016 to request party status; and, 

---
3. That a copy of the report and Council resolution be forwarded to the Region of Peel and the Town of Caledon for information.

P&IS183-2016

1. That the report from A. Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 24, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Reconstruction of the Robinson Barn at the Historic Bovaird House Site – Ward 1** (HE.x 563 Bovaird Dr E), be received; and,

2. That staff be directed to prepare a preliminary feasibility analysis and funding strategy, and report back to Council with the findings and a final recommendation for the barn.

P&IS184-2016

1. That the report from D. VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **City-Initiated Zoning By-law Amendment to Extend the Downtown Parking Exemption – Wards 1 and 3** (File P03 PA), be received; and,

2. That a by-law be passed by City Council at its meeting of June 22, 2016, to amend the Zoning By-law to exempt downtown commercial uses from the By-law's minimum parking requirements for a three year period ending on June 30, 2019.

P&IS185-2016

1. That the report from D. Jenkins, Development Planner, Development Services Division, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Direction to Enter Into a Severance Agreement – Scottish Heather Development Inc. – Ward 6** (Files: B16-005 and C05W04.005) be received; and,

2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute a Severance Agreement in accordance with the Committee of Adjustment’s Decision for provisional consent (File: B16-005), with content satisfactory to the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor and that staff be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the terms of the Severance Agreement.
P&IS186-2016 1. That the report from J. Kwan, Development Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016 to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Amendment to Subdivision Agreement, 1212949 Ontario Inc. c/o Glen Schnarr & Associates, Ward 6** (File C05W01.005) be received;

2. That staff be directed to amend the executed subdivision agreement in order to allow an increase in the number of building permits to a total of 273 that may be applied for on the lands between Levi Creek and Heritage Creek, of which 217 will be assigned to this subdivision; and

3. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Amendment to the Subdivision Agreement in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor.

P&IS187-2016 1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 4, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property – 36 Fenton Way – Ward 10** (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the application for a permit to demolish the residential property located at 36 Fenton Way be approved;

3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

P&IS188-2016 1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 4, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Applications for Permits to Demolish Residential Properties – 19 and 21 Clarence Street – Ward 3** (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the applications for permits to demolish the residential properties located at 19 and 21 Clarence Street be approved;
3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

P&IS189-2016

1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property – 106 Denison Avenue – Ward 1 (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the application for a permit to demolish the residential property located at 106 Denison Avenue be approved;

3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

P&IS190-2016

1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 7, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Program – City Wide (File I.AC), be received; and,

2. That staff proceed with the development of traffic calming plans for Richvale Drive North/Richvale Drive South (Kennedy Road North to Bovaird Drive East) and Mountainash Road (Countryside Drive to Peter Robertson Boulevard/ Mountainberry Road).

P&IS191-2016

1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 8, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Arterial Road Speed Limit Review – James Potter
Road (Ward 4) and Chinguacousy Road (Wards 4 and 5) (File I.AC) be received; and,

2. That By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to support the speed limit changes outlined within the report.

P&IS192-2016 1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations Division, Public Works Division, dated April 19, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Plan – Edenbrook Hill Drive (Sandalwood Parkway West to Bovaird Drive West) and Fernforest Drive (Sandalwood Parkway East to Bovaird Drive East) – Wards 6 and 9 be received; and,

2. That staff implement the recommended traffic calming measures for Edenbrook Hill Drive and Fernforest Drive as outlined in this report.

P&IS193-2016 1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Traffic Engineering Services, Maintenance and Operations Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Parking Related Issues – Frostweed Court – Ward 8 (File I.A.C) be received; and,

2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to implement a “No Parking, 8:00 am - 10:00 am and 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm, Monday to Friday” restrictions along both sides of Frostweed Court.

P&IS194-2016 1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: The Alternate Process for Consideration of All-way Stop Signs – Ward 10 (File I.AC) be received; and,

2. That all-way stop controls be implemented at the following intersection:
   - Whitwell Drive and Yukon Lane (Ward 10)
P&IS195-2016

1. That the report from G. Miller, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: General Traffic By-law 93-93 – Administrative Update (File G.DX / TRAF) be received; and,

2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended.

P&IS196-2016

That the report from E. Fagan, Manager, Parks Assets and Infrastructure, Public Works, dated May 26, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Purchasing By-Law Section 4.7 Contract Extensions that exceed $1 million – Contract No. 2013-059 – Provide all Labour, Materials & Equipment Necessary for the Construction of Concrete Pads at Various Locations Within the City of Brampton (File HC.x) be received.

P&IS197-2016

1. That the report from A. Memon, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 22, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 – Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5 (File BJ.x) be received;

2. That the closure of Wellington Street West between George Street South and Main Street South on Thursday, July 14, 2016, from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. be approved;

3. That the closure of George Street South between 30 metres north of Wellington St. W to a point 102 metres north of Wellington Street West from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Friday, July 15, 2016, be approved;

4. That the closure of Queen Street East/West between George Street and Theatre Lane/Chapel Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. be approved;

5. That the closure of Main Street South between Wellington Street and Clarence Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. be approved;
6. That the closure of Main Street North between Nelson Street West and Theatre Lane to Queen Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:30 p.m. to 11:59 p.m. be approved; and,

7. That the closure of Main Street South between Queen Street to Wellington Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. be approved.

8. That the delegation from Suzy Godefroy, Downtown Brampton BIA, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 – Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5** be received.

P&IS198-2016

1. That the report from C. King, Traffic Operations Supervisor, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Torbram Road Truck Restriction** (File G.DX TRAF) be received; and,

2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended.

P&IS199-2016

1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 13, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016 re: **2016 New School Infrastructure Improvements** (File IA.C(STIA)), be received; and

2. That the report be forwarded to the Peel District School Board and the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board to provide assistance in development of the 2016 school program;

3. That staff implement the measures outlined in the report to ensure orderly and safe school openings;

4. That the report be forwarded to the Region of Peel Traffic Engineering Services for information purposes; and,

5. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to provide for the necessary traffic controls to accommodate the opening of new schools for the 2016/2017 school year.
1. That the report from D. Roeterink, Supervisor of Permits, Public Works Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re Publication Box Program (File BJ.X(NEWS)) be received; and

2. That the Committee direct staff to continue to work with the Publisher/Distributor to find appropriate, current Designated Areas for standard sized box placement and, if so required, establish new Designated Areas for standard Publication Distribution Boxes, all in accordance with Bylaw 281-2012.

P&IS201-2016  That the Minutes – Brampton School Traffic Safety Council – June 2, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, Recommendations SC053-2016 to SC057-2016 be approved as printed and circulated.

SC053-2016  That the agenda for the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council Meeting of June 2, 2016, be approved as amended to add the following item:

15.1. Marg Laramore, Assistant Crossing Guard Supervisor, re: Crossing Guard Appreciation Day.

SC054-2016  That the presentation by Adam Tompkins, Peel District School Board, to the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council meeting of June 2, 2016, re: Turner Fenton Secondary School Construction, be received.

SC055-2016  1. That the correspondence from Satbir Kullar, Brampton resident, re: Request for Site Inspection to Review Pedestrian / Traffic / Concerns / Humberwest Parkway/Fairlawn Boulevard /Daisyfield Boulevard – Fairlawn Public School – 40 Fairlawn Boulevard – Ward 10 (File BH.c) be received; and,

2. That it is the position of the Brampton School Traffic Council that a site inspection is not required at this time.

SC056-2016  1. That the site inspection report to the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council meeting of June 2, 2016, re: Roberta Bondar Public School – 30 Pantomine Boulevard, be received, and
2. That the Region of Peel review the pedestrian signal times at the intersection of Mavis Road and Clementine Drive in August 2016, prior to the start of school, to ensure the appropriate timing is in place for students using the intersection; and,

3. That the Region of Peel review the area and determine if School Area signs would be appropriate on Mavis Road, north and south of Clementine Drive.

SC057-2016 That the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council do now adjourn to meet again on Thursday, September 1, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. or at the call of the Chair.

P&IS202-2016 That the discussion at the request of Regional Councillor Palleschi, re: Closed Session Procedures be deleted from the agenda.

P&IS203-2016 That the correspondence from Jeanette and John Veira, Brampton residents, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: 2088 Embleton Road – Cash-in-lieu of Parkland Refund Request – Ward 6 be referred to staff for a report to a future Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting.

P&IS204-2016 That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting date of July 4, 2016, be cancelled, and;

That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Monday, September 12, 2016, at 7:00 p.m.

11. **Unfinished Business** – nil

12. **Correspondence** – nil

13. **Resolutions** – nil
14. Notices of Motion

14.1. Notice of Motion – Brampton’s 2041 Activity Rate

City Councillor Dhillon introduced the subject Notice of Motion and presented an amendment to add the following Clause:

c) That staff be further directed to explore and recommend to Council other initiatives that improve the City’s opportunities for achieving their 40% activity rate objective.

Council consideration of the motion and proposed amendment included:
- requirement for a balance between residential and employment lands
- recognition that not all lands are suitable to conversion to employment
- questions about the potential impact of Ontario’s Smart Growth for our Communities Act and the proposed 40% activity rate objective, and details from staff in response
- general agreement that the 40% activity rate objective is achievable
- need for a close alignment with the Economic Development Division toward identifying and implementing incentives to attract businesses to Brampton

A recorded vote was requested on the amendment, with the results as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried
11 Yeas
0 Nays
0 Absent

The motion in its entirety was considered as follows.
C211-2016 Moved by City Councillor Dhillon
Seconded by Regional Councillor Sprovieri

Whereas a majority of residents in the last election gave Council a strong mandate to focus on increasing job opportunities in Brampton;

Whereas the 'activity rate' is a key indicator of a municipality's job-to-population ratio;

Whereas our Economic Development Master Plan will assist us in attracting more jobs from the Health and Life Science, Advanced Manufacturing, Information Technology, and Food & Beverage sectors;

Whereas employment growth and increased employment lands add to the non-residential assessment base, providing for a more balanced tax base;

Whereas a more balanced activity rate will result in a potentially lower residential tax rate;

Whereas a strong economic base established on employment lands is the kind of foundation that helps to attract higher order jobs and new assessment opportunities particularly as greenfield opportunities diminish;

Whereas a potential university site locating to Brampton will spur greater economic growth in higher order jobs in existing and new sectors;

Whereas increasing the City’s forecasted 2041 activity rate to 40% would equate to an increase of approximately 15,000 – 25,000 net new job opportunities for the residents of Brampton, above and beyond the number of jobs in the existing forecasts;

Therefore be it resolved that:

a. City of Brampton staff be directed to work with the Region of Peel, and area municipalities, during the Growth Plan Forecast compliance exercise, toward achieving a forecasted minimum activity rate in the order of 40% for Brampton by 2041;

b. The City of Brampton accommodate the additional jobs required to achieve the forecasted 40% activity rate by 2041 through appropriate employment land designations in the remaining greenfields, intensification, and/or increasing the proportion of office uses over time.
c. That staff be further directed to explore and recommend to Council other initiatives that improve the City’s opportunities for achieving their 40% activity rate objective

A recorded vote was requested and the motion carried as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried
11 Yeas
0 Nays
0 Absent

15. **Petitions** – nil

16. **Other Business/New Business**

16.1. Report from P. Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services, dated June 21, 2016, re: **Amendment to Municipal Officials By-law 84-2008**

The following motion was considered.

C212-2016 Moved by Mayor Jeffrey
Seconded by City Councillor Dhillon

1. That the report from Peter Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services, dated June 21, 2016, to the City Council meeting of June 22, 2016 re: **Amendment to Municipal Officials By-law 84-2008** (File G02), be received; and,

2. That By-law 139-2016 to amend Municipal Officials By-law 84-2008, based on the form and content as substantially set out in Appendix 1 to this report, be passed.

Carried
17. **Procurement Matters** – nil

18. **Regional Council Business**

18.1. Verbal Briefing from the CAO’s Office re: **Region of Peel Council Meeting and Peel Living Annual General Meeting – June 23, 2016**

Council agreed to vary the order of business, and dealt with this item after Announcements.

The following motion was introduced to provide for a Council position on matters concerning Regional governance, and was considered as noted.

C213-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Medeiros Seconded by Regional Councillor Moore

WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton has consistently advocated for fair and equitable representation for the City of Brampton at the Region of Peel Council;

WHEREAS the Region is responsible for major arterial roads, sewers, water, policing and a whole host of services which are cost shared between the three municipalities;

WHEREAS the principles for the Mayor and Acting CAO participating in Governance Review Task was that a status quo model was unacceptable as an option and the City of Brampton is currently under-represented at Regional Council;

WHEREAS the task force acknowledged that Brampton is under-represented at Regional Council and that any change to the size and composition of Regional Council should address this imbalance.

WHEREAS representation by population is an established and enshrine principle of our democracy;

WHEREAS the Task Force report sets out four (4) options for the size and composition of Regional Council.

WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton remains committed to effective, fair and equitable regional representation;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:
1. The Council of Corporation of the City of Brampton recommends Regional Council adopt the Regional Chair’s report recommendation based on the Regional Council size and composition Option 2 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Seats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brampton</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledon</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississauga</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the Region of Peel Council for consideration at its meeting on June 23, 2016, the City of Mississauga and Town of Caledon for their information, as well as the Premier of Ontario, Minister of Municipal Affairs and all Brampton Members of Provincial Parliament.

A recorded vote was requested and the motion carried as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whillans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried

11 Yeas
0 Nays
0 Absent

The following motion was then considered.

C214-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Moore
Seconded by Regional Councillor Gibson

That the verbal briefing from the CAO’s Office, to the Council Meeting of June 22, 2016, re: Region of Peel Council Meeting and Peel Living Annual General Meeting – June 23, 2016, be received.

Carried
19. **Public Question Period** – nil

20. **By-laws**

Note: By-laws 118-2016 and 119-2016 were deleted under Approval of Agenda Resolution C197-2016.

The following motion was considered.

C215-2016 Moved by City Councillor Whillans
Seconded by Regional Councillor Sprovieri

That By-laws 116-2016 to 117-2016 and 120-2016 to 139-2016, before Council at its meeting of June 22, 2016, be given the required number of readings, taken by the Mayor and City Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto.

116-2016 To designate bank signing authority and to repeal By-Law 262-2013
(See Report 8.5)

117-2016 To adopt Official Plan Number OP2006-120 – Weston Consulting-10254 Hurontario Property Inc. – east side of Hurontario Street, south of Sandalwood Parkway West and north of Bovaird Drive – Ward 2 (File C01W12.012)
(See Report 8.6)

118-2016 No by-law was assigned to this number.

119-2016 No by-law was assigned to this number.

120-2016 To amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended – Townwood Homes-North West Brampton Developments Inc. – east side of Mississauga Road, north of Buick Boulevard – Ward 6 (File C04W15.002)
(See Report 8.8)

121-2016 To amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended – Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – Glen Schnarr and Associates Inc. – Orlando Corporation – 8200 and 8204 Heritage Road – Ward 6 (File C06W01.004)
(See Report 8.9)
122-2016 To amend Interim Control By-law 306-2003, an Interim Control By-law applicable to Part of the Area Subject to By-law 270-2004 – 10916 Mississauga Road – Ward 6
(See Report 8.10)

123-2016 To accept and assume works in Registered Plan 43M-1865 – Criterion Development Corporation – south of Castlemore Road and west of Clarkway Drive – Ward 10
(File C10E09.005)
(See Report 8.11)

124-2016 To approve the expropriation of certain lands for the widening of Goreway Drive from north of Humberwest Parkway to south of Castlemore Road – Ward 10
(See Report 8.12)

125-2016 To amend User Fee By-law 380-2003, as amended – changes to Finance Division fees
(See Item 10.4 – Corporate Services Recommendation CS092-2016 – June 15, 2016)

126-2016 To establish a scale of costs under the Municipal Act, 2001 (R.S.O. 1990) and to repeal By-Law 33-2008
(See Item 10.4 – Corporate Services Recommendation CS093-2016 – June 15, 2016)

127-2016 To amend the Administrative Penalty By-law 333-2013, as amended, and Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended – to update Administrative Penalties
(See Item 10.4 – Corporate Services Recommendation CS092-2016 – June 15, 2016)

128-2016 To amend By-law 308-2012, being the "Building Division Appointment By-law"

129-2016 To appoint municipal by-law enforcement officers and to repeal By-law 110-2016

130-2016 To amend By-Law 94-2016 that had exempted properties from part lot control – northeast Mississauga Road and northwest Financial Drive and Olivia Marie Road – Ward 4
(File PLC16-007)

131-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Kennedy Road, Hurontario Street and Wanless Drive) – Ward 2
132-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Railside Drive) – Ward 2

133-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Lightbeam Terrace and Rivermont Road) – Ward 6

134-2016 To establish certain lands as part of the public highway system (Robert Parkinson Drive, Fenchurch Drive and Buick Boulevard) – Ward 6

Carried

21. **Closed Session**

The following motion was considered.

C216-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

That Council proceed into Closed Session to discuss matters pertaining to the following:


21.4. Report from A. Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services, dated May 24, 2016, re: **Proposed Telecommunications License Agreement at 2691 Sandalwood Parkway East (Fire Station 209) – Ward 10** – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board

21.5. Report from A. Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services, dated June 1, 2016, re: **Property Acquisition for the Goreway Drive Grade Separation, Ward 8** – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board

21.6. Report from A. Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services, dated June 2, 2016, re: **Property Acquisition for the Goreway Drive Road Widening, Ward 8** – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board
21.7. Report from S. Navascues, Legal Counsel, Legal Services, Corporate Services, dated June 9, 2016, re: **Update re Litigation, Wards 1 and 5** – litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board

21.8. Verbal update from A. Meneses, Executive Director, Facility Services, Public Services, re: **Lease – 41 George Street East** – a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board

21.9. Report from H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer, re **Ombudsman Investigation** – an ongoing investigation respecting the municipality, a local board or a municipally-controlled corporation by the Ombudsman appointed under the *Ombudsman Act* or an Ombudsman referred to in subsection 223.13 (1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*

Carried

Note: In Open Session, Regional Councillor Palleschi, Acting Mayor, reported on the status of matters considered in Closed Session, as follows:

- Item 21.1 – acknowledged by Council
- Item 21.2 – acknowledged by Council
- Item 21.3 – acknowledged by Council
- Item 21.4 – see Resolution C217-2016 below
- Item 21.5 – see Resolution C218-2016 below
- Item 21.6 – see Resolution C219-2016 below
- Item 21.7 – considered and acknowledged by Council
- Item 21.8 – considered by Council and no direction given
- Item 21.9 – considered by Council and direction given in Closed Session

The following motion was considered with respect to Item 21.4.

**C217-2016** Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri  
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

That staff be authorized to negotiate, and that a by-law be passed to authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute all documents necessary to effect a market value license agreement with SBA Canada ULC on a portion of Fire Station 209 located at 2691 Sandalwood Parkway East, on
terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief Public Services Officer and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor

Carried

C218-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

1. That staff be authorized to enter into negotiations with Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario as Represented by the Public Guardian and Trustee, for the purpose of acquiring Province-owned land located north of the Canadian national Railway (CNR) for the Goreway Grade Separation Project; and,

2. That By-law 140-2016 be passed to authorize the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute a market value Agreement of Purchase and Sale and such other documents necessary for the City to acquire the property identified as PIN 140220133, being part of Lot 14, Concession 7 S.D., designated as Part 7, Plan 43R13215, in the City of Brampton, Regional Municipality of Peel, on terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services and in a form of agreement acceptable to the City Solicitor.

Carried

C219-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri
Seconded by City Councillor Whillans

That a by-law be passed to authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute an Agreement of Purchase and Sale and such other documents necessary for the City to acquire a portion of 9375 Goreway Drive, at fair market value, on terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor.

Carried

22. **Confirming By-law**

The following motion was considered.

C220-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Gibson
Seconded by City Councillor Bowman
That the following By-laws before Council at its Regular Meeting of June 22, 2016 be given the required number of readings, taken by the Mayor and the City Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto:

140-2016 To authorize the purchase of property located north of CNR for the Goreway Drive Grade Separation Project – Ward 8)

141-2016 To confirm the proceedings of the Regular Council Meeting held on June 22, 2016

Carried

23. Adjournment

The following motion was considered.

C221-2016 Moved by Regional Councillor Sprovieri Seconded by Regional Councillor Palleschi

That Council do now adjourn to meet again for a Regular Meeting of Council on Wednesday, July 6, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. or at the call of the Mayor.

Carried

Proclamations

The following City of Brampton proclamation was made on behalf of Council:

- Toastmasters Month – July 2016 – to be given to Toastmasters International

_______________________________
L. Jeffrey, Mayor

_______________________________
P. Fay, City Clerk
### ANNOUNCEMENT REQUEST
Corporate Services - Council and Administrative Services

Meeting Date: Wednesday, July 6, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Individual(s)</th>
<th>Harinder Bains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position/Title</td>
<td>Lexus Titans Wrestling Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization</td>
<td>(if applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Address for Contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Telephone #</th>
<th>Fax #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event or Subject Name/Title</th>
<th>To introduce the members of the Lexus Titans Wrestling Club</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date, Time, Location:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Information:</th>
<th>They recently participated in the Canadian Eastern Youth Wrestling Championships, representing Brampton.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

REQUIRED - Name of Member of Council to sponsor this Announcement: Councillor Gurpreet Dhillon

---

I am submitting additional printed information, eg. letter, brochure: ☐ Yes ☒ No

I will require the following audio-visual equipment/software for my announcement:

- ☐ Document Camera/Overhead Projector
- ☐ DVD Player
- ☐ PowerPoint
- ☐ Other - please specify__________________________

Note:

Please provide the City Clerk's Office [**one week prior to the meeting date**]: (i) all background material and/or presentations, and (ii) for PowerPoint and other visual presentations, an electronic copy of the presentation (e.g., DVD, CD, .ppt file) to ensure compatibility with City A-V equipment.

---

Personal information on this form is collected under authority of the Municipal Act, SO 2001, c.25 and/or the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 and will be used in the preparation of the applicable council/committee agenda and will be attached to that agenda. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Freedom of Information and Privacy Coordinator, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton, Ontario, L6Y 4R2.
Please complete this form for your request to make an Announcement at a future Council Meeting. An announcement can relate to an event of interest to the general public. Your request must include the name of the Member of Council sponsoring the Announcement. Once this completed form is received by the City Clerk’s Office, you will be contacted to confirm your placement on the appropriate agenda. **Announcements are limited two (2) minutes at the meeting.**

**Attention:**  
City Clerk’s Office, City of Brampton, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton ON L6Y 4R2

**Email:** cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca  
**Telephone:** (905) 874-2100  
**Fax:** (905) 874-2119

**Meeting:**
- [x] City Council
- [ ] Corporate Services Committee
- [ ] Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee
- [ ] Community & Public Services Committee
- [ ] Economic Development Committee
- [ ] Other:

**Meeting Date Requested:** July 6, 2016

**Name of Individual(s):**  
Matthew Anderson, President and CEO, William Osler Health System  
Dr. Naveed Mohammad, VP Medical Affairs, William Osler Health System

**Position/Title:**  
Ann Ford, VP Information Technology and Redevelopment, William Osler Health System

**Organization/Person being represented:**  
William Osler Health System  
Peel Memorial Centre for Health and Wellness

**Full Address for Contact:**  
Marlon Roden  
Telephone:  
Email: marlon.roden@williamoslerhs.ca

**Event or Subject Name/Title/ Date/Time/Location:**  
City of Brampton Cheque Presentation to William Osler Health System – Phase 1 Contribution to the Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness

**Additional Information:**  
This payment of $40 million is Phase 1 of Council’s $60 million commitment to help build the Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness.

**Name of Member of Council Sponsoring this Announcement:**  
Mayor Linda Jeffrey

A formal presentation will accompany my Announcement:  
- [x] Yes  
- [ ] No

**Presentation format:**
- [x] PowerPoint File (.ppt)
- [ ] Adobe File or equivalent (.pdf)
- [ ] Picture File (.jpg)
- [x] Video File (.avi, .mpg)
- [ ] Other:

Additional printed information/materials will be distributed with my Announcement:  
- [ ] Yes  
- [x] No  
- [ ] Attached

**Note:** Persons are requested to provide to the City Clerk’s Office **well in advance of the meeting date:**

(i) 25 copies of all background material and/or presentations for publication with the meeting agenda and/or distribution at the meeting, and

(ii) the electronic file of the presentation to ensure compatibility with corporate equipment.

Once this completed form is received by the City Clerk’s Office, you will be contacted to confirm your placement on the appropriate meeting agenda.

Personal information on this form is collected under authority of the Municipal Act, SO 2001, c.25 and/or the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 and will be used in the preparation of the applicable council/committee agenda and will be attached to the agenda and publicly available at the meeting and on the City’s website. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Deputy City Clerk, Council and Administrative Services, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton, Ontario, L6Y 4R2, tel. 905-874-2115.
Delegation Request

Please complete this form for your request to delegate to Council or Committee on a matter where a decision of the Council may be required. Delegations at Council meetings are generally limited to agenda business published with the meeting agenda. Delegations at Committee meetings can relate to new business within the jurisdiction and authority of the City and for Committee or agenda business published with the meeting agenda. All delegations are limited to five (5) minutes.

Attention: City Clerk’s Office, City of Brampton, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton ON L6Y 4R2
Email: cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca Telephone: (905) 874-2100 Fax: (905) 874-2119
Meeting: ☐ City Council ☐ Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee
☐ Corporate Services Committee ☐ Community & Public Services Committee
☐ Economic Development Committee ☐ Other:

Meeting Date Requested: 22 JUNE 2016
Agenda Item (if applicable):

Name of Individual(s):
WILLIAM ADOCK
BRYAN CRONK

Position/Title:
HONORARY LIEUTENANT COLONEL OF THE LORNE SCOTS
ASSOCIATION MEMBER

Organization/Person being Represented:
The Lorne Scots Regimental Association

Full Address for Contact:
2 CHAPEL ST. BRAMPTON
ON, L6Y 3H1

Telephone:
Email:

Subject Matter to be Discussed:
SEE ATTACHED

Action Requested:
SEE ATTACHED

A formal presentation will accompany my delegation: ☑ Yes ☐ No
Presentation format: ☑ PowerPoint File (.ppt) ☐ Adobe File or equivalent (.pdf)
☐ Picture File (.jpg) ☐ Video File (.avi, .mpg) ☐ Other:

Additional printed information/materials will be distributed with my delegation: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Attached

Note: Delegates are requested to provide to the City Clerk’s Office well in advance of the meeting date:
(i) 25 copies of all background material and/or presentations for publication with the meeting agenda and/or distribution at the meeting, and
(ii) the electronic file of the presentation to ensure compatibility with corporate equipment.

Once this completed form is received by the City Clerk’s Office, you will be contacted to confirm your placement on the appropriate meeting agenda.

Personal Information on this form is collected under authority of the Municipal Act, SO 2001, c.25 and/or the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 and will be used in the preparation of the applicable council/committee agenda and will be attached to the agenda and publicly available at the meeting and on the City’s website. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Deputy City Clerk, Council and Administrative Services, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton, Ontario, L6Y 4R2, tel. 905-874-2115.
SUBJECT MATTER TO BE DISCUSSED

This fall is the 150\textsuperscript{th} anniversary of the Lorne Scots Regiment and the Regiment will be hosting a formal celebration, to be held in Brampton, in September. In attendance will the His Royal Highness Prince Edward, the Duke of Kent from England, who is the Colonel in Chief of the Regiment. The Honorary Lieutenant Colonel of the Lorne Scots as well as 2 Association members would like to present to Council as a delegation.

ACTION REQUESTED

The Regiment association would like to tell Council about the plans for the 150\textsuperscript{th} anniversary celebration to be held in September, and to ask the Mayor and Council for their endorsement and support.
Delegation Request

Please complete this form for your request to delegate to Council or Committee on a matter where a decision of the Council may be required. Delegations at Council meetings are generally limited to agenda business published with the meeting agenda. Delegations at Committee meetings can relate to new business within the jurisdiction and authority of the City and/or Committee or agenda business published with the meeting agenda. All delegations are limited to five (5) minutes.

Attention: City Clerk's Office, City of Brampton, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton ON L6Y 4R2
Email: cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca Telephone: (905) 874-2100 Fax: (905) 874-2119

Meeting: ☑ City Council ☐ Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee
☐ Corporate Services Committee ☐ Community & Public Services Committee
☐ Economic Development Committee ☐ Other:

Meeting Date Requested: July 6, 2016 Agenda Item (if applicable): R 316/2016

Name of Individual(s): Toby Lennox
Position/Title: INTERIM COO, TORONTO GLOBAL
Organization/Person being Represented: Toronto Global

Full Address for Contact: 225 King Street West, Suite 901
Toronto, ON M5V3C5

Subject Matter to be Discussed: Update on NEWCO / Toronto Global
Action Requested: None

A formal presentation will accompany my delegation: ☐ Yes ☑ No
Presentation format: ☐ PowerPoint File (.ppt) ☐ Adobe File or equivalent (.pdf)
☐ Picture File (.jpg) ☐ Video File (.avi, .mpg) ☐ Other:

Additional printed information/materials will be distributed with my delegation: ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Attached

Note: Delegates are requested to provide to the City Clerk's Office well in advance of the meeting date:
(i) 25 copies of all background material and/or presentations for publication with the meeting agenda and/or distribution at the meeting, and
(ii) the electronic file of the presentation to ensure compatibility with corporate equipment.

Once this completed form is received by the City Clerk's Office, you will be contacted to confirm your placement on the appropriate meeting agenda.

Personal information on this form is collected under authority of the Municipal Act, SO 2001, c.25 and/or the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 and will be used in the preparation of the applicable council/committee agenda and will be attached to the agenda and publicly available at the meeting and on the City’s website. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Deputy City Clerk, Council and Administrative Services, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton, Ontario, L6Y 4R2, tel. 905-874-2115.
Fireworks Review

Presentation to
City Council
July 6, 2016

Michael Clark, Fire Chief, Public Services
Agenda

• Council Resolution
• The Fireworks Challenge
• Statistics
• Stakeholder Consultations
• Stakeholder Feedback
• British Columbia Experience
• Fireworks Background
• Recommendations
• Next Steps
Council Resolution (Nov. 11, 2015)

1. Staff be requested to report back in early 2016 on the establishment, consequences, education enforcement and associated costs of:
   • An outright ban of the sales of Class 7.2.1 fireworks (Consumer Fireworks within the City of Brampton, and
   • An outright ban of the use of Class 7.2.1 fireworks (consumer fireworks on all residential properties within the City of Brampton

2. Any proposed ban not affect institutional (e.g. places of worship), commercial or corporate fireworks also know as Class 7.2.2 fireworks (Display Fireworks) and Class 7.2.5 fireworks (Pyrotechnic Special effects), with appropriate permits and approvals in place;

3. Staff be requested to report back to Committee, in early 2016, subject to appropriate budget approvals, on the merits and costs of proposed city-wide and city-organized fireworks display events on previously designated fireworks days including Victoria Day, Canada Day, Diwali and New Year’s Eve.
The Fireworks Challenge

Find a solution that:

• Provides the greatest safety for our citizens
• Recognizes the difficulty in the enforcement of fireworks
• Recognizes the cultural sensitivity of fireworks
Consumer/Residential Fireworks

- The sale to or use of fireworks is permitted to all those but minors (persons under 18 years)
- Permits are granted only for Victoria Day, Canada Day, Diwali and New Year’s Eve
- Require an Online Fireworks Permit
- Permits are granted to only those properties with at least an 18 m (60 ft) frontage
Display Fireworks

• Persons must hold a valid Fireworks Supervisor Card to apply for a Fireworks Permit

• Use of display fireworks is not restricted by date

• Require a Fireworks Permit granted by the Fire Chief

• Applicants for a Fireworks Permit, are required to have comprehensive general liability insurance of the amount of no less than $5 million
# 2015 Fireworks Licencing Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Submitted</th>
<th>Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diwali</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Year’s Eve</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2015 Fireworks Complaints and Charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diwali</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Year’s Eve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Consultation with External Stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian National Fireworks Association (CNFA)</td>
<td>March 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Forum – Rose Theatre</td>
<td>April 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Forum – Gore Meadows</td>
<td>April 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurdwara Guru Nanak Mission Centre</td>
<td>April 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurdwara Sikh Sangad</td>
<td>May 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississauga Ram Mandir</td>
<td>May 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurdwara Dashmesh Darbar</td>
<td>May 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback from Sikh Leaders

- A ban is reasonable and safety is paramount
- Concerned that a centralized City-sponsored fireworks display would draw followers away from the Gurdwaras
- Little interest to hold events on Gurdwara property
- Provide Punjabi posters to explain the rules
Feedback from Hindu Leaders

• Do not want a ban

• A centralized City-sponsored fireworks display is a good idea
Feedback from CNFA and Vendors

- American fireworks and Canadian fireworks are different
- A ban will result in a loss of control over fireworks
- Roman candles should be banned
- Increase the requirements for vendors: a course and registration fee
- Diwali mostly involves fountains and pinwheels
British Columbia Fireworks Ban

BC Municipalities with bans in place:
Richmond, New Westminster, North Vancouver, Coguitlam, Surrey, Langley, Abbotsford
Feedback from BC Fire Departments

• “The ban has reduced emergency calls dramatically on Halloween night (Langley).”

• “I do believe that our ban has reduced the use of fireworks in the City and as a result we likely have fewer fireworks related incidents (North Vancouver).”

• “The year prior to us banning the fireworks we had 40+ fire incidents attributed to fireworks. In the following two years we went from 7 fire incidents to 0 incidents. There is no comparison today to what we would have to deal with prior to the bylaw being instated (Abbotsford).”
Groupings of Consumer/Residential Fireworks

Potentially Dangerous Fireworks
Definition: Fireworks that pose an unacceptable risk.
- Roman Candles (hand-held, ejecting one or more stars)
- Flying Lanterns (potential fire hazard)
Groupings of Consumer/Residential Fireworks

**Mid-Range Fireworks**
Definition: Fireworks that reach beyond the property of origin.

Examples include:
- Barrages
- Bombshells
- Cakes
- Comets
- Mines
- Missiles
- Skyrockets
Groupings of Consumer/Residential Fireworks

Short-Range Fireworks
Definition: Fireworks that do not normally project beyond the property of origin.

Examples include:
- Fountains
- Wheels
- Ground Spinners
- Burning School Houses
- Flying Ghosts
- Sparklers
# City Hosted Diwali Option Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Description</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Estimated Costs to the City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Community / Private Model (Rental agreement) | - Connectivity to residents  
- Community involvement  
- Private Funding                                                | - Untested event  
- Risk & Liability  
- Lack of City control over event                                | $0                                                                          |
| City of Brampton Event Model                | - Proven event hosts  
- Transparency  
- Regulated                                                        | - Additional Event w/costs to taxpayers  
- Increased staff time                                              | $375,000                                                                  |
| Community / City Partnership Model          | - Cost effective  
- City-wide circulation  
- Transparency                                                      | - Precedent setting  
- In-kind contributions would be forgone revenue                    | Costs based on the RFP                                                |
| Multiple Events City / Community Partnership Model | - Greater reach  
- City-wide participation  
- Each venue would be scaled to neighbourhood capacity               | - Greater demand on staff and equipment resources  
- Extra expense for Security / Police / Safety resources               | Costs based on each neighborhood’s capacity                         |
Recommendations

i. Ban the sale and use of all fireworks for consumer/residential use that would reasonably be expected to travel or pose a hazard more than 3 metres (10 ft.) from the point of ignition. These fireworks would include, but are not limited to:

- Roman candles
- Flying lanterns
- Barrages
- Bombshells
- Cakes
- Comets
- Mines
- Missiles
- Skyrockets
Recommendations

ii. Allow the use of consumer/residential (short range) fireworks, which do not travel more than 3 metres (10 ft.) from the point of ignition, without the need for a permit. These fireworks could include, but are not limited to:

- Fountains
- Wheels
- Ground Spinners
- Burning School Houses
- Flying Ghosts
- Sparklers
Recommendations

iii. Mandate the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks

- When using sparklers, a container of water or charged hose line must be available, and readily accessible.
- After the use of sparklers, the expired sparklers must be placed into a container of water to fully cool before disposal.
- All fireworks materials must be allowed to fully cool before disposal.
Recommendations

iv. Greater Restrictions On Vendors and Sale of Consumer/Residential Fireworks

- Vendors are forbidden from selling prohibited fireworks.
- Vendors are required to attend annual training.
- Create an annual licensing fee of $1,600 - $2,000.
Recommendations

v. Leave the use of Class 7.2.2 fireworks (display fireworks) unchanged.

vi. A city hosted Diwali fireworks display not be considered at this time.

vii. That staff be directed to report back on the Fireworks by-law amendment financial implications in greater detail.
Next Steps

- Amend Fireworks by-law 147-2006
- Amend Business Licensing by-law 332-2013
  - Relating to vendors of consumer fireworks
- Implement Communications Strategy (~$21,000 to $47,000 per year)
- Implement a plan for an Enforcement Blitz (~$18,000 to $47,000 per year)
Date: 2016-06-22

Subject: New Greater Toronto Area Foreign Direct Investment Agency: Changes to Ownership and Corporate Governance Structure

Contact: Dennis Cutajar, Chief Operating Officer (Tel: 905-874-2698; E: dennis.cutajar@brampton.ca)

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Dennis Cutajar, Chief Operating Officer, dated June 22, 2016 to the City Council meeting of July 6, 2016, re: **New Greater Toronto Area Foreign Direct Investment Agency: Changes to Ownership and Corporate Governance Structure Amendment (File CE.x)** be received; and

2. That, the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute a funding agreement, and any associated agreements between the Corporation of the City of Brampton, other funding parties, and the GTA FDI Agency subject to the content of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the Chief Operating Officer (or designate), and the form of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the City Solicitor (or designate); and

3. That, staff be authorized to make payment of up to $167,135 in 2016 to the GTA FDI Agency in respect of services to be rendered in 2016 as outlined in the funding agreement, subject to the following conditions being met:
   a. The following documents are submitted to the satisfaction of the City of Brampton by the GTA FDI Agency:
      i. Letters Patent;
      ii. Corporate Bylaw(s);
      iii. Business Plan Overview, including Year 1 Pro-Forma Financial Statement; and
      iv. Letter of Commitment from Province of Ontario (stating term and amount);
   b. Approval of the Agency’s 2016 Budget by the Chief Operating Office (or designate); and
   c. The execution of a funding agreement and any associated agreements between the Corporation of the City of Brampton, other funding parties, and the GTA FDI Agency.
Overview:

- The purpose of this report is to provide an update on changes to the ownership and corporate governance structure of the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Agency (referred to in this report as the ‘GTA FDI Agency’ or ‘Agency’).
- The Economic Development Committee was presented with a report dated October 30, 2015, discussing information, implications and recommendations regarding City support and assistance for the GTA FDI Agency in light of the cessation of the Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance’s (GTMA) operations on December 31, 2015.
- Due to various legislative requirements, it has since been necessary to change the GTA FDI Agency’s business model from the previously proposed corporate ownership and governance model to a fee for service model subject to a funding agreement.
- The GTA FDI Agency (a not-for-profit corporation) will be funded by certain GTA municipalities in accordance with the terms and conditions of a funding agreement. GTA municipalities have been requested to contribute $2 million per year for three years. The Province of Ontario has already announced its commitment of $2.5 million per year to the Agency.
- Funding municipalities, including the City of Brampton, will continue to exercise a level of influence over the Agency through the City's participation on various committees required by the funding agreement, including a Mayor’s and Chairs Strategy Council, and an Economic Development Officers Management Council.
- Brampton's financial contribution to the GTA FDI Agency is estimated to be up to $167,135 per year for three years, starting in 2016, subject to several conditions as outlined in this report.

Background:

Origin of Report


This plan outlined four key goal streams for IBDM:

1. Support Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Brampton
2. Promote Brampton Internationally through Partnerships
3. Engage local talent, knowledge and networks (Diaspora)
4. Pursue Investment Attraction through a central, Greater Toronto Area (GTA) foreign direct investment (FDI) Agency

The original report dated October 30, 2015 originates from the above Council decision, namely item #4: Pursue Investment Attraction through a new central GTA Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Agency. Further, this goal aims to build capacity in regional FDI activities by supporting the formation and helping to fund a single entity dedicated to pursuing investment on behalf of all municipalities in the GTA.

This report is being provided as an update to the October 30, 2015 report, as a result of proposed changes to the Agency’s ownership and corporate governance structure.
Current Situation:

The GTA FDI Agency's original business model contemplated the incorporation of a not-for-profit corporation, of which funding municipalities, including the Corporation of the City of Brampton, would be members. Membership in the context of a not-for-profit corporation is equivalent to share ownership in a business corporation. It should also be noted that the Agency was originally contemplated as an Ontario corporation.

In order to qualify for the Federal funding which the Agency is seeking, the Agency must be continued under Federal legislation. This requires corporate changes and approvals at the Federal level, which the Agency is now seeking.

Additionally, s.203 of the Municipal Act, 2001, and O.Reg. 599/06 as amended, restrict a municipality's ability to authorize or nominate a person to act as a member of a corporation, where the corporation has not been incorporated as a municipal services corporation. The GTA FDI Agency has not been incorporated as a municipal services corporation under the Act, which would have required an incorporating municipality to undertake and adopt a business case study, asset transfer policies, and would impose limitations on the ability of the corporation to carry on business. This effectively means that the funding municipalities, including the Corporation of the City of Brampton, cannot be members of the corporate Agency. Hence the requirement for a new business model.

Changes to the Ownership and Corporate Governance Structure of the GTA FDI Agency

The GTA FDI Agency has already been incorporated under Provincial legislation. It will need to be continued under Federal legislation. This will not limit the City's participation in the Agency's mandate, but will require additional approvals and filings with the Federal government. The Agency is currently undertaking these additional steps. The City's execution of any agreements and the provision of any funding will be put on hold until such time as these filings and approvals have been completed and the Agency has been continued as a Federal not-for-profit corporation.

Given that the municipal funding parties can no longer take membership in the Agency as a corporation, the structure of the Agency's service delivery has been changed to a fee for service arrangement. This arrangement is to be formalized through a funding agreement. The funding agreement will outline the services to be provided by the Agency, in exchange for which the funding municipalities will provide annual funding. The agreement will also include provisions relating to the governance of the Agency.

In order for the funding municipalities to maintain a level of influence over the Agency, three committees will be established to monitor and guide the operations of the Agency. The first committee, the Mayors and Chairs’ Strategy Council, comprised of the Mayors and Chairs of all of the funding municipalities will be responsible for the annual review and approval of the Agency’s strategic plan; annual business plan; operating budget; market and sector priorities (the “Business Plans and Budget”). A second committee, the Economic Development Officers Management Council, comprised of the senior managers responsible for economic development in each funding municipality, will be responsible for working with the Agency to develop the Business Plans and Budget for eventual approval by the Mayors and Chair’s Strategy Council. The third committee, the Nominating Committee, will consist of a representative of each municipal funding party and will be vested with the authority to recommend candidates for election as directors of the Agency.

While the legal structure of the Agency will change markedly from that which was originally proposed, the functional operations of the Agency will remain substantially the same.
Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

The 2016 approved budget includes funding of $167,135 for the GTA FDIC Agency funding agreement. The annual expense for this agreement is limited to $167,135 annually. There are sufficient funds to proceed with this initiative.

Legal Impact

This report recommends that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the anticipated funding agreement(s) with the GTA FDI Agency, subject to the content of such agreement(s) being reviewed by the Chief Operating Officer (or designate) and the form of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the City Solicitor (or designate).

It is anticipated that the form of the funding agreement(s) will outline the obligations, roles and responsibilities, including regular financial reporting, of the municipal members and the Board of the Agency.

The Agency’s funding for 2016 is tied to its budget, which will be approved by the Chief Operating Officer (or designate). Initially, Brampton’s share of the Agency’s budget for 2016 was set at $167,135. However, this figure was based on a start date that has since passed. The recommendations in this report cap the amount payable to the Agency at $167,135 for 2016 and provides the Chief Operating Officer (or designate) with flexibility to pay a lesser amount in 2016, depending on the amount of the Agency’s approved budget.

Communications

For the purpose of communication and administration, the Designated Contact from City staff is the Chief Operating Officer (and the alternate being the Director of Economic Development and Tourism).

Other Implications: Brampton’s Representation on Boards and Committees

Mayor, Council and economic development staff should take every opportunity to play active roles as involved stakeholders in the Agency, such as Board of Directors, new governing committees etc. Staff will continue to participate on any applicable committees that assist in the delivery of the Brampton Economic Development program.

Operational activities related to the Council approved International Business Development and Marketing Plan 2015-2018 will be required to continue in order to support increased lead fulfillment demand and client aftercare generated by the Agency, as well as complementary international business attraction and trade activity unique to the Brampton marketplace.

The progress of all aspects of this Agency will be reviewed, monitored and evaluated in 2016, and in future years, by staff in consultation with the Economic Development Committee.

Policy Impact

A financial contribution to the GTA FDI Agency supports the goals and intended outcomes included in Brampton’s Economic Development Plan 2015-2018:

1. Economic Growth and Vitality
(a) Business and employment growth  
(b) Increased domestic and foreign investment  
(c) Generate wealth and prosperity (strengthen quality of life)  
(d) Increase assessment/tax revenue

2. Positive Business Climate and Business Experiences

3. Positive Business Profile and City Image as an Investment Location

Strategic Plan:

This report aligns with the new Brampton Strategic Plan priority of Smart Growth, namely *Cultivate economic growth and stability, innovation hubs and foreign investment*

Conclusion:

Various legislative requirements have made it necessary for the GTA FDI Agency to change its business model to a fee for service model, subject to a funding agreement to be entered into with the Agency and other funding parties. While the corporate structure of the Agency is very different from what was originally contemplated, the Agency will function in substantially the same manner as was previously envisioned. The funding municipalities, including the City of Brampton, will retain an acceptable level of influence over the Agency by virtue of the funding agreement and the various committees that will be established in furtherance of the agreement. Annual budget approval by the City will continue to be required as part of the ongoing funding of the Agency.

With Council approval, the City of Brampton will continue to be an active participant, supporter and subject matter leader in the marketing of the GTA as an international investment location of choice.

Approved by:       Approved by:

Dennis Cutajar  
Chief Operating Officer

Sohail Saeed, Director  
Economic Development and Tourism

Attachments:

Appendix 1: Report #6755 - New Foreign Direct Investment Regional Agency to November 18, 2015 EDC

Report authored by: Dennis Cutajar and Sohail Saeed
Overview:

- The purpose of this report is to provide information, implications and recommendations regarding City support and assistance of a new regionally-focused Greater Toronto Area (GTA) Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Agency (referred to in this report as the ‘GTA FDI Agency’ or ‘Agency’).
- International and domestic Business Investment Attraction is one of Council’s approved seven economic development priorities in the 2014-2018 Term of Council (Council Resolution #ED004-2015).
- Formed in 1997, the Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance (GTMA) was the lead agency dedicated to promoting the GTA in the international marketplace as an investment location. The City of Brampton has been a member, and active business partner, of the GTMA since its inception.
- In 2012, a consulting report prepared by the firm of PwC concluded that FDI activities in the GTA are underperforming relative to other competitive investment attraction agencies, mainly due to limited financial resources. Subsequently, the Board of the GTMA decided to dissolve by the end of 2015, and transition to a larger Agency in 2016.
- GTA municipalities, along with senior government and the corporate sector are the designated funding members in the new GTA FDI Agency (a not-for-profit corporation). GTA municipalities have been requested to contribute $2 million per year for three years. The Province of Ontario has already announced its commitment of $2.5 million per year to the new Agency.
- Brampton’s financial contribution to the new GTA FDI Agency is estimated to be $167,135 per year for three years, starting in 2016, subject to annual program review and budget approval by Council.

Recommendations:

1. That, the report from Dennis Cutajar, Chief Operating Officer, dated October 30, 2015 to the Economic Development Committee meeting of November 18, 2015, re: New Greater Toronto Area Foreign Direct Investment Agency be received; and
2. That, Economic Development Committee endorse the new Greater Toronto Area (GTA) Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Agency, a collaboration between GTA municipalities, senior government and the corporate sector; and

3. That, staff be authorized to make payment of $167,135 in 2016 to a new GTA FDI Agency, subject to the following conditions being met:

   a. Approval of the 2016 Current Budget by City Council;
   b. The following documents are submitted to the City of Brampton by the new corporate entity (GTA FDI Agency):

      i. Letters Patent;
      ii. Corporate Bylaw(s);
      iii. Business Plan Overview, including Year 1 Pro-Forma Financial Statement; and
      iv. Letter of Commitment from Province of Ontario (stating term and amount).

   c. The execution of an agreement between the City of Brampton and a new corporate entity (GTA FDI Agency); and

4. That, the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary agreement(s) between the Corporation of the City of Brampton and the new GTA FDI Agency subject to the content of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the Chief Operating Officer (or designate), and the form of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the City Solicitor (or designate); and

5. That, Economic Development staff be requested to undertake the required activities to effect the recommendations in this report, including participation on events, programs and service activities of the new GTA FDI Agency, pursuant to corporate policies, procedures and protocols; and

6. That, the City Treasurer be requested to complete a budget transfer of $167,135 from the applicable General Government account to the Economic Development Division Cost Centre (#0267), subject to Council approval of the 2016 Current and Capital Budget; and

7. That, a financial contribution from the City of Brampton to the new GTA FDI Agency in 2017, and future years, be subject to the annual review of the GTA FDI Agency business plan and approval of the current year budget by City Council.
Background:

Origin of Report


This plan outlined four key goal streams for IBDM:

1. Support Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Brampton
2. Promote Brampton Internationally through Partnerships
3. Engage local talent, knowledge and networks (Diaspora)
4. Pursue Investment Attraction through a central, Greater Toronto Area (GTA) foreign direct investment (FDI) Agency

This report originates from the above Council decision, namely item #4: Pursue Investment Attraction through a new central GTA Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Agency. Further, this goal aims to build capacity in regional FDI activities by supporting the formation and helping to fund a single entity dedicated to pursuing investment on behalf of all municipalities in the GTA.

Current Situation:

Brampton supports the growth and vitality of its existing business community through a global perspective, and aims to expand its brand and image as an attractive location for further business investment from around the world.

There are over 230 businesses located in Brampton that indicate they have an international headquarters located outside of Canada, an increase of 21 per cent from 2007. These companies operate in a variety of sectors, including Food and Beverage Processing, Retail Admin and Logistics and especially Advanced Manufacturing, representing almost one-third of these international companies. ABB Inc., Coca Cola Bottling, Frito-Lay Canada (Pepsico) and DB Schenker of Canada are just a few examples of companies that anchor these sectors, further improving the image of Brampton as a place to do business.

The current agency, GTMA, is a public/private partnership founded in 1997 to promote the GTA as a place for international investment and to provide services to companies interested in investing in the GTA. Its vision is “To expand the economy of the Greater Toronto Area by raising the profile of the region internationally to attract new investment and employment.” By providing all-encompassing services to investors, the GTMA helps facilitate investment in the Greater Toronto Area and ensures the region is positioned internationally as a preferred business location.

GTMA has been funded from a variety of sources including GTA municipalities and regions, governments of Ontario and Canada, other government agencies, and a broad
cross section of private sector corporations. City of Brampton has had a long-standing and highly positive relationship with GTMA.

In 2015, three Regions and four cities/town in the GTA contributed a total of $500,000 to the GTMA core funding, just under one third of its annual operating budget. This amount was divided amongst the Regions of York, Durham, Halton, and the City of Toronto contributing $100,000 each, and the City of Mississauga, City of Brampton, and Town of Caledon sharing the remaining $100,000 cost. Brampton has been a contributing partner since the GTMA's inception and its 2015 contribution was $37,500 (unchanged since 2012).

In addition to a number of micro businesses that invested in Brampton recently, the GTMA has been successful in attracting two major companies to Brampton. As can be seen in Table 1, today, these industries employ 300 workers and contribute $1,272,145 in property taxes per year. Table 1 summarises the positive impact of these companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1: IMPACT OF GTMA INVESTMENTS</th>
<th>Office/Distribution</th>
<th>Office/Distribution</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st Year in Brampton</strong></td>
<td>2001*</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>21 total years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Value Assessment (2015)</td>
<td>$42,517,250</td>
<td>$16,261,000</td>
<td>$58,778,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Property Taxes:</td>
<td>$949,454.31</td>
<td>$322,690.64</td>
<td>$1,272,144.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Charges</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>$1,068,342**</td>
<td>$1,068,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employment</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Building (SF)</td>
<td>737,000</td>
<td>150,187</td>
<td>887,187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2015 expansion valued at $23 million (not including furniture, fixtures, equipment costs)
** Add Parkland Dedication Fees of $269,921 for the entire site
8.1-10

With the creation of a larger GTA FDI Agency, the capacity to increase and close investment leads will be proportionately greater than what the GTMA was able to achieve on a relatively small budget.

**Rationale for Transition to a New Regional FDI Agency**

Over the past several years, opinions have formed among the GTMA Board of Directors and its Members on the overall success of foreign direct investment activities in the GTA.

The comparatively small budget of the GTMA limited its ability to effectively coordinate and implement investment attraction plans reflective of one of the largest economic regions in Canada and the USA.

In an effort to address these issues and opinions, the GTMA Board of Directors initiated a review of its organization in late 2012. The Board commissioned Price Waterhouse Coopers LLP (PwC) to complete an evidence-based assessment and strategy. The final study was known as the “Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance Roadmap to Revitalization”.

The Roadmap to Revitalization report identified the GTMA organization as underperforming relative to other similar investment attraction agencies. Table 2 identifies GTMA’s funding per capita at $0.29 vs Montreal at $0.92, as one example.¹

**Table 2: Results of PwC Study: Roadmap to Revitalization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>London</th>
<th>Montreal</th>
<th>Charlotte</th>
<th>Miami</th>
<th>GTMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total FDI funding</td>
<td>$9.5M</td>
<td>$3.4M</td>
<td>$3.4M</td>
<td>$3.0M</td>
<td>$1.7M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FDI staff</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Issues beyond resourcing were also addressed:

- Many local FDI players working independently have created market confusion, have fragmented resources (missing opportunities for efficiencies and economies
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of scale) and have lacked meaningful co-ordination. This has led to an underwhelming track record for the region taken as a whole in attracting new business investment into the GTA, as pointed out by PwC.

- As a result of the above factor, it is almost impossible to pro-actively mount major sales efforts for larger investments and thus most local efforts only result in small ‘wins’.

In summary, the PwC report recommended an expanded and rebranded GTMA organization utilizing increased funding primarily from senior levels of government and GTA municipalities.

In 2013, the Board of the GTMA began a multi-year process of transition to grow responsibly through the formation of a new corporate entity, funding strategy, organizational structure and governance model in order to better serve its clients and stakeholders.

The GTMA will cease operations by December 31, 2015.

The Brampton Economic Development and Tourism Division is part of a GTA-wide working group of municipalities discussing the start-up and formation of this renewed GTA FDI Agency (temporarily named “Newco”).

Current Status of the new GTA FDI Agency Proposal

This initiative has matured from one focussed on the revitalization of the GTMA into a well-advanced proposal to establish a wholly new regional agency. It is intended that this approach will create a major sales and marketing organization that will attract more new investment from targeted global regions and industry clusters to the GTA.

In the past few months, the following steps have been taken. These are preliminary and the permanent new agency will not go forward until a final and full approval has been agreed to amongst all the funding partners.

- A new interim not-for-profit corporation has recently been incorporated with basic by-laws to allow planning and start-up funding to flow to the project from the Province. The Province, through the Ministry of Economic Development, Employment and Infrastructure (MEDEI) has committed $730,000 for this initial work.

- The Province of Ontario has committed $2.5 million per year for three (3) years to this agency.

- Assisting the planning and development of the new GTA FDI Agency is a committee of local economic development officials (EDOs) in the GTA municipalities and regions (called the EDO Working Group), including Brampton
staff. This group has been providing advice on roles and responsibilities between the new organization and the municipalities, as well as the mandate, strategies, organization structure and tactics of the new organization. MEDEI is also participating on this group.

- Three key start-up studies have been completed, they are focused on governance, strategy and branding. These are expected to report through to the Interim Board late this fall.

- All parties are striving to have approvals in place and the new organization up and running by the beginning of 2016.

- Prior to start-up, all funding participants within the region as well as the Province and the Federal governments will need to agree to the establishment of the new organization, including its funding; name of organization; Board policies and procedures; Board composition in terms of numbers; where Board members should come from (geographic as well as other aspects such as elected, business, other); method of appointment of the Board; Executive structure of the Board (Chair, Vice-Chair, etc.) and appointment process for these positions; initial mission, vision and positioning; targeting strategies; and staff structure. It is expected that all operational and strategic elements will need to be revisited and more fully developed by the new permanent Board when it is established.

The proposed funding model is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3: Proposed Funding Model**

The proposed funding model on an annual basis (2016 and beyond) is as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Regions/City</td>
<td>$2.0 million (Brampton portion $167,135 annually)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>$0.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Province has committed to $2.5 million each year for at least three years (with a review at some stage in the future) as well as $730,000 in 2015 to assist with start-up activities. The federal government has not made a firm commitment but has indicated a positive willingness to review a request. Discussions are ongoing with regional and municipal governments and there have been positive reactions to date and no refusals.

**Role of the City of Brampton in Investment Attraction**

The new GTA FDI Agency’s role will focus on world-wide market research, marketing and lead generation, while the municipal role will focus on lead fulfillment (prospects) and aftercare (investments.)
The diagram below shows typical steps in lead processing and the corresponding roles of the Agency and member municipalities.

With its annual budget projected to be more than four times that of the GTMA, the new GTA FDI Agency anticipates a proportional increase in leads (once fully operational).

Municipal staff will support the Agency with activities such as:

- Participating and collaborating on the annual business planning and strategic priority sessions with the Agency.
- To the extent asked, participate on Investor Prospect Meetings (with inbound clients); City of Brampton participation in these meetings offers an opportunity to connect personally with inbound investors to propose Brampton as their choice location within the GTA.
- Assisting the Agency with local information related to Requests for Information (RFIs) received by the Agency; RFI responses match international investors with potential local partners, suppliers, and other strategic alliances.
- Responding to Site Selection Requests issued by the Agency; Site Selection responses provide detailed information on local land, buildings for rent or buildings for sale which match the investor’s commercial or industrial requirements.
- Participation on targeted lead generation activities when/if invited; in the case of an outbound mission, if any, it would be conducted with advanced approval from Economic Development Committee.
- Participating on committees, events or other activities which will allow Brampton to stay top-of-mind with the Agency and its prospects.

The new GTA FDI Agency cannot be successful in FDI attraction without the partnership of municipal economic development practitioners.

The Agency will initiate the above process and guide initial steps of **Planning, Prospecting and Activation**. As the Agency **Engages** the business prospect it will rely on the economic and business assets within GTA communities (partners) to **Close** the deal.

Municipal partners will then be responsible for **Approvals, After Care** and first point of contact on **Expansions**. The relationship will be collaborative, with the Agency acting as
a “sales arm” for the GTA and by extension Brampton. Brampton shall support and assist with key steps in lead processing.

Benefits of Participation in the New GTA FDI Agency

The Toronto brand is recognized world-wide, and it makes sense to undertake international investment efforts under a strong brand. Collective international marketing efforts under a common brand serve to benefit all locations in the GTA.

There are a number of compelling reasons for Brampton to participate in the new format agency:

- FDI is important. New investment brought by global companies (or expansion by foreign-owned companies already based in the region) bring, on average, higher paid jobs, higher productivity, more exports and more innovative technologies than locally-based companies. They also bring more international connections and themselves attract more new companies as part of their supply chain. FDI can also add diversity to an economy, providing stability during challenging economic times.

- A new foreign business that locates somewhere in the GTA, including Brampton, will hire talent from Brampton’s resident labour force; will contract suppliers and professional advisors located in Brampton; and the employees hired directly by the foreign company and those employees working for suppliers will earn income and purchase goods and services at Brampton business establishments and pay taxes that support municipal and provincial public services. This multiplier effect grows the GTA regional economic pie, thereby benefitting all local communities.

- Brampton’s current partnerships, diaspora networks and business inventory assets will be a foundation for some of the features, advantages and benefits of doing business in the GTA that the Agency communicates to prospects. The new GTA FDI Agency’s success will in turn build the business assets in Brampton. This is the evolution of the groundwork done by the International Business Development and Marketing program over the last few years.

- The competitive landscape between cities and urban regions for investment, in Canada and globally, is greater than ever. Making sure that efforts are noticed takes very significant resources. The PwC Report highlighted that the GTA lags behind many competitive centres in the level of investment through FDI efforts. More aggressive and well-funded sales efforts can compete more effectively on the world stage. A larger, better-funded and better-staffed agency will have:
  
  - Greater capacity to target larger, more complex companies with sophisticated marketing and sales strategies;
  
  - Better research and tactical planning to support sales efforts and better tracking and servicing of leads and successes;
Higher volumes of leads and prospects, which will translate to more direct investments in the Region; more indirect economic growth through related supply chain activity; and ultimately more jobs across the region.

- Most business decision-makers do not view the Greater Toronto Area as a set of individual municipalities/regions defined by political boundaries, but as an integrated regional economy and consumer market. Indeed, Regional economic prosperity in the GTA means prosperity for Brampton.

- Regional coordination will eliminate confusion in the marketplace and will invite greater involvement by the Provincial and Federal governments. This a key to success in many of the emerging markets.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

The new GTA FDI Agency has requested a 2016 financial contribution of $2 million per year for a three-year commitment from GTA municipal members. As can be seen in Table 4, the municipal funding share (regions/cities) is divided on the basis of per capita population, which means Brampton’s share will be $167,135 annually beginning in 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GTA Municipal Funders</th>
<th>Current 2015 GTMA Funding</th>
<th>% Share Total GTA Municipal Funding</th>
<th>2016 Augmented Funding of New FDI Agency</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>% Share Total GTA Municipal Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durham Region (6 municipalities)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$206,397</td>
<td>106%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton Region (4 municipalities)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$160,039</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Region (9 municipalities)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$329,389</td>
<td>229%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Toronto</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$890,412</td>
<td>790%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>$56,250</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$227,598</td>
<td>305%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Brampton</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>$167,135</td>
<td>346%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Caledon</td>
<td>$6,250</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>$19,030</td>
<td>204%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTA Municipal TOTAL</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>300%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Economic Development Division base operating budget includes $37,500 (i.e., former GTMA funding) that is proposed to be allocated to the new GTA FDI Agency. The budget impact is represented by a net increase of $129,635 and is proposed to be funded by the 2016 City of Brampton current budget as an expansion of an existing service initiative.

As stated in the recommendations section of this report, Brampton’s participation with the new GTA FDI Agency is subject to a number of conditions being met, including the approval of the 2016 Current Budget by Council.

Legal Impact

This report recommends that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the anticipated agreement(s) with the new GTA FDI Agency, subject to the content of such agreement(s) being reviewed by the Chief Operating Officer (or designate) and the form of such agreement(s) being satisfactory to the City Solicitor (or designate).

It is anticipated that the form of the agreement(s) will outline the obligations, roles and responsibilities, including regular financial reporting, of the municipal members and the Board of the new Agency.

Communications

For the purpose of communication and administration, the Designated Contact from City staff is the Chief Operating Officer (and the alternate being the Director of Economic Development and Tourism).

Other Implications: Brampton’s Representation on Boards and Committees

Mayor, Council and economic development staff should take every opportunity to play active roles as involved stakeholders in the new Agency, such as Board of Directors, new governing committees etc. Staff will continue to participate on any applicable committees that assist in the delivery of the Brampton Economic Development program. At the time of this report the final governance model for the new Agency was not determined, however, it has been confirmed that all municipal funding partners shall be Members of the new Corporation.

Operational activities related to the Council approved International Business Development and Marketing Plan 2015-2018 will be required to continue in order to support increased lead fulfillment demand and client aftercare generated by the new Agency, as well as complementary international business attraction and trade activity unique to the Brampton marketplace.

The progress of this new Agency shall be reviewed, monitored and evaluated in 2016, and in future years, by staff in consultation with the Economic Development Committee.
Policy Impact

A financial contribution to the new GTA FDI Agency support the goals and intended outcomes included in Brampton’s Economic Development Plan 2015-2018:

1. Economic Growth and Vitality
   a. Business and employment growth
   b. Increased domestic and foreign investment
   c. Generate wealth and prosperity (strengthen quality of life)
   d. Increase assessment/tax revenue

2. Positive Business Climate and Business Experiences

3. Positive Business Profile and City Image as an Investment Location

Strategic Plan:

This report aligns with the new Brampton Strategic Plan priority of Smart Growth, namely *Cultivate economic growth and stability, innovation hubs and foreign investment*

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this report included information, implications and recommendations related to City of Brampton support of the new GTA FDI Agency.

This report highlighted multiple benefits of City participation on this GTA focused strategic initiative, including:

- Higher levels of government are committing to significant, on-going investments in the GTA’s economic future through this agency;
- Higher levels of government prefer to work with regions rather than individual municipalities;
- The expected funding for Newco represents at least a four-fold increase over current levels;
- GTA municipal funders will see their increased contribution matched up to two-and-one-half times by senior government, thereby generating a higher return on economic development investment (by way of economies of scale) for Brampton;
- FDI attraction efforts for the Toronto region will be placed on a more even footing with other major urban regions in Canada and globally;
• FDI attraction efforts for the Toronto region will be placed on a more even footing with other major urban regions in Canada and globally;

• The new format provides for greater capacity to develop compelling value propositions and target higher quality, complex deals;

• This stable, longer-term funding will permit the Agency to commit to multi-year strategies that match the longer sales cycle that is typical of FDI attraction;

• The Toronto region will be marketing internationally under a single representative vibrant and magnetic brand that communicates the GTA collective assets.

With Council approval, the City of Brampton will continue to be an active participant, supporter and subject matter leader in the marketing of the GTA as an international investment location of choice.

Report authored by: Dennis Cutajar, Chief Operating Officer and Cassandra Baccardax, Senior Foreign Investment Advisor
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</tr>
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<td>Chair, SMT</td>
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Date: June 17, 2016

File: CB.x

Subject: Acceptance of a donation from Investors Group to the City of Brampton

Contact: Bill Grant, (Acting) Manager of Special Events, Office of the Chief Operating Officer (Tel: 905-874-2316)

Recommendations

1. That, the report prepared by Bill Grant, (Acting) Manager of Special Events, dated June 17, 2016 to the City Council meeting of July 6, 2016 re: Acceptance of a donation from Investors Group to the City of Brampton be received; and

2. That Council authorize acceptance of a donation from the Investors Group in the amount of $2400 and authorize staff to deposit the amount to account 600840.001.0191 (Donations); and

3. That, the Treasurer be authorized to make a municipal Grant payment of $2,400.00 from the Community Event account 201109.001.0225 (Community Events) to Carabram in support of event costs related to the Carabram Multicultural Festival Gala event at Rose Theatre on June 29, 2016.

Overview

- The Investors Group has expressed its wish to donate $2,400 to the City in support of community events in Brampton. (See Appendix 1)

- The purpose of this report is to seek Council approval to make a Grant of $2,400 to Carabram in support of the Carabram Multicultural Festival Gala event at the Rose Theatre on June 29, 2016.

- It is recommended that the donation from the Investors Group be used to offset this grant payment.

Background

This report originates from a letter of request received by City staff on June 9, 2016 from the Downtown Brampton firm of Investors Group. The letter can be found in Appendix 1 of this report.
Current Situation

The Investors Group is a community supporter of arts and culture events in Downtown Brampton. In prior years, Investors Group made charitable contributions to downtown community initiatives through the now defunct Brampton Arts Council.

The Investors Group wishes to continue to make charitable donations in support of community events and activities in Brampton, and has requested City assistance to meet this objective.

Corporate Implications

Financial Implications:

Under the authority of the Canada Income Tax Act, the City can issue charitable donation tax receipts to an individual who makes a donation to the City of Brampton. The Grant must be authorized by City Council.

Therefore a charitable donation tax receipt to the Investors Group can be issued in support of the two initiatives described above according to the following steps:

1. The City receives a donation of $2,400.00 from the Investors Group and deposit the amount into the Donation account 600840.001.0191

2. City Council authorizes a municipal grant payment of $2,400.00 from the Community Events account 201109.001.0225 to Carabram in support of the Carabram Multicultural Festival Gala event at the Rose Theatre on June 29, 2016

3. The City issues a charitable donation tax receipt to the Investors Group for $2,400.00

The above listed transactions does not impact the 2016 approved budget.

Other Implications

Staff considers this request to be an exception to the 2016 Community Grant-making Program.

Strategic Plan

This report aligns with the Strategic Plan goals and initiatives; Strong Communities:

Celebrate citizens and build partnerships through arts, culture, and social interaction.

Conclusion
With Council approval of this Grant, the City of Brampton will be in a position to facilitate corporate sector support of community events and activities in Downtown Brampton.

Approved by:  
Bill Grant  
(Acting) Manager, Festivals and Special Events  
Strategic and Enterprise Services  
Office of the Chief Operating Officer

Approved by:  
Thomas Plant MBA, MPA, PMP  
Director  
Strategic and Enterprise Services  
Office of the Chief Operating Officer

Report authored by:  
Bill Grant, (Acting) Manager of Festivals and Special Events

Appendix 1: Letter from Investors Group, Dated June 9, 2016
June 9, 2016

City of Brampton

Attn: Dennis Cutajar

Re: Charitable Contribution to the City of Brampton

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my wish to support community efforts in Brampton Downtown. I wish to make a charitable contribution in the amount $2,400 to the City of Brampton.

I would respectfully request that council give approval to make the funds available to the following organization: Carabram Brampton’s Multicultural Festival Gala on the 29th of June, $2,400 will be required to support the event at the Rose theatre.

Thank you for your kind consideration of my wishes.

Andrew Mackenzie
Regional Director
June 9, 2016

City of Brampton

Attn: Dennis Cutajar

Re: Charitable Contribution to the City of Brampton

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my wish to support community efforts in Brampton Downtown. I wish to make a charitable contribution in the amount $2,400 to the City of Brampton.

I would respectfully request that council give approval to make the funds available to the following organization: Carabram Brampton's Multicultural Festival Gala on the 29th of June, $2,400 will be required to support the event at the Rose theatre.

Thank you for your kind consideration of my wishes.

Andrew Mackenzie
Regional Director
Date: 2016-06-20

Subject: Status Update re: OMB Appeals (File GBB OMB)

Contact: Matthew Rea, Legal Counsel, Corporate Services, 905-874-2626

Recommendations:

1. THAT the report from Matthew Rea, Legal Counsel, Litigation and Administrative Law Division, Corporate Services dated June 20, 2016 to the City Council Meeting of July 6, 2016 re: Status Update re: OMB Appeals, be received.

Overview:

- Members of Council have requested periodic status updates regarding Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) proceedings involving the City.

- This report summarizes active OMB appeals as well as appeals concluded since the last status report in November 2015.

Background:

Council have directed staff to report bi-annually with a status update regarding OMB appeal activity. The City is engaged in a variety of OMB appeals including:

- Regional Official Plan Amendments;
- City Official Plan, City-wide and site specific Official Plan amendments;
- Secondary plans and Block plans;
- Zoning by-law amendments and minor variances;
- Interim control by-laws;
- Draft plans of subdivision and/or draft plan of subdivision conditions;
- Consents;
- Site plans;
- Development charges and planning act fees; and
- Ontario Heritage Act.

Certain appeals - such as the City wide Official Plan proceedings – involve multiple stakeholders with extensive issues that require many years to complete, whereas Committee of Adjustment appeals are normally dealt with in one or two days. Every
reasonable effort is made to evaluate the potential for settlement and the large majority of appeals settle or are withdrawn before a hearing is necessary. In addition to handling Court and other tribunal matters, legal staff spends significant resources on OMB matters including file review, drafting legal opinions, negotiating with stakeholders, meeting with staff, reporting to Council and representing the City regarding procedural matters, pre-hearing conferences, mediation and hearings. The Legal division currently has one full time lawyer and one part time lawyer that handle OMB appeals with external counsel and external witnesses engaged as required.

Current Situation:

There are 28 active OMB proceedings involving the City, for which status updates are provided in this report. The following case summaries are categorized by appealed planning instrument. The City file name, OMB case number and status (active or concluded) is shown in the header for each matter.

The OMB’s website http://www.omb.gov.on.ca/english/eStatus/eStatus.html has scheduling information and decisions for all active OMB matters. The assigned OMB Case Number (Eg. PL121189), which is identified with each case summary below, may be used to search the status of a particular appeal.

**Regional Official Plan Amendments**

**Peel ROPAs 16/24/26 – PL130110 - Active**

The City is a party to the Region of Peel’s transportation related Official Plan Amendments 16, 24 and 26. City Official Plan policies under appeal regarding transportation and corridor protection will be heard together at this proceeding. A pre-hearing conference is scheduled for June 6, 2016.

The proceedings have not advanced past the pre-hearing conference stage, as stakeholders wait for the Province’s refinement of the GTA West Corridor Study Area, which remains on hold. The Province recently announced the appointment of an advisory panel to review the need for the proposed GTA West highway.

**Halton ROPA 43 – PL140744 – Active**

ROPAs 43 involves appeals of Halton Region’s transportation corridor protection policies. Appeals were filed in 2014. A pre-hearing conference has not been scheduled.

**Town of Halton Hills OP PL080424 and ZBA PL100931 - Active**

The Town of Halton Hills Official Plan and Comprehensive Zoning By-law appeals involving corridor protection issues remain adjourned at the OMB. These proceedings may be heard together with Halton ROPA 43 proceeding.
City Initiated Official Plan Amendments

Brampton 2006 Official Plan – PL080248 – All Wards – Active

This proceeding was initiated in 2008 with numerous appeals and parties. Staff and external legal counsel have worked diligently to resolve outstanding issues and the City Official Plan is now largely in force. The transportation/corridor related Official Plan policies under appeal will be dealt with through the ROPA 26 OMB proceedings. A pre-hearing for the transportation matters is scheduled for June 6, 2016. The Province’s refinement of the GTA West Corridor Study Area was expected in December but remains on hold pending an advisory panel review of the need for the proposed highway.

Brampton Growth Plan Conformity Official Plan Amendment - PL120151 – All Wards - Active

In July, 2013, the OMB approved the majority of the City’s growth plan amendment, which has been incorporated into the City’s Official Plan. In 2015, some further policies were approved following settlement discussions. An OMB teleconference was held on August 7, 2015, to deal with the remaining policies for which settlements had been reached. All policies remaining under appeal are expected to be dealt with through the ROPA 26 proceedings. The next pre-hearing is scheduled for June 6, 2016.

Site Specific Official Plan Amendments

Highway 427 Industrial Secondary Plan Area 47 - PL141189 – Ward 10 - Active

In September 2014, Council adopted a City initiated Official Plan Amendment (OP2006-105) to establish the Highway 427 Industrial Secondary Plan Area 47. This Secondary Plan Area is situated in north-east Brampton and is generally bounded by Mayfield Road to the north, Castlemore Road to the south, Highway 50 to the east, and The Gore Road to the west.

OP2006-105 provides for residential and employment uses and protects for the GTA West Corridor. It has been appealed by the Province, the Region of Peel and a number of area land owners. As transportation issues within this secondary plan are impacted by the GTA West Corridor, a pre-hearing was held together with the ROPA 26 proceedings on October 16, 2015. The next pre-hearing conference is scheduled for June 6, 2016. The City’s external legal counsel is pursuing consent to partial approval of this Secondary Plan.

Osmington Inc. – PL140817 – Ward 6 - Active

Osmington Inc. filed an Official Plan amendment (OPA 101) to move their lands into the existing Mount Pleasant Secondary Plan with criteria to guide the evaluation of a future development application. The Osmington lands are located in north-west Brampton at the north-west quadrant of Mississauga Road and Bovaird Drive West.
Osmington is proposing a regional retail centre, office, hotel, higher density housing and community uses. Council adopted the Official Plan amendment in June 2014, which was appealed by Morguard Investments Limited. The OMB hearing to determine whether the Osmington lands will become part of the Mount Pleasant Secondary Plan is scheduled to commence on September 13, 2016. Council has directed staff to support the Osmington OPA 101 application.

Heathwood Homes (Brampton) Ltd. and MCN (Heritage) Inc. - PL150257 and PL150258 – Ward 6 - Active

These properties are very close to the Osmington lands. The owners have filed similar Official Plan Amendments to move their lands, intended primarily for residential uses, into the Mount Pleasant Secondary Plan. Although not consolidated, these matters are being heard together with the Osmington appeal on September 13, 2016. Council has directed staff not to support these applications.

Churchville Heritage Conservation District – PL070797 – Ward 6 - Active

This matter involves appeals of the City’s official plan amendments and zoning by-laws for the Churchville Heritage Conservation District. The majority of appeals were resolved in 2009 but there is one outstanding site specific appeal. The owner is represented by new counsel and a new planning consulting firm. The OMB process remains adjourned.

Lindvest Properties (Heart Lake) Limited, Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law – PL101386 – Ward 2 - Active

These applications were submitted to permit four (4) apartment buildings (11 to 15 storeys in height) with an overall total of 846 units on the lands located north of Bovaird Drive and west of Heart Lake Road. The applicant appealed the OPA and the ZBA based on Council not making a decision within the timeline required by the Planning Act. In 2011, the OMB issued a decision supporting zoning for the site but withheld its Order subject to the completion of studies. The OMB Order remains withheld and the proceedings adjourned.

GSP Group Incorporated - Caledon JV Partnership – Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law - PL140055 – Ward 2 - Active

These applications proposed a 9,290 m² (100,000 ft²) neighbourhood retail centre on Hurontario Street straddling the municipal boundaries of the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon, including 2,508 m² (27,000 ft²) of GFA on the parcel located within the City of Brampton. The Brampton applications were refused by Council in December 2013. The Town of Caledon recently refused to approve the Caledon applications. Both matters have been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board and the first pre-hearing conference is scheduled July 19, 2016.

Arlington Homes - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law. Application for Plan of Subdivision and Plan of Condominium - PL110363 – Ward 7 - Active
To permit the development of 6 townhouse units, 3 semi-detached units and 1 single detached dwelling on the property located at 9124 Dixie Road (west side of Dixie Road, north of Queen Street, south of North Park). In 2011, the applicant appealed to the OMB for lack of Council decision. A pre-hearing conference is scheduled for September 27, 2016.

i2 Developments (Brampton) Inc. – PL150666 - Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision – Ward 4 - Concluded

This property, comprising approximately 2 hectares, is located at 209 Steeles Ave. W. near Hurontario. A 12 storey building and stacked townhouses in ten 4 storey blocks are proposed. The applications were appealed to the OMB for lack of Council decision. Council directed staff to support the applications in principle subject to addressing outstanding City issues. Staff worked diligently to resolve outstanding City issues and eventually reached agreement with the other parties to the hearing.

A hearing was held on May 18, 2016, with testimony from a land use planner and transportation engineer in support of the applications. Two residents testified with objections to the development proposal. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Board issued an oral decision to approve the OPA, ZBA and Draft Plan of Subdivision conditions. A written Board decision and Order is expected to be issued in the near future.

Brampton Development Permit System (DPS) – Official Plan Amendment and By-law – PL121100 – Ward 1 - Concluded

A development permit system replaces zoning, minor variance and site plan approvals through one permit system. In August 2012, Council adopted an Official Plan amendment and DPS By-law to implement a DPS. Two OMB appeals were filed. One appellant has withdrawn and a settlement has been reached with the other appellant (Main and Market Landowners Group). A settlement hearing was held on November 20, 2015, and the OMB heard testimony from the City’s land use planner in support of the DPS OPA and By-law as modified by settlement between the City and Appellants. On December 4, 2015, a decision was issued approving the DPS and bringing the DPS OPA and By-law into force for the Main Street North area, located just to the north of the historic downtown core.

Clarence Street - 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27 & 29 - PL160335 & PL160336 – Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law – Ward 3 - Active

Applications for 35 residential townhomes on lands located at Main Street and Clarence Street. A neighbouring landowner has appealed and is seeking a reduction in unit count to allow increased setbacks for protection of existing trees. The hearing is expected to be scheduled for a date in the fall.
Zoning By-law Amendments

Norval Quarry – PL110063 – Ward 6 - Active

The subject lands are located in Ward 6 on the east side of Winston Churchill Boulevard, north of Old Pine Crest Road. In 2008, Brampton Brick submitted an application to the City to rezone 97 acres to permit the development of a shale quarry. The rezoning application was appealed to the OMB in January 2011. A quarry licence application was filed with the MNR but has not been approved. An OMB pre-hearing conference has not yet been scheduled.

In September 2014, City Council passed a resolution to not support the quarry application as proposed. A number of stakeholders have expressed interest in the applications including the Region of Peel, Pit-Stop Residents Group and the North West Brampton Landowners Group. Planning staff is reporting with a status update regarding this file in June, 2016.

8 Joseph Street – PL140651 – Ward 5 - Active

A zoning application was filed to permit an existing multiple residential dwelling use at this property and to reduce the minimum parking requirement to four spaces. Planning staff recommended approval with conditions. Council refused the application.

On June 19, 2015, the OMB issued a decision in favour of legalizing three existing units. The OMB preferred the planning opinion of the City planner subpoenaed by the applicant to testify as to his planning report recommendations. The OMB’s Order that would implement the re-zoning remains withheld pending the preparation of a zoning by-law amendment, concept plan, rezoning agreement, gratuitous conveyance of land for road widening and payment of cash-in-lieu of parking.

North American Realty Acquisition Corp. – Block 1 on Plan 43M-1927 and Block 2, 3, 4 on Plan 43M-1927 - PL160478 & PL160479 – Ward 6 - Active

Two separate zoning by-law appeals have been filed due to Council non-decision for the subject lands located near Bovaird Drive and Mississauga Road. The lands have different owners, straddle two different Secondary Plan areas and were filed as distinct zoning applications, which is why two separate appeals have been filed. The applicant is seeking commercial designations to accommodate a range of commercial uses including retail, office, personal service, restaurant and automotive uses. A pre-hearing conference has not been scheduled yet.

Draft Plans of Subdivision

225600 Investments Limited – PL150367 – Application for Draft Plan of Subdivision – Ward 2 - Concluded

The subject lands are proposed for an employment subdivision at the northeast corner of Heart Lake Road and Countryside Drive. Draft plan approval was granted
on March 15, 2015, subject to conditions. The Appellant has appealed six of the approved conditions relating to a wildlife mitigation plan and whether an internal road would terminate in a cul-de-sac or extend to Countryside Drive. The Appellant and City reached a settlement of the appealed conditions. The OMB approved the proposed revised conditions at a hearing held on November 30, 2015.

Neamsby/Orefice – PL131334 – Ward 9 - Concluded

The Orefices appealed Neamsby's draft Plan of Subdivision approval due to issues relating to screening of the Orefice property from proposed Block 267. A settlement in principle was reached with the City's assistance and a settlement hearing held on November 6, 2015.


Four appeals were filed of a zoning by-law amendment to allow residential uses in East Brampton near Highway 50. None of the purported appellants made oral submissions at a public meeting or written submissions prior to Council adopting the zoning by-law amendment. On November 20, 2015, the Board deemed the appeals invalid and the matter is now concluded.

Marciana Sand Home Corporation – PL151182 – Ward 10 - Active

The subject lands are proposed for a subdivision of 16 semi-detached homes on the west side of Highway 50 north of Cottrelle Boulevard. Draft plan approval was granted on March 27, 2015, subject to conditions. The Appellant has appealed 1 of the approved conditions relating to vehicular access to Cottrelle Boulevard along the south limits of the future commercial lands. An OMB hearing has been scheduled from August 3-5, 2016.

Committee of Adjustment

Severance and Minor Variance Applications B12-002 and A12-016 – PL120271 – Ward 7 - Active

These applications propose a residential lot severance and minor variance for a reduced lot width for the property located at 20 Crescent Hill Drive North. The Committee of Adjustment denied the applications. The applicant appealed that decision to the OMB. The OMB hearing remains adjourned.

Minor Variance Application A14-198 – PL141427 – Ward 3 - Active

To permit a 1.8 metre high fence in the front yard of the property located at 1 Erindale Crescent. The Committee of Adjustment refused the minor variance for the 1.8 metre high fence in the front yard. The applicant appealed the decision to the OMB. The OMB decision was issued May 11, 2015. The settlement supports variances to allow for a reduced fence height and the installation of a chain link fence around a swimming pool. The Board’s Order is withheld pending confirmation from the City that the Applicant has complied with the settlement terms.
Minor Variance Application A15-070 – 9416 Highway 50 – PL150529 – Ward 10 - Active
The applicant’s minor variance application to allow an automotive repair use, parking of oversized vehicles and outside storage for a maximum of forty (40) trucks was refused on May 26, 2015. The OMB hearing has been adjourned to allow the appellant to file a rezoning application for uses consistent with the City’s Official Plan.

Minor Variance Application A14-217 – 16 First Gulf Blvd. – PL150091 – Ward 3 - Concluded
The applicant’s minor variance application to allow motor vehicle leasing and sales (accessory to an auto repair garage) and to allow outside storage (for the display of vehicles for sale/lease) was approved by the Committee of Adjustment on January 20, 2015. The owner of a neighbouring industrial property appealed the Committee’s decision to the OMB. The OMB hearing was held on June 16, 2015. The Board dismissed the Appellant’s appeal and approved the variances as defended by City staff.

Minor Variance Application A15-077 – 31 Strathearn Avenue – PL150458 – Ward 7 - Active
This minor variance application to reduce the minimum required landscape strip from 3.0 metres to 0.7 metres to facilitate parking was refused by Committee of Adjustment. The Clerk received an appeal on May 25, 2015. The OMB hearing is currently adjourned to allow settlement discussions to continue.

Minor Variance Application A15-150 – 34 Highwood Road – PL150927 – Ward 2 - Active
A minor variance to permit a temporary new homes sales pavilion in an Agricultural zone was refused by the Committee of Adjustment. The applicant has appealed and a hearing was held on May 10, 2016. The OMB’s decision has not yet been issued.

Minor Variance Application A15-186 – 443 Centre Street North – PL151033 – Ward 1 - Concluded
The owner applied to Committee of Adjustment in connection with a court ordered remediation timetable. He sought two variances: (1) unlimited lot coverage and (2) a fence height of 3.5 metres. Committee refused both variances.

The OMB hearing took place on March 30, 2016. At the commencement of the hearing, the Applicant withdrew the lot coverage variance. The Board issued a decision dismissing the fence height variance.

Minor Variance Application A16-020 – 13 Yellow Pine Road - PL160188 – Ward 8 - Active
The Committee of Adjustment refused a minor variance application to: (1) permit a driveway having a maximum width of 9.07 m whereas the bylaw permits a maximum width of 7.32 m (2) permit a driveway having a depth of 4.77 m whereas the bylaw requires a minimum driveway depth of 5.40 m and (3) permit a 0.0 m landscape strip adjacent to a side property line whereas the bylaw requires a minimum permeable landscape strip of 0.6 m. The Applicants have appealed to the OMB and a hearing date has been scheduled for August 4, 2016.

Minor Variance Application A16-074 – 5 Black Diamond Road – PL160490 – Ward 5 - Active

The Committee of Adjustment refused a minor variance application to permit a below grade entrance in a required side yard having a 0.0 metre setback whereas the by-law requires a minimum side yard setback of 1.2 metres. The Applicants have appealed to the OMB. A hearing has not yet been scheduled.

Application Pursuant to Subsection 42(10) of the Planning Act – 2593 Embleton Road – MM150068 – Ward 6 - Active

The owner of the subject property disputes the land value applied by the City in calculating cash-in-lieu of parkland. The CIL amount of $33,440.00 was paid under protest and an appeal filed to the OMB. A hearing has not yet been scheduled.

Ontario Heritage Act

Heritage Permit Application Appeal – 58 Church Street – MM160003 – Ward 6 - Active

The owner applied for a Heritage Permit Application which includes demolition of the existing residential dwelling and construction of a new dwelling. Council approved the application subject to various conditions. The applicant has appealed to the OMB the various conditions imposed. A one day hearing has been scheduled for September 13, 2016.

Corporate Implications: None.

Financial Implications: None.

Other Implications: None.

Strategic Plan: This report achieves the Strategic Plan priorities by investing in a collaborative environment with supportive organizational and governance practices.
Conclusion:
This report summarizes active OMB appeals as well as appeals concluded since the last status report in November 2015.

Original signed and approved by: Matthew Rea, Legal Counsel
Litigation and Administrative Law
Division, Corporate Services

Original signed and approved by: Roberto E. Zuech, Acting City Solicitor

Report authored by: Matthew Rea, Legal Counsel, Litigation and Administrative Law
Division, Corporate Services
Date: 2016-06-24

Subject: Amendment to Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012 to Appoint a Chief Building Official and Inspectors (File: BJ.x)

Contact: J. J. Pitushka, Acting Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services
905-874-2504

Recommendations:

1. That the report from J. J. Pitushka, Acting Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 24, 2016, to the Council meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Amendment to Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012 to Appoint a Chief Building Official and Inspectors (File BJ.x) be received; and

2. That Council enact the proposed amendment to Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012, substantially in a form and based on content set out in Appendix A to this report.

Overview:


- By-law 308-2012 as amended is the Building Division Appointment By-law currently in effect.

- From time to time, in response to staff turnover, the by-law is amended to add or delete appointed persons.

- Amendments to By-law 308-2012, as amended, are required to appoint Rick Conard as CBO.

- Amendments are required to name persons as Deputy CBOs to assume all the powers and duties of the CBO during his absence as well as update Inspector appointments.

- This report achieves the Strategic Plan priority of Good Government by maintaining transparent and accountable position authorities in
accordance with municipal legislation.

Background:

Subsection 3(2) of the BCA requires the Council of each municipality to appoint a Chief Building Official and Inspectors to enforce the Act and the regulations made under the Act (the Ontario Building Code). The current Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012 was enacted in 2012. Recent personnel changes require that the by-law be amended.

Current Situation:

The following changes are required to update the current Building Division Appointment By-law:

1. The appointment of Rick Conard as the Chief Building Official for the City, effective July 19, 2016.

2. The appointment of Lillyan McGinn, Cindy Hammond and Melvin Ramkissoon as Deputy Chief Building Officials for the City.

3. Updates to the Schedules in the by-law listing the Inspectors appointed to enforce the Building Code Act and regulation.

The draft amending by-law to the Building Division Appointment By-law 308-2012 is attached as Appendix A.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

There are no additional financial implications as a result of amending By-law 308-2012.

Other Implications:

Legal Implications:

The Council of each municipality is responsible for the enforcement of the BCA in the municipality and is responsible for appointing a CBO and Inspectors to carry out the enforcement of the Act.
Strategic Plan:

This report achieves the Strategic Plan priority of Good Government by maintaining transparent and accountable position authorities in accordance with municipal legislation.

Conclusion:

Due to recent announcement of Rick Conard as the Chief Building Official for the City, changes to the Building Division Appointment By-law, 308-2012, as amended, are necessary, as well as regular housekeeping changes for Deputy CBOs and Inspectors. Compliance with the BCA requires that the Council of the municipality appoint a CBO and Inspectors for the enforcement of the Act and the Building Code.

Approved by:       Approved by:

J. J. Pitushka, P. Eng.  Harry Schlange
Acting Chief,            Chief Administrative
Planning and Infrastructure Officer
Services

Attachments:

Appendix A - To Amend By-law 308-2012, being the “Building Division Appointment By-law”

Report authored by: Peter Fay, City Clerk, Corporate Services
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRAMPTON

BY-LAW

Number __________- 2016

To Amend By-law 308-2012, being the
“Building Division Appointment By-law”

RECITALS:

Subsection 3(2) of the Building Code Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.23, as amended requires the Council of each municipality to appoint a Chief Building Official and such inspectors as are necessary for the purposes of the enforcement of the Building Code Act, 1992;

Section 15 of the Police Services Act, R.S.O.1990, c.P.15, as amended, authorizes a municipal council to appoint Municipal By-law Enforcement Officers, who shall be peace officers for the purpose of enforcing municipal by laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton
ENACTS as follows:

1. By-law 308-2012, as amended, is further amended by deleting section 2 and replacing it with the following:

"2. Rick Conard is appointed as the Chief Building Official for the City of Brampton."

2. By-law 308-2012, as amended, is further amended by deleting section 3 (1) and replacing it with the following:

"3 (1) (a) Lillyan McGinn is appointed as the Deputy Chief Building Official, for the City of Brampton and in accordance with this section shall exercise all of the powers of the Chief Building Official during his absence.

(b) Cindy Hammond and Melvin Ramkissoon are appointed as Deputy Chief Building Officials, in an acting basis until such time as the roles are filled on a permanent basis, for the City of Brampton and in accordance with this section shall exercise all of the powers of the Chief Building Official during his absence."

3. Schedule 1 of By-law 308-2012, as amended, is further amended as follows:

(a) McGinn, L. is added to the list.
4. Schedule 1 of By-law 308-2012, as amended, is replaced by Schedule 1 hereto attached.

5. This by-law shall come into force and effect as of the 19th day of July, 2016

READ a FIRST, SECOND and THIRD TIME and PASSED in Open Council this 6th day of July, 2016.

ENACTED THIS 6th day of July, 2016.

________________________________
Linda Jeffrey, Mayor

Approved as to form.

___/___/___

[Type Name]

________________________________
Peter Fay, City Clerk

Approved as to content.

June 27, 2016
Schedule 1

Building Division Appointment By-law

List of Inspectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad, N.</td>
<td>Inches, D.</td>
<td>Spagnuolo, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali, N.</td>
<td>Jejeran, D.</td>
<td>Steer, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atapattu, P.</td>
<td>Joseph, O.</td>
<td>Tadros, S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balenzano, F.</td>
<td>Kalaeswaran, G.</td>
<td>Taraborrelli, M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bick, G.</td>
<td>Kardos, J.</td>
<td>Therrien, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, R.</td>
<td>Lin, J.</td>
<td>Thomson, P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, R.</td>
<td>Magnone, A.</td>
<td>Tymoshuk, M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter, M.</td>
<td>Marino, M.</td>
<td>Varkey, P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisan, M.</td>
<td>McGinn, L.</td>
<td>Walker, K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derksen, M.</td>
<td>Messih, G.</td>
<td>West, B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiBerardino, C.</td>
<td>Mihaila, F.</td>
<td>Venturuzzo, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiGiacinto, B.</td>
<td>Mizusawa, A.</td>
<td>Yang, T. (Joyce)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiMambro, J.</td>
<td>Monaco, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabrizio, E.</td>
<td>Ngoda, D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faiz, A.</td>
<td>Paradis, F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischbach, A.</td>
<td>Paradis, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frenette, M.</td>
<td>Penna, S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garas, L.</td>
<td>Povse, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godin, E.</td>
<td>Puccinelli, F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godley, D.</td>
<td>Ramkissoon, M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gougeon, D.</td>
<td>Raymond, B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond, C.</td>
<td>Rizzo, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanna, S.</td>
<td>Rosa Gastaldo, C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsley, R.C.</td>
<td>Santarossa, E.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hounhan, F.</td>
<td>Savini, L.</td>
<td>Simonato, M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 2016-06-14

Subject: Recommendation Report: Hurontario Light Rail Transit Project Update – Memorandum of Understanding – Metrolinx, City of Brampton and City of Mississauga – Wards 3 & 4 (File IA.A (16-3130-481))

Contact: Chris Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, Planning & Infrastructure Services (905-874-2544) chris.duyvestyn@brampton.ca

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Chris Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, Planning & Infrastructure Services, dated June 14, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Recommendation Report – Hurontario Light Rail Transit Project Update – Memorandum of Understanding – Metrolinx, City of Brampton, and City of Mississauga – Wards 3 & 4 (File IA.A (16-3130-481)), be received; and

2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on behalf of the City of Brampton with Metrolinx and the City of Mississauga for the delivery of the Hurontario Light Rail Transit (LRT) project, substantially in the form as attached to this report; and

3. That the Chief Administrative Officer or designate be authorized to negotiate and execute on behalf of the City of Brampton all protocols and/or other ancillary agreements, on terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief Administrative Officer or designate and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor, with Metrolinx and/or City of Mississauga as may be required to deliver the Hurontario LRT project, unless the protocols and/or other ancillary agreements result in additional budget implications for the City not previously approved by Council, in which case staff will seek future Council approval; and

4. That the Chief Administrative Officer or designate, be authorized to waive the making and publishing notice of surplus declarations, and be authorized to execute all agreements, instruments and other documents to acquire or dispose of any right, title or interest in lands from or to Metrolinx or as it may direct for the Hurontario LRT project at, or less than, current market value and otherwise on terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief Administrative Officer or designate and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor; and
5. That the City’s Real Estate policy and any other policies of the City prohibiting real estate transactions at less than current market value shall not apply to the real estate transactions between the City and Metrolinx for the Hurontario LRT project;

6. That the Chief Administrative Officer or designate be authorized, if delegation has not already been provided elsewhere, to approve and waive or execute any applicable permit, license and approval required to deliver the Hurontario LRT project; and

7. That Metrolinx and/or its agent(s) be granted exemptions from the City’s User Fee by-law and/or any other applicable fees or charges that apply to a permit, license or approval set out in recommendation 6; and

8. That the City Clerk be directed to forward a copy of this report and Council resolution to the City of Mississauga and Metrolinx.

Overview:

- Brampton along with Mississauga has negotiated the MOU for the Hurontario LRT with Metrolinx, which will form the basis for future definitive agreements and is not binding on the parties, except for confidentiality provisions.
- This report seeks authorization to execute the MOU and appropriate protocols and/or ancillary agreements with Metrolinx and Mississauga to deliver the Hurontario LRT project, unless the protocol and/or ancillary agreement results in any additional budget implications for the City not previously approved by Council whereby staff will seek future Council approval.
- Staff is requesting that the CAO or designate be delegated any necessary authority to waive surplus declarations, execute all agreements, instruments and documents required in connection with real estate transactions at or less than current market value and to approve any required permits, licenses or approvals and waive any applicable fees and charges notwithstanding the City’s Real Estate and other policies, for the transfer of certain lands to Metrolinx at nominal consideration as well as the User Fee by-law.

Background:

Staff has been working with Metrolinx and the City of Mississauga on the implementation phase of the Hurontario Light Rail Transit (LRT) project from the Port Credit GO station to the Gateway Terminal. An update report was provided to Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee on February 22, 2016, which identified that Metrolinx was preparing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for delivery of the project, and also approved funding for Brampton staff including real estate services. Staff also retained external legal services from Weir Foulds LLP who has experience with similar agreements with Metrolinx for rapid transit projects.

The following is an update on the implementation of the Hurontario LRT project since the previous update:
P3 Canada business case was submitted by Metrolinx; however, funding for the 2015-2016 cycle was denied at this time. P3 Canada has merged into Infrastructure Canada with funding for the project to be considered by Infrastructure Canada for the 2017-2018 cycle.

Metrolinx has retained CH2M as their Owner’s Engineering Team to assist Metrolinx in managing the project and AECOM as their Technical Advisory Team to complete additional detail works through to procurement of the project. Several working groups have been established for key project works and disciplines.

Recruitment for the Brampton project office is underway.

Current Situation:

Memorandum of Understanding

Metrolinx has prepared a final draft MOU in negotiation with the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga that is not binding on the parties (see Attachment A – Memorandum of Understanding between Metrolinx and the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga), except for confidentiality provisions. The purpose of the MOU is to set out some of the key terms, timelines and conditions for the project, which will form the basis for future definitive agreements for the Hurontario LRT project. Highlights of the MOU include:

- The scope of the project is defined in accordance with the Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) and Environmental Project Report (EPR), but the project limits along Hurontario Street are from Port Credit GO station in Mississauga to the Gateway Terminal in Brampton as per Council’s decision on October 27, 2015.
- Metrolinx will be the owner and developer of the project with responsibility for the scope, budget, scheduling, planning, design, construction, property acquisition, operation and maintenance of the LRT vehicles, and public consultation.
- Metrolinx will pay for the costs of the project construction including any required relocation/replacement of infrastructure owned by the municipalities. However, the municipalities will be required to pay for the replacement of their aging infrastructure if it is already scheduled for replacement by the municipality, and for any requests to build additional infrastructure or enhancements.
- Metrolinx will work with each municipality to identify and approve costs incurred after April 21, 2015 including staff costs.
- The municipalities will continue to have regulatory authority over the use of their roads and property.
- The municipalities will support Metrolinx in the delivery and implementation of the project including implementing transit supportive land use policies, minimizing/streamlining municipal approvals where possible, and requests for Federal funding.
The municipalities will seek delegated authority from Council to staff regarding permits, licenses, approvals, and the waiver of associated fees; however, any such delegated authority and exemption of fees is at the discretion of Council’s approval, which remains unfettered.

The municipalities will provide dedicated staff to facilitate reviews and approvals to expedite the delivery of the project. Metrolinx has approved 7 full time equivalents for Brampton consisting of full time and part time staff.

The municipalities will provide an exclusive perpetual stratified easement for transit use only for so long as the Hurontario LRT operates, and at nominal consideration to Metrolinx. Since providing this easement at nominal consideration and not at current market value is contrary to the City’s Real Estate Policy and may not qualify under the City’s Delegated Authority By-law 191-2011, an exemption from this policy and special delegated authorities are required from Council.

Existing third party utilities will continue to have rights of access, and any new utilities requiring access to the easement lands will be subject to Metrolinx requirements and approval.

Infrastructure owned by the municipalities that is required to be replaced as a result of the project will be built to applicable municipal standards.

Development of a public communications and public engagement protocol.

Future definitive agreements will be required for operations and maintenance, and revenue and service integration.

Metrolinx will apportion 1.5% of the total construction costs for the project to public realm, which does not include the costs for relocation/replacement of municipal infrastructure impacted by the project.

A transportation management plan will be developed, which will require approval of the municipalities.

A project committee and project executive committee will be established to resolve project issues including consideration for a dispute resolution protocol.

### Real Estate Services Protocol

As mentioned, Metrolinx has agreed to fund Brampton realty staff for the Hurontario LRT project to acquire property on Metrolinx’s behalf. Metrolinx is currently preparing a Real Estate Services Protocol for the City of Brampton. The purpose of the Real Estate Services Protocol is to set out the services to be provided by the City of Brampton to Metrolinx to acquire property interests required for the Hurontario LRT project.

The following is a summary of the principles included in the draft protocol:

- Metrolinx is responsible for all costs to acquire property for the project.
- Brampton will be an independent consultant to Metrolinx to acquire property on Metrolinx’s behalf.
- Metrolinx will use its expropriation powers if needed.
- Brampton will provide appraisals, surveys, negotiations, record keeping, and legal support.
• Metrolinx is responsible for any environmental due diligence including environmental site assessments as needed.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

Metrolinx will reimburse related City costs incurred by Brampton after April 21, 2015 including staff costs.

The MOU states that Metrolinx will pay for the costs of the project construction including any required relocation/replacement of infrastructure owned by the municipalities excluding any aging infrastructure already scheduled for replacement by the municipality. Municipalities will also pay for any requests to build additional infrastructure or enhancements, which will be outlined in a future report for Council’s consideration and approval.

Brampton will provide an easement along Hurontario Street at nominal consideration. Fees associated with permits, licenses, and approvals from the City will also be waived.

Funding for the Project Office staff costs is approved and available in cost centre 1500 in the 2016 Operating Budget. Staff costs will be transferred to capital project # 164110-001 – Hurontario Light Rail Transit for recovery from Metrolinx.

The table below indicates the original budget, expenditures and/or commitments to date, and balance available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project #</th>
<th>Prog #</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures and/or Commitments to Date**</th>
<th>Balance Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164110-001</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Status as per PeopleSoft.

Strategic Plan:

This report achieves the Strategic Plan priorities of Move & Connect and Smart Growth with the delivery of well-planned infrastructure and efficient transit modes to shape a liveable city and the building of complete communities to accommodate growth for people and jobs.

Conclusion:

Brampton along with Mississauga has negotiated the MOU for the Hurontario LRT with Metrolinx, which will form the basis for future definitive agreements and is not binding on the parties except for confidentiality provisions.
It is recommended that the Mayor and City Clerk be granted authorization to execute the MOU with Metrolinx and Mississauga to deliver the Hurontario LRT project.

It is recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer or designate be granted authorization to execute appropriate protocols and/or other ancillary agreements required with Metrolinx and Mississauga to deliver the Hurontario LRT project, unless the protocols and/or ancillary agreements would result in any additional budget implications for the City not previously approved by Council whereby staff will seek future Council approval.

It is recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer or designate be delegated any necessary authority to waive surplus declarations, execute all agreements, instruments and documents required in connection with real estate transactions at or less than current market value and to approve any permits, licenses or approvals, where delegation has not already been provided, and to waive any applicable fees and charges notwithstanding the City's Real Estate and other policies for the transfer of certain lands to Metrolinx at nominal consideration as well as the User Fee by-law.

Approved by:       Approved by:

Director, Transportation       Acting Chief, Planning &
Special Projects                Infrastructure Services

Attachments:

A. Memorandum of Understanding between Metrolinx and the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga.

Report authored by: Chris Duyvestyn
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Made as of the 22nd day of June, 2016

BETWEEN:

METROLINX
(“Metrolinx”)
- and –

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRAMPTON
(“Brampton”)
- and –

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
(“Mississauga”)

BACKGROUND

A. Metrolinx has a mandate to develop a Regional Transportation Plan to identify and prioritize transportation and transit projects and create an integrated transportation and transit system in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area.

B. Mississauga and Brampton (the “Municipalities”, and either of them, a “Municipality”) have a mandate under the Municipal Act, 2001 (the “Act”) to be responsible and accountable governments with respect to matters within their respective jurisdictions, and have been given powers and duties under the Act, and other legislation, for the purpose of providing good government with respect to those matters.

C. The Parties have a significant vested interest in the success of the Hurontario LRT project.

D. The Municipalities engaged in and undertook initial assessments, including pursuant to the Hurontario and Main Street Directions Study, 2009 and a Master Plan study in 2010 which indicated strong investment support for a light rail transit project within the (geographic) Region of Peel (the “Region”) as part of Metrolinx’s Regional Transportation Plan. Subsequently the Municipalities initiated preliminary design and engineering work and public consultation. Metrolinx provided technical support.

E. In 2013 the Municipalities and Metrolinx, as co-proponents initiated a Transit Project Assessment Process (“TPAP”) and engaged in public consultation culminating in the filing of an Environmental Report (“EPR”) with MOECC in 2014 which resulted in the granting of a Notice to Proceed in August, 2014. The project as described in the EPR included a 23 km, 26 stop LRT system along the Hurontario and Main Street corridor between the Port Credit GO station in Mississauga and the Brampton GO station in Brampton.

F. On October 27, 2015 the City of Brampton Council approved only that part of the alignment described in the TPAP/EPR up to the Gateway Terminal to the north of Steeles Ave. resulting in an amended project scope. As a result of the foregoing, the scope of the project is generally described in the TPAP/EPR but including a 20 km, 22 stop system along Hurontario Street between Port Credit GO station and the Gateway Terminal in Brampton (the “Project”).
G. The Project is intended to serve as the north/south backbone of the regional transit network for the Region connecting 2 GO Rail services, current, planned and proposed regional and local bus rapid transit systems as well as several local bus services. Combined with the transit oriented land use strategies, the Project is intended to facilitate the transformation of the Hurontario corridor into a lively people centered, denser urban form that creates more sustainable communities.

H. On April 20, 2015, the Minister of Transportation for the Province of Ontario ("MTO") committed $1.6 Billion towards the capital cost of the project as then envisioned. The amended Project scope means that $1.4 Billion will be committed by the Province to the Project (the "Provincial Funding") and the balance will be returned to the Moving Ontario Forward Fund.

I. Subject to final confirmation and obtaining requisite approvals, it is Metrolinx’s intent to deliver the Project by way of Alternative Finance and Procurement (AFP) using a design, build, finance, operate and maintain model ("DBFOM").

J. The Project will be designed, built and owned by Metrolinx (save and except that infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that need to be relocated as a result of the Project will be relocated at the expense of Metrolinx but will continue to be owned by the applicable Municipality). The Project will be located on lands in Brampton and Mississauga which Metrolinx will acquire a real property interest or own in fee simple.

K. The Project will be designed to allow for the use of the PRESTO Farecard.

L. The Parties wish to establish protocols and procedures that will lead to effective and efficient delivery of the Project and optimize existing resources and expertise while, at the same time, respecting both Metrolinx’s control of the Project and ownership of the Project infrastructure and assets and each of Brampton’s and Mississauga’s ownership and control of its infrastructure and assets and its authority and autonomy as municipal regulator.

M. This Memorandum of Understanding (this “MOU”) sets out the key terms, timelines and conditions for the Project and is intended to constitute the basis for future definitive agreements for the Project dealing with the matters set out herein. The Parties affirm their commitment to proceed expeditiously, diligently and in good faith and in a co-operative and collaborative manner to negotiate and enter into definitive agreements and to facilitate and expedite, where possible, the construction and completion of the Project.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree to the following:

1. Definitions
   
   • “Material Change” to the Project means a change to the Project from its present scope that (i) eliminates a station, (ii) significantly changes the distance between stations, or (iii) requires an amendment to an EPR.
   
   • “Municipal standard” means, as applicable, such Municipality’s standard respecting its infrastructure or assets as of a specified, agreed-upon date, together with any changes in such standards following such date as are required by applicable provincial statute, regulation or order, save and except where an exemption to such applicable provincial statute, regulation or order is available. References to a “Municipality’s standards” shall have a similar meaning.

2. Roles and Responsibilities
   
   This MOU and any definitive agreements that may result from it are not intended to waive, amend or derogate from the rights of Metrolinx as Crown agent and owner of the Project, or the Municipalities as municipal regulatory authorities and owners of infrastructure and
assets affected by the Project, in each case, exercising the rights, powers, duties and obligations conferred on the respective parties by statute and at common law. Metrolinx acknowledges that the obligations of each Municipality hereunder are several and not joint and several. Brampton shall have no decision-making authority with respect to any matter solely within the jurisdiction of Mississauga and Mississauga shall have no decision-making authority with respect to any matter solely within the jurisdiction of Brampton.

(a) **Metrolinx**

- Metrolinx is the owner and developer of the Project (save and except that infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that need to be relocated as a result of the Project will be relocated at the expense of Metrolinx but will continue to be owned by the applicable Municipality) with responsibility for and control over: (i) scope, (ii) budget, (iii) scheduling, (iv) planning, design, and construction, (v) acquisition of any real property rights required for the Project, (vi) matters pertaining to operation and maintenance of the LRT vehicles, and (vii) engaging in public consultation, in each case, unless otherwise specified. Unless otherwise specified in this MOU or agreed to by the Municipalities, the Municipalities shall have no responsibility for cost overruns on design and capital construction of the Project.

- Metrolinx will pay for the costs of the construction of the Project (including, without limitation, the costs to design, construct and commission infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that need to be replaced or relocated to build the Project, the costs to obtain permits, licenses, and approvals on the terms set out herein and the costs to repair and restore any damage caused by reason of construction of the Project), except such costs as otherwise specified in this MOU as being the express obligation of a Municipality. Metrolinx’s funding of the Project is subject to the limit of the Provincial Funding, including any designation and allocation of such funds or any portion thereof for specific components of the Project (the “Project Budget”) and any restrictions as to “Eligible Costs” set forth in the document pertaining to funding of the Project from the MTO entitled Capital Cost Eligibility Criteria for Metrolinx Owned Rapid Transit Projects dated September 21, 2010, a copy of which is attached as Schedule A (the “Capital Cost Eligibility Criteria”). In undertaking and fulfilling the obligations set out herein and incurring expenditures, the parties will adhere to and be governed by the Project budgets, which will be established on an annual basis.

- Notwithstanding Metrolinx’s obligations as set forth in Section 2(a), if infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that are required to be relocated by Metrolinx to facilitate construction of the Project are scheduled for repair and/or upgrade and/or replacement by the applicable Municipality, as reflected in any existing capital expenditure budget of such Municipality (whether current or future planned repair/replacement), the applicable Municipality will reimburse Metrolinx for the costs to replace or modify such infrastructure and assets either in the amount allocated in the applicable Municipality’s budget in respect of such repair, upgrade and replacement, as the case may be, or in a reasonable and equitable amount as determined by Metrolinx and the applicable Municipality having regard to the age of such infrastructure.

- Metrolinx will consider, in its discretion, a request or requests by a Municipality to build additional infrastructure and assets that are not required as a result of the Project (“Additional Municipal Infrastructure”) provided that there is no adverse impact on cost to Metrolinx or completion of the Project by the anticipated completion date, on the basis that all costs associated therewith will be paid for by the requesting Municipality, and that a definitive agreement between the requesting Municipality and Metrolinx in respect
of such Additional Municipal Infrastructure has been entered into. Any upgrade of infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that are required to be replaced or relocated for the Project requested by a Municipality shall be Additional Municipal Infrastructure but the requesting Municipality shall be required only to pay the incremental cost associated with such upgrade (unless it is covered under Public Realm Amount).

- Metrolinx will work together with each Municipality to identify and approve costs incurred by each Municipality after April 21, 2015 to plan and develop the Project, including but not limited to the costs related to consultants, staff and consultations with community groups and stakeholders and costs incurred in completing the TPAP process and preliminary design work, with the intent that Metrolinx will reimburse the Municipalities for such costs.

- Without limiting the other provisions of this MOU, Metrolinx will consider any input received from the Municipalities on matters relating to integration of the LRT into existing and future transportation networks and infrastructure in such Municipality’s jurisdiction, public realm (including streetscape improvements) and matters that will impact municipal infrastructure and assets belonging to such Municipality.

(b) Brampton and Mississauga

- Each of Brampton and Mississauga are the owners of infrastructure and assets that may be affected by the construction of the Project and have regulatory authority over construction activities and the use of its roads and property. Nothing in this MOU is intended to derogate from or waive the rights of Brampton and Mississauga as owners of their infrastructure and assets or the rights and obligations it has as municipal planning authority or any other legislation. For clarity, the Parties acknowledge that Brampton and Mississauga will fulfill their statutory obligations under applicable law and may exercise any right, authority and discretion accorded to them thereunder. Nothing in this MOU shall preclude Brampton or Mississauga from performing, discharging or exercising its duties, responsibilities and powers under applicable law.

- Brampton and Mississauga agree to implement transit-supportive land use policies and provisions in any relevant municipal Official Plans, Secondary Plan and Zoning By-laws, and in doing so, shall have regard to the MTO’s Transit-Supportive Guidelines.

- Brampton and Mississauga agree to support Metrolinx in the delivery and implementation of the Project by, among other actions, minimizing and/or streamlining municipal approvals where possible to ensure Project delivery timelines are achieved, and for ease of Project implementation. Metrolinx acknowledges that Brampton and Mississauga may have different municipal approval processes and permit requirements.

- Brampton and Mississauga, in its approval and endorsement of the Project, will support or be proponents to requests submitted by the Government of Ontario to acquire funding contributions from the Government of Canada for the Project. At the request of Metrolinx, Brampton and Mississauga will make resources and Project information available, where necessary, to support the development of such funding requests.

3. Project Delivery

- It is anticipated that the Project will be delivered by Metrolinx with assistance from Infrastructure Ontario by way of AFP using the DBFOM model. At the request of Metrolinx, as directed by Metrolinx, during the procurement and construction phases, the Municipalities will assist by providing dedicated and experienced staff, information,
responding to inquiries and attending meetings with the proponents and with the successful proponent.

- The parties will work collaboratively to develop a protocol for processes and approvals that will apply to infrastructure and assets owned by Brampton and Mississauga that will be affected by construction of the Project.

- Metrolinx acknowledges that the Municipalities each have unique knowledge of local conditions and considerations related to such portions of the Project located in such Municipality’s jurisdiction that will be important inputs in the development of the Project Agreement including the Project Specific Output Specifications (“PSOS”). Accordingly, Metrolinx shall provide the Municipalities with opportunities to review the Project Agreement or components thereof and specifically the PSOS or components thereof (and other appropriate Project documents).

- In the case of infrastructure and assets owned by a Municipality that are affected by or are required to be rebuilt/replaced by Metrolinx as a result of the Project, such Municipality shall review, approve and accept such infrastructure and assets provided that the applicable Municipal standards have been met.

- In respect of other components of the Project, each Municipality may provide input and assistance to Metrolinx in respect of such portions of the Project located in such Municipality’s jurisdiction, and Metrolinx will use reasonable efforts to implement such Municipality’s suggestions where appropriate and reasonable to do so having regard to, among other things, cost and scheduling.

- During the in-market period after issuance of the Request for Proposal and before bid submissions have been received, Metrolinx will request the Municipalities to attend design consultation meetings and may request the Municipalities to attend commercially confidential meetings with proponents from time to time and provide input to it and to assist in responses to various requests for information by proponents.

- During the evaluation process, Metrolinx will request a member of each Municipality’s staff to participate in a component or components of bid evaluations.

- After award and during implementation of the Project, each Municipality will have (i) the right to review and comment (through Metrolinx) on the design of infrastructure and assets owned by such Municipality that are being rebuilt/replaced as a result of the Project to ensure compliance with the PSOS and the applicable Municipal standards, and (ii) the right to inspect and to accept such infrastructure and assets in accordance with the PSOS and applicable Municipal standards. Metrolinx will ask the Municipalities to attend working groups as required.

- Each Municipality will provide dedicated staff to facilitate reviews and approvals, and other matters to expedite the delivery of the Project. Taking into account the anticipated level of work, Metrolinx and each Municipality will agree annually on the number of persons and associated positions expected to be needed during the ensuing year and the rates to be charged to Metrolinx for the services of such persons. The anticipated required dedicated staff as of the date of this MOU is set out in Schedule “D” (which will be updated from time to time to reflect the foregoing agreement). Metrolinx will reimburse the Municipalities, as applicable, for the time spent by such persons in providing the services to Metrolinx upon receipt of invoices showing particulars that are approved by Metrolinx.

4. **Project Scope**
If Metrolinx proposes a Material Change, Metrolinx will provide particulars to the Project Committee. Metrolinx will endeavour to arrive at a solution that is consistent with comments provided by the Municipalities and acceptable to Metrolinx.

5. **Real Estate Matters**

- Metrolinx will own or have a real property interest in all lands on which the Project infrastructure is located and will be responsible, unless otherwise agreed, for acquiring such lands or interest therein. For clarity, infrastructure and assets owned by the Municipalities that need to be relocated as a result of the Project will be relocated at the expense of Metrolinx but will continue to be owned by the applicable Municipality.

- Each Municipality will provide an exclusive perpetual stratified easement for transit use (but only for so long as the Hurontario LRT (or any replacement thereof) operates on the Easement Lands (as defined below)), for nominal consideration to Metrolinx over that portion of the right-of-way(s) owned by the Municipality on which the Project infrastructure will be built and located (the “Easement Lands”), provided that such easement shall be subject to continuing rights of access of existing third party utility infrastructure owners who are not relocated or who cannot otherwise be accommodated. The easement will be granted for the continuing construction, operation and maintenance of transit facilities and such other ancillary or associated uses and activities conducted from time to time by Metrolinx on its transit properties. The form of easement will be settled and agreed to by the parties, acting reasonably. The easement will be granted to Metrolinx upon completion of construction and production of as-constructed or record drawings, which will assist in identifying the boundaries of the stratified easement, save and except that Metrolinx may require that the easement be granted prior to completion of construction and production of as-constructed or record drawings. If Metrolinx elects to receive the easement prior to completion of construction and production of as-constructed or record drawings, the easement boundaries will be adjusted following production of such as-constructed or record drawings. Until the easement is transferred to Metrolinx each Municipality will grant to Metrolinx and persons authorized by it a license to use and occupy its Easement Lands sufficient to permit construction of the Project, including, without limitation, permits, licenses and approvals, if required. Further, the Municipalities shall not grant any new interests in the Easement Lands without the prior consent of Metrolinx, acting reasonably, provided that new interests in the Easement Lands pertaining to utility infrastructure shall be dealt with in the manner described in Section 7.

- Each Municipality will transfer any other property (i.e. lands other than the Easement Lands) owned by it or its boards, agencies and commissions upon which the Project infrastructure will be built and located to Metrolinx for nominal consideration save and except only if any such lands are occupied and in use or which generate revenue, in which case Metrolinx will pay to the applicable Municipality fair market value for such lands.

- Subject to Schedule “C”, each Municipality will provide to Metrolinx and persons authorized by it a temporary construction license for lands owned by it (and by its boards, agencies and commissions if and to the extent that such Municipality has jurisdiction to do so), to facilitate construction of the Project, including, without limitation, parks and portions thereof. Metrolinx’s obligations to remediate environmental damage on any lands licensed to it will be limited to damage caused by it, otherwise Metrolinx will have no obligations to remediate. The license fee will be nominal save and except only if any such lands are occupied and generate revenue, in which case Metrolinx will reimburse the applicable Municipality (or their boards, agencies and commissions, as the case may be),
for lost revenue. For clarity, the use of parks will be dealt with as aforesaid and there will be no additional parks fee.

- The Parties will work together to identify the required lands, the transfer date or the commencement date, duration and term of any license, as the case may be. Each Municipality will determine whether its respective Council’s approval is required for the transfers and licenses and for exemptions or waivers of fees, and ensure that the appropriate applications are made to its Council or other committees and persons whose approval is required in a timely manner to ensure that the identified commencement dates are achieved and that the fees are exempted or waived, as aforesaid.

- At the request of Metrolinx, each Municipality will make resources available to acquire real property from third parties identified as being required for the Project, including appraisers, surveyors and legal personnel. The properties may be acquired using Metrolinx’s expropriating powers.

- Upon expiry or termination of any licenses for the use of municipal lands, Metrolinx shall (or shall cause its contractor to) repair any damage caused by it and reinstate such municipal lands to the condition of such municipal lands at the commencement of the applicable license (unless otherwise agreed to by the Municipality). Metrolinx agrees that it shall (or shall cause its contractor to) remediate any and all environmental damage caused by Metrolinx on any municipal lands licensed to Metrolinx.

6. **Permits, Licenses and Approvals Protocol**

- The Parties will work together to establish a process and timelines for (i) review and approval by each Municipality of design and other matters requiring their approval pertaining to infrastructure and assets owned by such Municipality that are affected by the Project, and (ii) review and approval of applications for permits, licenses and approvals required to construct the Project and the issuance of such permits, licenses and approvals.

- Infrastructure and assets owned by a Municipality that are required to be replaced to facilitate construction of the Project will be built to the applicable Municipal Standards existing on a specified, agreed-upon date, subject to any changes in such standards. Metrolinx will consider any request by a Municipality to exceed the Municipal standard in respect of any given infrastructure or to build additional infrastructure and assets provided that there is no adverse impact on cost or completion of the Project by the anticipated completion date and on the basis that all additional costs associated therewith will be paid for by the requesting Municipality, as the case may be.

- The Parties will work together to identify fees and approval times and requirements for applicable permits, licenses and approvals. Each Municipality will seek delegated authority to staff in respect of permits, licenses and approvals that require such Municipality’s Council approval and will seek an exemption or waiver of fees where such fees are more than nominal review fees, in each case on a blanket basis for the Project as a whole and any such delegated authority and exemption is subject to approval by the applicable Municipality’s Council, and nothing herein shall be deemed to fetter the discretion of such Council.

7. **Utilities**

- Metrolinx will be responsible for relocation costs of third party and Municipally-owned utilities that need to be relocated and/or replaced as a result of and to facilitate construction of the Project.
• Upon request by Metrolinx, each Municipality will endeavor to exercise its rights under and enforce any cost sharing or similar agreements that it has with utility companies requiring utility companies to relocate or share the cost of relocation of utilities and infrastructure, and will provide Metrolinx with copies of any such agreements. To the extent enforcement is requested by Metrolinx, Metrolinx will be responsible for the reasonable costs associated with such enforcement.

• The Municipalities shall not grant any new interests in the Easement Lands without the prior consent of Metrolinx, acting reasonably. However, each Municipality may receive public utilities coordination committee (PUCC) applications in respect of utility infrastructure in the vicinity of or crossing the Project from both third party utilities and Municipally-owned utilities, such as the public sector network and fibre infrastructure. If the application is in respect of utility infrastructure that impacts or may impact the Project, the applicable Municipality will consult with Metrolinx prior to approval of any such applications and will not approve such application without the consent of Metrolinx (which may be withheld by Metrolinx, acting reasonably, or may be on conditions imposed by Metrolinx). If the application is in respect of utility infrastructure that does not impact or will not impact the Project, there is no requirement to consult with Metrolinx prior to approving the application, but the Municipality will notify Metrolinx of any such application so that Metrolinx may satisfy itself that there will be no impact.

8. Public Communications and Engagement

Metrolinx, Brampton and Mississauga will develop and adhere to a public communications and public engagement protocol and a communications and community relations plan which will provide for the basis upon which Metrolinx and the Municipalities will communicate with the media and the public, other agencies and advertisers, and the manner in which it will engage with the public including community relations, and stakeholder engagement and consultation, in each case for matters pertaining to the Project.

9. Operations and Maintenance

• It is anticipated that the operations and maintenance arrangements will be dealt with in a future definitive agreement. The Municipalities have an expectation that any negative impact on the Provincial gas tax received by such Municipality as a result of the LRT will be taken into consideration in discussions on operating costs.

10. Revenue and Service Integration

• It is anticipated that the revenue arrangements and service integration will be dealt with in future definitive agreement.

11. Public Realm

Metrolinx will apportion the Public Realm Amount to the Project. For the purposes hereof, the "Public Realm Amount" means an amount equal to 1.5% of total construction costs of the Project. For purposes of the foregoing, "total construction costs": (i) excludes the costs of vehicles, preliminary design, costs of acquiring real property and interests therein acquired by Metrolinx for the Project, and Project management costs, and (ii) includes the contingency amount but only to the extent actually spent on the Project (other than on the exclusions set forth above). For greater clarity, the Public Realm Amount does not include replacement and relocation costs of municipal infrastructure and assets that are being replaced or relocated to applicable Municipal standards as a result of the Project and
any repair to the streetscape resulting from the construction of the Project. Each Municipality, in its respective discretion, may request Metrolinx to construct improvements to streetscape that is in addition to Metrolinx’s obligation to repair and restore damage to the agreed upon Municipal standard and may determine the location of such additional streetscape improvements. Metrolinx will endeavor to accommodate any such request provided that such additional streetscape does not in the aggregate exceed the Public Realm Amount, is constructed in the immediate vicinity of the Project, and is acceptable to Metrolinx.

12. Transportation Management

- The parties will collaborate to develop general requirements for active transportation, parking and traffic management plans, which plans will be prepared by Metrolinx’s contractor. The plans prepared by Metrolinx’s contractor will be submitted for comment and approval by the applicable Municipality for lands within such Municipality’s jurisdiction.

13. Access to Information

- Subject to any legal limitations including any limitations under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (“MFIPPA”), the Municipalities will provide Metrolinx with access to such information as Metrolinx may reasonably require from time to time in conjunction with the design and construction of the Project, including without limitation, the location of its infrastructure and assets and its requirements in respect of relocation and preservation with a view to including such information and requirements in any request for qualifications and/or proposal to design, construct and/or maintain the Project.

14. Governance and Dispute Resolution

- The Parties intend to establish a Project Committee and a Project Executive Committee.

- Metrolinx may ask the Municipalities to attend Project working groups as required and established by Metrolinx, such as (by way of example) a utilities working group and a traffic management working group.

- The Project Committee is intended to meet at regular intervals or as-needed to endeavour to resolve issues related to the Project on a consensual basis. Any party may request an additional meeting of the Project Committee (outside of regularly scheduled meetings) to discuss any matter that is the subject of this MOU (but excluding, for certainty, any meetings with Project Co itself).

- The Municipalities may also from time to time request meetings with Metrolinx by directing a request to Metrolinx’s Director, Third Parties & Property (or such other person as may be directed by Metrolinx).

- Matters that cannot be resolved by the Project Committee are intended to be escalated to the Project Executive Committee which will meet as needed to endeavor to resolve disputes. The Parties will consider establishing a dispute resolution protocol for the resolution of certain disputes, primarily pertaining to the standard to which infrastructure and assets owned by Brampton and Mississauga that needs to be relocated for the Project should be built.

- The Project Committee and the Project Executive Committee are not intended to circumvent or override the rights of Metrolinx as Crown agent or as owner of the Project or
the rights of each of Brampton and Mississauga as owner of its infrastructure and assets or
as municipal regulatory authority.

- The membership of the Project Committee and the Project Executive Committee shall
be as set forth in Schedule “B”, as it may be amended from time to time by the parties.

15. **Confidentiality**

- Each Party shall keep information provided by another Party confidential and secure
and limit the disclosure to those persons who have a need to know it and who are bound
by agreement or otherwise to keep the information in confidence substantially on the terms
of hereof.

- Each Party shall not directly or indirectly disclose, destroy, exploit or use any such
information (except for the purpose of delivering the Project, or except if required by law),
without first obtaining the written consent of the disclosing party and in respect of any
Confidential Information about any third-party, the written consent of such third-party and
shall provide confidential information to disclosing party on demand.

- If a receiving party becomes legally compelled to disclose any confidential
information, it will notify the disclosing party in order to allow disclosing party the option
of seeking a protective order to prevent disclosure.

- The provisions of this Section shall survive termination of this MOU and remain in
full force and effect.

- Nothing in this MOU shall derogate from the statutory obligation of the
Municipalities pursuant to MFIPPA, or from Metrolinx under the Freedom of Information
and Protection of Privacy Act (“FIPPA”) or from any other statute which imposes
confidentiality or freedom of information obligations on any of them.

16. **Future Agreements**

- While this MOU sets out the general framework of Project development,
implementation and governance, the parties agree that, where appropriate, subsequent
definitive agreements as contemplated in this MOU will be bilateral (between Metrolinx and
the applicable Municipality), unless otherwise agreed to by the parties.

17. **General Provisions**

- With the exception of the obligations under Section 14 (Confidentiality), this MOU is
an expression is intended to form the basis of negotiations between the Parties in an effort to
arrive at definitive agreements and is not binding on them.

- This MOU is governed by and shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the
Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein. The parties hereby attorn to
the jurisdiction of the courts of Ontario for any legal proceedings arising out of this MOU.
Executed by the Parties on the date written above.

**METROLINX**

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

I/we have the authority to bind the corporation

---

**THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRAMPTON**

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

I/we have the authority to bind the corporation

---

**THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSauga**

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

By: _________________________
Name: 
Title: 

I/we have the authority to bind the corporation
Capital Cost Eligibility Criteria for Metrolinx-Owned Rapid Transit Projects

September 21, 2010

Ministry of Transportation
1. Definitions

When used in these Guidelines, the words set out below that import the singular include the plural and vice versa.

"Guidelines" means this document entitled "Capital Cost Eligibility Criteria for Metrolinx-Owned Rapid Transit Projects", as may be amended from time to time in accordance with the Guidelines.

"Indirect Costs" means a cost that cannot be identified specifically with, or traced to, a given cost object in an economically feasible way.

"Median Transitway" means fixed route for light rail transit or bus rapid transit vehicles along the median of a street that is separated from regular traffic and employs transit prioritization techniques such as exclusive traffic signal phases.

"Metrolinx" means the Corporation established by the Metrolinx Act, 2006.

"Ministry" and "Minister" respectively means the Ministry of Transportation and the Minister responsible for the Ministry.

"Municipality" means a municipality as defined in the Municipal Act, 2001 (Ontario).

"Project" means any transit project funded, in whole or in part by Metrolinx, and implemented by a Provincial crown agency, a Municipality, municipal transit authorities and/or the private sector. GO Transit infrastructure projects funded through Metrolinx's annual budgets shall be subject to a separate guideline and excluded from application of this guideline.

"Province" or "Provincial" means the Province of Ontario including the Ministry, Metrolinx and other Provincial ministries and agencies.

"Revenue Service" means the point in time when a Project is ready to accept paying customers.

2. Purpose

The purpose of the Guidelines is to introduce capital cost eligibility criteria for funding for Metrolinx-owned rapid transit capital projects, designed and constructed through agreements with others including municipal transit operators.

The Guidelines shall not be construed as a Provincial or Metrolinx commitment to fund any particular Project or the budget or budget allocations for any Project, the
details of which will be included in specific Project Charters relating to each funded Project.

Activities or costs identified as ineligible for Metrolinx funding under the Guidelines may still be undertaken at the expense of a non-Provincial funding partner provided such activities or costs do not directly or indirectly impact the Metrolinx-funded works.

3. Date of Effect

This Guidelines document takes effect on April 1, 2009.

4. Principles of Funding

Metrolinx funding for a Project will be applied to cover costs that are:

A. defined as capital expenditures under the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in Canada, or meeting the criteria identified in Section 6.A;
B. directly attributable to a Project; and
C. necessary to bring a Project into Revenue Service.

5. Appropriation by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario

Despite any other provision in this Guideline, annual funding for individual Projects being implemented will be subject to annual appropriations of such funds by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario.

6. Eligible Costs

A. Studies

Metrolinx will fund the cost of Metrolinx-approved studies that are undertaken to meet Provincial regulatory requirements or demonstrate the technical and economic feasibility of a Project. Studies eligible for funding include but are not limited to Metrolinx-approved:

i. Environmental assessment (EA) studies to satisfy the requirements of the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act and the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act;
ii. Technical Studies (e.g. benefits case analysis, financial analysis, ridership forecasts, and land value capture studies, environmental, geotechnical and soils investigations, and noise studies);
iii. Planning, design and engineering (PDE); and
iv. Value for money study undertaken by either Infrastructure Ontario (IO) or Metrolinx to determine whether an alternative financing and
procurement approach to project delivery can generate a value for money advantage over traditional procurement; and

v. Any study deemed required by the Ministry or Metrolinx prior to the finalization of a funding commitment to a Project.

B. General Expenses

Expenditures incurred which are authorized by Metrolinx and which are directly related to the construction of a Project or that must be incurred in order to bring the Project into Revenue Service, will be eligible for Metrolinx funding. These expenditures include but are not limited to:

i. Project management;

ii. Salaries and benefits of municipal staff (including contract positions) assigned or seconded to the Project on a full time basis;

iii. Salaries and benefits of Metrolinx staff (including contract positions) assigned to the Project on a full time basis;

iv. Salaries and benefits of new staff retained to work exclusively on a Project;

v. Salaries and benefits of municipal staff and Metrolinx staff (including contract positions assigned or seconded to the Project) working on a task-specific basis or providing technical services, that are directly related to the implementation of a Project, provided that; (a) such staff provide detailed docket of actual time spent and identifying the services provided and tasks undertaken; (b) such tasks and services require the application of specific technical skills such as legal services, appraisal services, survey services, realty negotiations; and (c) such tasks or services are not senior level management or oversight functions.

vi. Rental and office costs directly attributable to staff referenced in B (i) to (iv) inclusive, including training, supplies, taxes, rent (including rental costs of municipal office space used by municipal staff assigned or seconded to the Project, provided such rent does not exceed market rents for comparable space);

vii. Fixed guideway infrastructure;

viii. Rolling stock, including but not limited to heavy rail, light rail and bus rapid transit (BRT) buses;

ix. Acquisitions of interests in land as determined by Metrolinx, including purchases, easements, land leases, licences and other interests in real property required to bring a Project into Revenue Service;

x. Infrastructure costs related to incorporating the PRESTO Fare system on the Projects, excluding software licensing fees;

xi. Land transfer and other applicable taxes relating to property acquisitions referenced in B ix;

xii. Expropriation compensation as required by the Expropriations Act, except claims for injurious affection where the statutory authority does
not acquire part of the land of an owner (which claims will be considered by Metrolinx for eligibility of cost recovery on a case-by-case basis);

xiii. The following administration costs and out-of-pocket expenses relating to property acquisition referenced in B ix:
(a) appraisal, environment and other service provider costs;
(b) expert witness services (including reports) relating to expropriation matters;
(c) registration costs;
(d) title search disbursements, including Teraview fees;
(e) off title search enquiry disbursements;
(f) photocopies, long distance charges and other disbursements;
(g) courier/processor server costs;
(h) special examiner and other reporting costs – e.g. discoveries, and court reporter and transcripts (relating to expropriation matters).

xiv. Works related to structure demolition or construction;

xv. Safety and security equipment;

xvi. Computer, electronics and communication devices;

xvii. Transit stops, stations and terminals;

xviii. Garages and facilities;

xix. Teraview licenses;

xx. Commercial and other necessary Insurance;

xxi. Utility relocations generated primarily as a result of the Project and not associated with any other municipal repair and/or utility replacement or expansion program;

xxii. Standard grass landscaping at construction sites;

xxiii. Hording and signage at Project construction sites;

xxiv. Project corridor and urban design enhancements up to 1.5% of total construction cost;

xxv. Project operating expenses needed to bring a Project into Revenue Service including:
   a. Testing
   b. Safety inspection;

xxvi. Other costs deemed by Metrolinx as capital expenditures necessary to bring the Project into Revenue Service;

xxvii. Communication costs related to Projects, including expenses related to community relations, Project websites and public information; and

xxviii. Indirect Costs incurred to the extent authorized and necessary to bring a Project into Revenue Service will also be eligible for Metrolinx funding.
7. Municipal Expenditures

Municipalities shall be responsible for the following expenses:

i. Any cost which does not qualify as an Eligible Cost, including Ineligible Costs which a Municipality wishes to incur;

ii. Project corridor and urban design enhancements beyond 1.5% of total construction cost;

iii. Upgrades to materials beyond pre-existing municipal standard;

8. Ineligible Costs

A. Except as otherwise specifically set out in these Guidelines Metrolinx will not fund expenditures that are not directly related to bringing a Project into Revenue Service. These ineligible costs include:

i. Any expenses which are the responsibilities of municipalities under section 7;

ii. Subject to section 6(B)(v), salaries and benefits of staff whose full-time services are not dedicated to the implementation, management or oversight of the Project;

iii. Costs of any activities that are part of the regular operation and maintenance of municipal transit assets;

iv. Carrying costs incurred on the funding share of any funding partner other than the Province;

v. Legal or litigation costs brought by municipalities in proceedings against Metrolinx or the Province, including cross-claims by municipalities against Metrolinx or the Province; and

vi. Municipal upgrades not expressly approved by Metrolinx. Metrolinx may agree to fund upgrades or additions to Projects which support the operational effectiveness of the Project.

B. Metrolinx will not fund expenditures related to activities that may be undertaken as part of the Project, but which are over and above the Project’s defined scope. These costs include, but are not limited to:

i. Upgrading of municipal services and utilities that are over and above relocation or basic replacement needs

ii. Project corridor and urban design enhancements over and above a maximum allowance of 1.5% of total Project costs;

iii. Expansion or upgrades to a Municipality’s or private sector partner’s existing computer and communication systems that may be undertaken as part of, or simultaneous to, the implementation of the Project;

iv. Expansion or upgrades to existing transit garages and facilities owned by municipalities that are over and above the Project’s needs;
v. Software license fees and other non-infrastructure expenses relating to the Presto implementation.

C. Metrolinx funding of any cost or expenditure is without duplication to other sources of funding provided by Metrolinx. Metrolinx will not fund costs or expenditures which are the subject of any municipal, Federal or Provincial funding agreement or other reimbursement arrangement including development charges.

9. Amendments

The Ministry may change these Guidelines from time to time provided that no such changes will render previously eligible costs ineligible where such costs were either incurred or, through the execution of binding legal agreements, committed to prior to the effective date of such amendment.
Schedule “B”

Membership of Project Committee and Project Executive Committee

**Project Committee**

Metrolinx  Vice President, Rapid Transit

Municipal/Regional Commissioners/Chief Officer

**Project Executive Committee (includes the Project Committee representatives plus the following)**

Metrolinx President & CEO

Metrolinx Chief Capital Officer

Municipal/Region  Chief Administrative Officers
Schedule “C”

A. Parks in Mississauga
The parties agree that the following parks in the City of Mississauga, or any portion thereof, will not be used for the purposes of the Project:

P-518 Cooksville Four Corners
10 Dundas Street E.

P-348 Living Arts Centre Grounds
4141 Living Arts Dr.

P-350 Celebration Square north
300 City Centre Dr. N.

P-351 Celebration Square south
301 Burnhamthorpe Rd. W.

In addition, Metrolinx acknowledges that Mississauga has advised Metrolinx that P-58 Mary Fix Park (76 Pinetree Way) and P-69 Peel Gardens (1220 Hurontario Street) are of significant importance to the community. Metrolinx will endeavour to use other properties for temporary construction uses where reasonably possible. Metrolinx and Mississauga will determine at a later date any uses that may be required at these parks for purposes of the Project.

B. Parks in Brampton
The parties agree that the following parks in the City of Brampton, or any portion thereof, will not be used for the purposes of the Project:

Kiwanis Memorial Park
472 Main Street South, Brampton
# Schedule D: City of Brampton Dedicated Staff – Hurontario LRT Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dedicated Project Staff</th>
<th>FTE</th>
<th>Position Title</th>
<th>Brief Description of Roles and Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                         | 0.75| Project Director | - Coordinate and collaborate work streams among all divisions and departments across the Corporation and ensure City of Brampton speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.  
- Represent City on all external project bodies and stakeholder groups e.g. Metrolinx, Region of Peel, Mississauga, MTO, 407 ETR, utilities, community groups, etc.  
- Lead internal communications with Council, Leadership Team and Departmental Leadership teams  
- Provide strategic level advice on City interests regarding standards, costs, agreements, operating protocols, etc.  
- Direct City’s internal project team  
- Establish Divisional operating policies  
- Conduct Divisional Business planning  
- Liaise with Metrolinx Project Team |
|                         | 1   | Manager        | - Coordinate and integrate all technical engineering and design standards on behalf of the City and ensure City of Brampton speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts  
- Collaborate with all internal departments and external land owners to ensure that the development of the Hurontario LRT corridor is coordinated with existing and future land use and transportation plans  
- Coordinate traffic management matters including signal timing, signage, construction management, transit service maintenance etc. during all phases of the project  
- Represent City in Metrolinx procurements including the Technical Advisor and Project Co.  
- Contribute to the completion of:  
  - Agreements  
  - Project Specific Output Specifications (PSOS)  
  - Reference Concept Design and Cross Sections  
  - Utility Relocation Plan |
• Early Works Program
• Procurement Documents
• Traffic Construction Management
• Signal and Street Lighting Design
• Traffic Modelling
• ITS Interface
• Stormwater Management
• Traction Power Sub Stations (TPSS)
• Structures
• Base Mapping

1 Senior Project Engineer

• Compiling and coordinating all City of Brampton standards related to City infrastructure and assets owned by Brampton that are affected or are required to be built/replaced as a result of the project (and agreed to in the Memorandum of Agreement).
• Function as point of contact between the LRT Office and Engineering Services.
• Catalogue all applicable City design, engineering and construction standards
• Ensure City of Brampton speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.
• Coordinate and refine all City Standards and design specifications associated with the City’s interests including but not limited to:
  • Pavement conditions
  • Signage
  • Structures, sidewalks, curbs, retaining and noise walls
  • Street Lighting
  • Traffic Signals and Traffic Signal Control System
  • Traffic Signal Cabinets and Controllers
  • Traffic Signal Priority
  • Traffic operations/modelling
  • Traffic Management Centre and Traffic Construction Management
  • Fire Pre-emption
  • Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)
  • Internet Protocol Communications
- Noise Walls
- Storm water facilities
- Transit
- Cycling
- Public Switch Networks (Fibre Optic)
- Geomatics
- Accessibility Standards
- Coordination of City’s approvals and permits
- Coordination of traffic management planning, design and construction with Technical Advisor
- Liaise with corridor utilities through Utilities Working Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>Traffic Engineer Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Coordination of City’s interests in traffic management planning, design and construction with Technical Advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordination and planning of Brampton Transit services during all development phases of the project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordination of City’s approvals and permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aid in the coordination and refinement of City Standards and design specifications associated with the City’s interests including but not limited to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Lighting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signals and Traffic Signal Control System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Cabinets and Controllers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Priority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic operations/modelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Management Centre and Traffic Construction Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Pre-emption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>Engineering (Part)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Assist the Senior Project Engineer and Traffic Engineer Lead in their roles and responsibilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time)</td>
<td>Planning Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure City of Brampton speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Planning Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide professional land use and strategic planning services to the LRT team and is responsible for day to day management of all land use planning functions related to the Hurontario LRT project including project management of streetscape master plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Responsible for following project management best practices, project plan development and project resource planning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lead coordination of matters affecting development applications in the downtown portion of the LRT corridor, impact on utilities locations and existing relocation plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure City of Brampton speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Liaison with major land owners in the corridor on future development plans to ensure coordination with LRT project (planning/construction timelines as well as physical interface)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lead the development of planning review and protocols for redevelopment applications along the corridor to ensure overall coordination with LRT project timelines for planning and construction, subject to appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participate in discussions with corridor land owners on planning and engineering matters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinate input and monitor development on matter within the corridor impacting the LRT project including:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mobility Hub projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Official Plan Amendments (OPA) including OPA #8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Improvement Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction Projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Planning initiatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Corridor planning projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signature Stop discussion and criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 0.5 Planning/Urban (Part Time) |
| • Review all TPAP 30% Preliminary Design Documents-Plan and Profile, Streetscape and Urban Design Strategy, Cost Estimates and System Design Guidelines |
| • Coordinate the Streetscape Design with all known existing and proposed relocations for underground and overhead utilities by: |
- coordinating field locates for utilities with Metrolinx and ensuring data meets the streetscape design requirements
- investigation utility relocations with Metrolinx to implement the Streetscape Design
- providing mitigation measures for the Streetscape Design due to utilities conflicts
- Refine streetscape design to a level of detail that can be incorporated into the PSOS
- Review and confirm cost estimates associated with all aspects of streetscape design elements within the right-of-way
- Assist in the development of:
  - Work Plan
  - Detailed streetscape plans, streetscape plans proposing utility mitigation measures and streetscape cross sections
  - PSOS
  - Streetscape cost estimates
  - Catalogue of City standards for the streetscape design
  - Co-ordination of utility/streetscape interface with Metrolinx

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>Real Estate Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delivering and administering of real estate services to support the Hurontario LRT project, working closely with Metrolinx Third Party/Utilities/Property team, including the real estate transactions and interim property management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advise on, negotiate, make arrangements for, and/or guide the work of others (internal and external) with respect to appraisals, acquisitions (purchases or expropriation), leases or licenses, relocations, property management, and disposal of real property interests (fee simple, easement, encroachment, strata, licence and lease) as it relates to the LRT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support negotiations in securing utility easements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support the drafting of agreements and preparation of reports for approval to Metrolinx and, in the case of expropriation settlement reports, to municipal Council as the approving authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under general direction of Metrolinx Third Party, Property and Private Utilities Team and Technical Advisor Services Lead support:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Management of statutory requirements and timelines associated with property acquisitions and expropriations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assess and verify property needs and budget as outlined in the TPAP documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification and resolution of property or budget gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of acquisition strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Negotiate offers to purchase and sale required property along the entire length of the Brampton portion of the Hurontario LRT corridor
- Attendance at all property related legal proceedings
- Provide property cost estimates
- Provide analysis to support property acquisitions.
- Coordinate procurements and related financial transactions
- Review comprehensive appraisal reports estimating market value and the entitlements under the Expropriations Act.
- Assess and review records of site condition in conjunction with Engineering
- Coordinate procurements and related financial transactions
- Negotiation /secure of utility easements
- Drafting agreements and preparing reports for approval to Metrolinx and, in the case of expropriation settlement reports, to municipal Council as the approving authority
- Develop a property acquisition strategy and work plan
- Implementation of acquisition strategy within overall project work plan timelines
- Coordination and reporting of all required documentation associated with property acquisition
- Regular reporting to the project team and Council

0.5 Realty Legal

- Provides ongoing support on all legal matters related to real estate negotiations, title opinions, transactions and acquisitions.
- Support negotiation and draft Agreements of Purchase & Sale of required for property interests along the entire length of the Brampton portion of the Hurontario LRT.
- Attend all property related legal proceedings, including hearings under the Expropriations Act
- Support negotiations affecting utility easements
- Support the drafting of agreements and preparation of reports for approval to Metrolinx and, in the case of expropriation settlement reports, to Metrolinx or the Minister as required.

0.5 Senior Communications Officer

- Working with Metrolinx Communication on the development, implementation and evaluation of overarching communication and engagement strategies for the Hurontario LRT project
- Manage the production of the communications deliverables on time, on budget, and in accordance with all established guidelines and consistent with the Hurontario LRT Communications Protocol.
- Build strategic relationships with key stakeholders internally including corporate and regional staff,
volunteers and management, and key external stakeholders and providing a high level of strategic, professional and tactical advice to key directors and managers and senior management staff as required on communications and stakeholder engagement.

- Coordinate and support city-wide communication needs in keeping with the Project Communications and Community Relations Strategic Plan.
- Develop internal communications plans and strategies
- Act as main point of contact with Metrolinx communications team to provide local support and reporting on all project-related communications matters
- Provide issues management support for City project team and develop protocol for reporting to Metrolinx Project Team
- Provide project support to respond to Community/Councillor inquiries and information requests associated with both City and project matters
- Identify, monitor and coordinate responses to internal and external stakeholders including Council, Departments, residents and residents groups and media
- Develop communications material in cooperation with Metrolinx communications team to support City-wide needs and initiatives including Councillor’s web pages and newsletters, strategic and community planning projects, environmental assessments, PIC’s, community events and outreach, etc.
- Liaise and monitor internal departments and support project team collaboration efforts

<p>| Total Dedicated Staff | 7 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dedicated Project Staff</th>
<th>FT</th>
<th>Position Title</th>
<th>Brief Description of Roles and Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                         | 1  | Project Director | • Coordinate and collaborate work streams among all divisions and departments across the Corporation and ensure City of Mississauga speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.  
• Represent City on external project bodies and stakeholder groups e.g. Metrolinx, Region of Peel, Brampton, MTO, 407 ETR, utilities, community groups, etc.  
• Lead internal communications with Council, Leadership Team and Departmental Leadership teams  
• Provide strategic level advice on City interests regarding standards, costs, agreements, operating protocols, etc.  
• Direct City’s internal project team  
• Establish Divisional operating policies  
• Conduct Divisional Business planning  
• Liaise with Metrolinx Project Team |
| 1 | Manager, Engineering and Planning | • Coordinate and integrate all technical engineering and design standards on behalf of the City and ensure City of Mississauga speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts  
• Collaborate with all internal departments and external land owners to ensure that the development of the Hurontario LRT corridor is coordinated with existing and future land use and transportation plans  
• Coordinate traffic management matters including signal timing, signage, construction management, transit service maintenance etc. during all phases of the project  
• Represent City in Metrolinx procurements including the Technical Advisor and Project Co.  
• Contribute to the completion of:  
  • Agreements  
  • Project Specific Output Specifications (PSOS)  
  • Reference Concept Design and Cross Sections  
  • Utility Relocation Plan  
  • Early Works Program  
  • Procurement Documents |
• Transitway Interim and Ultimate
• MiWay interim and ultimate plan
• Traffic Construction Management
• Signal and Street Lighting Design
• Traffic Modelling
• ITS Interface
• Stormwater Management
• Traction Power Sub Stations (TPSS)
• Structures
• Base Mapping

1  Engineering Lead

• Compiling and coordinating all City of Mississauga standards related to City infrastructure and assets owned by Mississauga that are affected or are required to be built/replaced as a result of the project (and agreed to in the Memorandum of Agreement).
• Function as point of contact between the LRT Office and Engineering Services.
• Catalogue all applicable City design, engineering and construction standards
• Ensure City of Mississauga speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.
• Coordinate and refine all City Standards and design specifications associated with the City’s interests including but not limited to:
  • Pavement conditions
  • Signage
  • Structures, sidewalks, curbs, retaining and noise walls
  • Street Lighting
  • Traffic Signals and Traffic Signal Control System
  • Traffic Signal Cabinets and Controllers
  • Traffic Signal Priority
  • Traffic operations/modelling
  • Traffic Management Centre and Traffic Construction Management
  • Fire Pre-emption
  • Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)
  • Internet Protocol Communications
  • Noise Walls
  • Storm water facilities
| 1  | Traffic Resource Lead | • Coordination of City’s interests in traffic management planning, design and construction with Technical Advisor  
  • Coordination and planning of MiWay services during all development phases of the project  
  • Coordination of City’s approvals and permits  
  • Assist in the coordination and refinement of City Standards and design specifications associated with the City’s interests including but not limited to:  
    • Signage  
    • Street lighting  
    • Traffic Signals and Traffic Signal Control System  
    • Traffic Signal Cabinets and Controllers  
    • Traffic Signal Priority  
    • Traffic operations/modelling  
    • Traffic Management Centre and Traffic Construction Management  
    • Fire Pre-emption  
    • Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)  
    • Transit  
    • Cycling |
| 1  | Planning Lead         | • Provide professional land use and strategic planning services to the LRT team and participate in day to day management of land use planning functions related to the Hurontario LRT project including project management of streetscape master plans.  
  • Responsible for following project management best practices, project plan development and
- Lead coordination of matters affecting development applications in the downtown portion of the LRT corridor, impact on utilities locations and existing relocation plans.
- Ensure City of Mississauga speaks with one voice on the LRT project consolidating City input and vetting unintended inconsistencies and possible contradictions/conflicts.
- Liaison with major land owners (i.e. Oxford, Orlando, HOOP, etc) in the corridor on future development plans to ensure coordination with LRT project (planning/construction timelines as well as physical interface).
- Lead the development of planning review and protocols for redevelopment applications along the corridor to ensure overall coordination with LRT project timelines for planning and construction, subject to appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board.
- Participate in discussions with downtown and corridor land owners on planning and engineering matters.
- Coordinate input and monitor development on matters within the corridor impacting the LRT project including:
  - Mobility Hub projects
  - Official Plan Amendments (OPA) including OPA #8
  - Community Improvement Plans
  - Construction Projects
  - Community Planning initiatives (Gateway, Vision Cooksville, affordable housing etc.)
  - Corridor planning projects (Dundas Connects, Lakeshore Corridor, etc.)
  - Signature Stop discussion and criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Landscape Architect (Streetscape)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Co-ordinate the Streetscape Design with all known existing and proposed relocations for underground and overhead utilities by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>coordinating field locates for utilities with Metrolinx and ensuring data meets the streetscape design requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>investigation utility relocations with Metrolinx to implement the Streetscape Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>providing mitigation measures for the Streetscape Design due to utilities conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Refine streetscape design to a level of detail that can be incorporated into the PSOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Review and confirm cost estimates associated with all aspects of streetscape design elements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
within the right-of-way

- Assist in the development of:
  - Work Plan
  - Detailed 1:500 streetscape plans, streetscape plans proposing utility mitigation measures and streetscape cross sections
  - PSOS
  - Streetscape cost estimates
  - Catalogue of City standards for the streetscape design
  - Co-ordination of utility/streetscape interface with Metrolinx

1 Manager, Realty

- Delivering and administering of real estate services to support the Hurontario LRT project, working closely with Metrolinx Third Party/Utilities/Property team, including the real estate transactions and interim property management.
- Advise on, negotiate, make arrangements for, and/or guide the work of others (internal and external) with respect to appraisals, acquisitions (purchases or expropriation), leases or licenses, relocations, property management, and disposal of real property interests (fee simple, easement, encroachment, strata, licence and lease) as it relates to the LRT.
- Support negotiations in securing utility easements
- Support the drafting of agreements and preparation of reports for approval to Metrolinx and, in the case of expropriation settlement reports, to municipal Council as the approving authority.
- Under general direction of Metrolinx Third Party, Property and Private Utilities Team and Technical Advisor Services Lead support:
  - Management of statutory requirements and timelines associated with property acquisitions and expropriations
  - Assess and verify property needs and budget as outlined in the TPAP documentation
  - Identification and resolution of property or budget gaps
  - Development of acquisition strategy
  - Negotiate offers to purchase and sale required property along the entire length of the Mississauga portion of the Hurontario LRT corridor
  - Attendance at all property related legal proceedings
  - Provide property cost estimates
- Provide analysis to support property acquisitions.
- Coordinate procurements and related financial transactions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Realty Lawyer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Provides ongoing support on all legal matters related to real estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negotiations, title opinions, transactions and acquisitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support negotiation and draft Agreements of Purchase &amp; Sale of required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for property interests along the entire length of the Mississauga portion of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Hurontario LRT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attend all property related legal proceedings, including hearings under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Expropriations Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support negotiations affecting utility easements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support the drafting of agreements and preparation of reports for approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Metrolinx and, in the case of expropriation settlement reports, to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrolinx or the Minister as required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Communications Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Working with the Metrolinx Communications on the development, implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and evaluation of overarching communication and engagement strategies for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Hurontario LRT project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Manage the production of the communications deliverables on time, on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>budget, and in accordance with all established guidelines and consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with the Hurontario LRT Communications Protocol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Build strategic relationships with key stakeholders internally including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corporate and regional staff, volunteers and management, and key external</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stakeholders and providing a high level of strategic, professional and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tactical advice to key directors and managers and senior management staff as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>required on communications and stakeholder engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinate and support city-wide communication needs in keeping with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Communications and Community Relations Strategic Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop internal communications plans and strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Act as main point of contact with Metrolinx communications team to provide local support and reporting on all project-related communications matters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide issues management support for City project team and develop protocol for reporting to Metrolinx Project Team</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide project support to respond to Community/Councillor inquiries and information requests associated with both City and project matters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identify, monitor and coordinate responses to internal and external stakeholders including Council, Departments, residents and residents groups and media</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Develop communications material in cooperation with Metrolinx communications team to support City-wide needs and initiatives including Councillor’s web pages and newsletters, strategic and community planning projects, environmental assessments, PIC’s, community events and outreach, etc.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liaise and monitor internal departments and support project team collaboration efforts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Build strategic relationships with key stakeholders internally including corporate and regional staff, volunteers and management, and key external stakeholders and providing a high level of strategic, professional and tactical advice to key directors and managers and senior management staff as required on communications and stakeholder engagement.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coordinate and support city-wide communication needs in keeping with the Project Communications and Community Relations Strategic Plan.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Develop internal communications plans and strategies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide issues management support for City project team and develop protocol for reporting to Metrolinx Project Team</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide project support to respond to Community/Councillor inquiries and information requests associated with both City and project matters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identify, monitor and coordinate responses to internal and external stakeholders including Council, Departments, residents and residents groups and media</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Develop communications material in cooperation with Metrolinx communications team to support City-wide needs and initiatives including Councillor’s web pages and newsletters, strategic and community planning projects, environmental assessments, PIC’s, community events and outreach.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **1** | **Law Clerk** | • Responsible for all title and off-title searches to be conducted on properties  
• Supports in the preparation of legal agreements and the closing of real estate transactions  
• Main user of Teraview software allowing for the registration of instruments through the Brampton land registry office  
• Supports the preparation of all notices under the Expropriations Act  
• Supports in the resolution of title issues with lawyer acting for the property owner  
• Prepares closing title reports on all real estate transactions |
|---|---|---|
| **1** | **Legal Assistant** | • Assist the LRT Office staff with general office duties  
• Open/close files and general filing according to procedures established by the LRT team  
• Assist the Law Clerk with conducting title searches  
• Support discussions with opposing lawyers and law firms on real estate transactions  
• Assist with all steps required to expropriate land from private property owners  
• Prioritization of work assignments, coordinate appointments and schedule meetings for the Legal Counsel and other LRT staff  
• Maintain and update the file tracking system as well as legal records to ensure up-to-date documentation is easily available for the Legal Counsel and other LRT Staff |

**Total Dedicated Staff**: 12
Date: June 8, 2016

Subject: Transmittal Report
Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law
(To permit four single-detached residential dwellings, a one-storey
service commercial building with drive-through facility, and a
commercial addition to an existing heritage house)

Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Limited – 1435586 Ontario
Limited
30 McLaughlin Road South
Ward 3

File: C01W05.043

Contact: Gavin Bailey, RPP, MCIP, Development Planner, Planning &
Building Division, 905-874-3882
Jenn Morrison, RPP, MCIP, Acting Manager, Planning & Building
Division, 905-874-2954

Recommendations:

1. THAT the report from Gavin Bailey, Development Planner, Planning and
Building Division, dated June 8, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016,
entitled Transmittal Report re: Application to Amend the Official Plan and
Zoning By-law, Gagnon and Law Urban Planners Limited – 1435586
Ontario Ltd., Ward 3, File C01W05.043, be received;

2. THAT the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Rezoning
Agreement based on terms and conditions approved by the Chief Planning
and Infrastructure Services Officer, and in a form acceptable to the City
Solicitor;

3. THAT a by-law be passed to adopt the Official Plan Amendment to permit
commercial and residential uses;

4. THAT it is hereby determined that in adopting the attached Official Plan
amendment, Council has had regard to all matters of Provincial Interest and
the Provincial Policy Statement as set out in Section 2 and 3(5) respectively of
the Planning Act, R.S.O. c.P. 13, as amended; and,

5. THAT a by-law be passed to amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004
as amended, and that pursuant to Section 34 (17) of the Planning Act R.S.O.
cP 13 no further notice or public meeting be required.
Overview:

- This is a report to forward the implementing Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments to establish the policies to permit the development of the site for four single-detached residential dwellings, a one-storey service commercial building with drive-through facility, and a commercial addition to an existing heritage house.

- The site is located at 30 McLaughlin Road South at the northeast corner of McLaughlin Road South and Bufford Drive.

- A Recommendation Report dated August 21, 2015 was approved by the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee on September 14, 2015 subject to a number of conditions. These conditions have been satisfied. Subsequent to the approval of the Recommendation Report, a modification was made to the draft Secondary Plan amendment to re-designate a portion of the site that is located in the valley to an “Open Space” designation. Ownership of these lands will be conveyed to the City.

- A Rezoning Agreement has been executed by the owner to the satisfaction of the Legal Services and Planning & Infrastructure Services Department.

- This application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law achieves the “Smart Growth” goals of the Strategic Plan by building complete communities to accommodate growth for people and jobs.

Background:

This application was submitted to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Department on April 14, 2014 by Gagnon and Law Urban Planners on behalf of 1435586 Ontario Inc. The statutory public meeting for this application was held on August 6, 2014. Five members of the public spoke at the public meeting. The concept development plan was revised to reflect the comments made by the public. These revisions include:

- The removal of a vehicular access to Bufford Drive.

- The proposed service commercial building has been relocated to be closer to McLaughlin Road South and Bufford Drive.

- The proposed drive-through facility for the service commercial building has been relocated further away from the proposed residential lots.
A garage has been included in the concept development plan to facilitate the parking needs of the heritage home.

The required Rezoning Agreement has now been signed by the owner, and the applicant is prepared to proceed with the adoption of the Official Plan Amendment and enactment of the Zoning By-law Amendment.

**Current Situation:**

All conditions of approval set out in the Recommendation Report dated August 21, 2015 have been satisfied. The Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments have been prepared and approved as to form and content, and are attached to this report for Council's consideration.

Although the August 21, 2015 Recommendation Report identified that a portion of the site that is located within a valley is to be rezoned to an “Open Space” designation, it did not identify that the same portion of the property would also be re-designated to a “Park and Open Space” classification in the Brampton South Secondary Plan (Area 16). This re-designation in the Secondary Plan will result in improved protection measures for these environmentally sensitive lands. Ownership of the “Open Space” designated lands will also be conveyed to the City.

A road widening requirement along Bufford Drive is required to be dedicated to the City prior to the enactment of the Zoning By-law Amendment. This land dedication has been completed and section 8.1 of Resolution PI&S 199-2015 has therefore been satisfied.

**Corporate Implications:**

**Financial Implications:**

The owner will be required to contribute/pay appropriate development charges, fees and securities to the City. No specific financial impacts to the City have been identified in conjunction with this application.

**Other Implications:**

No corporate implications have been identified with this application.

**Strategic Plan:**

The proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments achieves the “Smart Growth” goals of the Strategic Plan by building complete communities to accommodate growth for people and jobs.
Conclusion:

Attached for Council’s consideration is a copy of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments.

Respectfully submitted:

Original Approved By: ___________________________   ___________________________
Jenn Morrison, RPP, MCIP   Allan Parsons, RPP, MCIP
Acting Manager, Development Services   Acting Director, Development Services
Planning & Building Division   Planning & Building Division

Original Approved By: ___________________________
Heather MacDonald
Acting Executive Director of Planning
Planning & Building Division

Report authored by: Gavin Bailey, RPP, MCIP

Attachment – Appendix A: Zoning By-law and Official Plan Amendments
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRAMPTON

BY-LAW

Number

To Adopt Amendment Number OP 2006-5

to the Official Plan of the
City of Brampton Planning Area

The Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton, in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P. 13, hereby ENACTS as follows:

1. Amendment Number OP 2006-5 to the Official Plan of the City of Brampton Planning Area is hereby adopted and made part of this by-law.

READ a FIRST, SECOND and THIRD TIME, and PASSED in OPEN COUNCIL, this 6th day of July 2016.

__________________________
LINDA JEFFREY - MAYOR

__________________________
PETER FAY – CLERK

Approved as to Content:

AP
Allan Parsons, MCIP, RPP
Acting Director, Development Services

Approved as to Form:

MR
Matthew Rea
Legal Services
AMENDMENT NUMBER OP 2006 -
to the Official Plan of the
City of Brampton Planning Area
AMENDMENT NUMBER OP 2006 -
TO THE OFFICIAL PLAN OF THE
CITY OF BRAMPTON PLANNING AREA

1.0 Purpose:

The purpose of this amendment is to change the land use designation of the
lands shown outlined on Schedule A, B, C, D and E to this amendment to permit
the development of the subject lands for Live-Work, Service Commercial and
Open Space uses and to provide specific policies for the development of the
subject lands.

2.0 Location:

The property subject to this amendment is located at the northeast corner of
McLaughlin Road South and Bufford Drive. The property has a frontage of
approximately 64 metres (209 feet) on McLaughlin Road South and
approximately 81 metres (265 feet) on Bufford Drive. The property is located in
part of Lot 5, Concession 1, W.H.S., in the City of Brampton.

3.0 Amendments and Policies Relative Thereto:

3.1 The document known as the Official Plan of the City of Brampton Planning
Area is hereby amended:

(1) by removing the "Collector Road" designation of Royce Avenue
from Schedule B – City Road Hierarchy thereto, as shown on
Schedule A to this amendment.

(2) by removing the "Collector Road" designation of Royce Avenue
from Schedule B1 – City Road Right-of-Way Widths thereto, as
shown on Schedule B to this amendment.

(3) by adding to the list of amendments pertaining to Secondary Plan
Area Number 7: Downtown Brampton Secondary Plan as set out in
Part II: Secondary Plans, Amendment Number OP 2006-______.

(4) by adding to the list of amendments pertaining to Secondary Plan
Area Number 16: Brampton South Secondary Plan as set out in
Part II: Secondary Plans, Amendment Number OP 2006-______.
3.2 The portions of the document known as the 1993 Official Plan of the City of Brampton Planning Area which remain in force, as they relate to the Downtown Brampton Secondary Plan (Area 7) (being Part Two Secondary Plans, as amended) are hereby further amended:

(1) by removing the “Collector Road” designation of Royce Avenue from Schedule SP7(A) thereto, as shown on Schedule C to this amendment.

(2) by removing the “Collector Road” designation of Royce Avenue from Schedule SP7(B) thereto, as shown on Schedule D to this amendment.

(3) by deleting Policy 6.2.4 (ii) and replacing it with the following:
“further to the west, Wellington Street shall be extended to Elliot Street, then along Royce avenue to terminate at Fletcher’s Creek”.

3.3 The portions of the document known as the 1984 Official Plan of the City of Brampton Planning Area which remain in force, as they relate to the Brampton South Secondary Plan (Area 16) (being Part Two Secondary Plans, as amended) are hereby further amended:

(1) by changing on Plate 7 thereto, the land use designation “Residential Low Density” to “Service Commercial” and “Park and Open Space”, as shown on Schedule E to this amendment.

(2) by adding the following policy after Policy 4.6:
“4.7 The “Service Commercial” designation located at the northeast corner of McLaughlin Road and Bufford Drive shall be developed in conjunction with the permissions and restrictions of the applicable Zoning By-law.”
EXTRACT FROM SCHEDULE SPA7(B) OF THE DOCUMENT KNOWN AS THE DOWNTOWN SECONDARY PLAN

TRANSPORTATION

- Minor Arterial Road
- Collector Road
- Local Road
- Proposed Collector Road
- Bicycle Trails (Right-of-Ways)
- Pedestrian / Bicycle Trail Links

SCHEDULE D TO OFFICIAL PLAN
AMENDMENT OP2006#
REFER TO OFFICIAL PLAN CHAPTER 7

LANDS TO BE RE-DESIGNATED FROM "RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY" TO "SERVICE COMMERCIAL"

LANDS TO BE RE-DESIGNATED FROM "RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY" TO "PARK AND OPENSPACE"

EXTRACT FROM SCHEDULE SPA/16(PLATE 7) OF THE DOCUMENT KNOWN AS THE DOWNTOWN SECONDARY PLAN

Residential
Low Density

1c Neighbourhood Boundary & Number

Park And Open Space

Service Commercial

SCHEDULE E TO OFFICIAL PLAN
AMENDMENT OP2006#
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRAMPTON

BY-LAW

Number __________ - 2016

To amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 270-2004, as amended,

The Council of the Corporation of the City of Brampton ENACTS as follows:

1. By-law 270-2004, as amended, is hereby further amended:

   (1) by changing Schedule A thereto, the zoning designation of the lands as shown outlined on Schedule A to this by-law:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>To:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Holding (RH),</td>
<td>Residential Single-Detached –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (OS) and</td>
<td>Section 2525 (R1D-2525), Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Single-Detached-</td>
<td>Commercial – Section 2524 (SC-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3427</td>
<td>2524), Open Space – Section 2518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R1D-3427)</td>
<td>(OS-2518), and Open Space (OS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (2) by adding thereto the following section:

   "2524 The lands designated Service Commercial – 2524 on Schedule A to this By-law:

   2524.1 shall only be used for the purposes permitted by the Service Commercial zone and the following purposes:
   i. A residential dwelling contained within a designated heritage building;
   ii. A bank, trust company or finance company;
   iii. A retail establishment, having no outside storage;
   iv. An office;
   v. A service shop;
   vi. A personal service shop;"
vii. An animal hospital;
viii. A printing or copying establishment;
ix. A dry cleaning and laundry distribution station;
x. A community club;
xi. A custom workshop;
xii. A health or fitness centre;
xiii. A day nursery;
xiv. A commercial school;
xv. A laundromat;
xvi. A dining room restaurant;
xvii. A take-out restaurant;
xviii. Purposes accessory to these permitted uses.

2524.2 shall be subject to the following requirements and restrictions:

i. For the purpose of this by-law, McLaughlin Road South will be considered the front lot line;

ii. One detached residential garage shall be permitted in association with a heritage building at a maximum gross floor area of 45.0 square metres and with an interior side yard width of 1.0 metres.

iii. Minimum Front Yard Depth: 2.5 metres;

iv. Minimum Yard Depth along Daylight Triangle: 2.5 metres;
v. Minimum Exterior Side Yard Width: 2.5 metres;
vi. Minimum Interior Side Yard Width: 8.0 metres;

vii. Minimum Landscaped Open Space: 2.5 metres along McLaughlin Road South and Bufford Drive except at the approved driveway locations;

viii. Minimum setback between the heritage building and detached residential garage to the OS-2518 zone: 0.0 metres;

ix. Minimum Parking Requirement: 1 space for each 19 square metres of gross floor area;

x. A drive-through facility only in association with a bank, trust company or finance company, and shall provide a minimum of 6 stacking spaces;

xi. A drive-through facility is not permitted in association with a designated heritage building."

"2525 the lands designated Residential Single Detached-Section 2525 (R1D-2525) on Schedule A to this By-law:

2525.1 shall only be used for the purposes permitted by Residential Single Detached-R1D and including:

i. a single detached residential dwelling;

ii. a group home type 1; and,
iii. an auxiliary group home;
iv. purposes accessory to those permitted uses.

2525.2 shall be subject to the following requirements and restrictions:
i. Minimum lot area: 250.0 square metres;
ii. Minimum lot width: 10.0 metres;
iii. Minimum lot depth: 25.0 metres;
iv. Minimum front yard depth:
   a) 4.5 metres to the front of the dwelling and 6.0 metres to the front of the garage door;
   b) a porch and/or balcony with or without foundation or cold cellar may encroach 2.0 metres into the minimum front yard;
   c) a bay window, bow window or box window with or without foundation or cold cellar may encroach 1.0 metre into the minimum front yard provided that the maximum width of a bay, bow or box window with or without foundation or cold cellar shall be 4.5 metres;

v. Minimum Interior Side Yard Width: 0.6 metres, provided that the combined total of the interior side yards on an interior lot is not less and 1.6 metres; and, 0.6 metres where the side yard abuts a commercial zone;
vi. Minimum Rear Yard Depth: 6.0 metres
vii. Maximum Building Height: 11.0 metres
viii. Maximum Garage Width:
   a) 5.0 metres for a lot width less than 12.5 metres;
   b) 5.5 metres for a lot width greater than 12.5 metres;
   c) the garage door width may be widened by an extra 0.6 metres if the front of the garage projects 2.5 metres or less beyond the ground floor main entrance of the dwelling unit;
ix. Maximum Lot Coverage: No requirement;

"2518 the lands designated Open Space – 2518 on Schedule A to this By-law:

2518.1 shall only be used for the following purposes:

2518.2 private landscaping amenity space in conjunction with the uses permitted with the Service Commercial – 2524 zone.

2518.3 shall be subject to the following restrictions and requirements:
i. no buildings or structures shall be permitted."
READ a FIRST, SECOND, and THIRD time and PASSED in OPEN COUNCIL this 6th day of July 2016.

Approved as to form.
16/06/2016
MR
Matthew Rea

Approved as to content.
3/06/2016
AP
Allan Parsons

__________________________
Linda Jeffrey, Mayor

__________________________
Peter Fay, City Clerk
Date: 2016-06-08

Subject: Recommendation Report: Facade and Building Improvement Grant Applications for 78 / 80 Queen Street West (File P75CE FA16-002 and P75CE BU16-002) Ward 1

Contact: David VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, Planning and Building Division (david.vanderberg@brampton.ca, 905-874-2325) Bernie Steiger, Manager, Development Services, Planning and Building Division (bernie.steiger@brampton.ca, 905-874-2097)

Recommendations:

1. That the report from David VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 8, 2016 to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Recommendation Report: Façade and Building Improvement Grant Applications for 78 / 80 Queen Street West - Ward 1 (File: File P75CE FA16-002 and P75CE BU16-002), be received;

2. THAT applications P75CE FA16-002 and P75CE BU16-002 (78/80 Queen Street West) in the amount of a maximum of $30,000.00 be approved under each of the Downtown Façade Improvement Program and the Downtown Building Improvement Program, subject to the signing of an agreement with the City of Brampton, maintaining the rules of the programs as set out in the approved Implementation Guidelines, and meeting the following conditions on or before September 30, 2016, failing which this approval shall be null and void:

   a) That satisfactory detailed drawings for the front facade and interior of the building be submitted to the City of Brampton, which must include appropriate screening for any rooftop mechanical equipment;

   b) That the cost estimates be updated in accordance with the submitted drawings; and

   c) That the applicant satisfies the requirements of the City and enters into the necessary agreements with the City of Brampton; and,

3. THAT the Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer be authorized to sign the Façade Improvement Program and Building Improvement Program Agreements in relation to the approved project with content satisfactory to the Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, or designate, and in a form approved by the City Solicitor, or designate, and that staff be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the terms of the agreement.
Overview:

- The intent of the Facade and Building Improvement Grant Programs is to support the revitalization of the historic downtown and the economic vitality of the area.
- The purpose of this report is to recommend approval of an application under the Facade Improvement Program and an application under the Building Improvement Program for the property located at 78 / 80 Queen Street West.
- The applicant has provided cost estimates for the façade and building improvements with a combined total of approximately $176,500, which makes the project eligible for a $30,000 grant under each of the Building and Façade Improvement Programs.
- This project represents a comprehensive renovation to both the façade and the interior of the building. The project leverages a significant investment on behalf of the applicant and will create a positive impact on the downtown.
- The recommendations of this report support the “Strong Communities” priority of the 2016-2018 Strategic Plan by supporting redevelopment that contributes to making the heart of the City a place where people can live, work and play.

Background:

In November 2015, Council approved the continuation for 2016 of the Facade and Building Improvement Programs under the Central Area Community Improvement Plan. The intent of these programs is to support the revitalization of the downtown and the economic vitality of the area. For 2016, the program is being administered on a first-come, first-serve basis.

Current Situation:

The applicant is proposing to renovate the first floor and front façade of 78 / 80 Queen Street West to accommodate a new restaurant use. The commercial space on the first floor is currently vacant.

The proposed improvements include a new storefront with a new stone veneer on the ground floor, enlarged windows, new doors, and new cladding on the second floor. Inside, the ground floor will be converted to a new restaurant use. The work will be a comprehensive renovation of the commercial space within the building, including a new kitchen, upgraded plumbing, HVAC and electrical systems, and repartitioning of the ground floor. As a condition of approval, staff recommends that any rooftop mechanical equipment be required to be screened.

Figure A shows the existing façade and Figure B shows a conceptual rendering of the proposed façade. The proposed internal improvements are shown in Appendix 1.
The applicant has provided cost estimates for each application. Using the lower estimates, the cost of the façade project would be $79,100 and the cost of the building improvement project would be $97,406. The combined total is $176,506. The applicant is eligible for a $30,000 grant under the Façade Improvement Program and a $30,000 grant under the Building Improvement Program.
The proposed project represents a comprehensive renewal of the building that will provide a significantly upgraded façade and an improved interior building space that will be better positioned to attract quality tenants. Both applications meet the goals set out in the Implementation Guidelines for the programs.

Next Steps/Conditions:

The applicant must enter into an agreement with the City of Brampton. Updated drawings will need to be provided identifying more accurately the dimensions and materials to be used. The applicant must meet the requirements of the Council approved Implementation Guidelines and submit any additional outstanding information as may be required by staff to satisfy these requirements.

Staff recommends that the applicant have a limited period to undertake any outstanding work and to sign the agreement. This will ensure that projects that do not proceed cannot freeze their allocated funding indefinitely. It is recommended that the conditions be satisfied on or before September 30, 2016, failing which this approval shall be null and void.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:

There is currently adequate funding approved and available in capital project 167827-001 (Building Improvement Grant) and 167827-002 (Façade Improvement Grant) to carry out the estimated cost of $60,000 (excluding taxes) for the building and façade improvement grants at 78/80 Queen Street West.

The table below indicates the original budget, expenditures and/or commitments to date, and balance available according to PeopleSoft Financial. This table does not include grants that have previously been approved by Council. Appendix 2 has a detailed list of all the approved grant applications. After accounting for previously approved grants, there remains adequate funding available for the requested grants for 78/80 Queen Street West.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project #</th>
<th>Activity #</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures and/or Commitments to Date</th>
<th>Balance Available</th>
<th>Required Initiative Amount*</th>
<th>Budget Balance Remaining**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>167827</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167827</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$60,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$240,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1.76% HST included in total

**Overall balance in project #167827 is $210,000, therefore sufficient funding is available

Other Implications:

There are no other corporate implications as a result of the recommendations outlined in this report.

Strategic Plan:

The Facade Improvement Program and the Building Improvement Program support the “Strong Communities” priority of the 2016-2018 Strategic Plan by fostering an
outcome where distinct, lively spaces and activities unite people and business to instill civic pride. In particular, the goal of having people live, work and play in the City is achieved through the creation of connected spaces in the heart of the City and by encouraging private development and revitalization of the Central Area.

Conclusion:

Staff supports the applications and recommend approval subject to conditions. The facade improvements will enhance the streetscape and provide for a new aesthetically-pleasing storefront. The building improvements represent a significant and comprehensive renewal of the interior space and mechanical systems.

Respectfully submitted:

Original Approved by:          Original Approved by:
Bernie Steiger MCIP, RPP       Allan Parsons MCIP, RPP
Manager, Development Services  Acting Director, Development Services
Planning and Building Division  Planning and Building Division

Original Approved by:
Heather MacDonald MCIP, RPP
Acting Executive Director of Planning

Report authored by: David VanderBerg, Central Area Planner

Attachments:

Appendix 1: Floor Plans
Appendix 2: Table of Approved Façade and Building Improvement Grants
### 2016 Approved Building Improvement Grants (167827-001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Council Approval</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36-40 Main St N</td>
<td>P75CE FA16-001</td>
<td>May 25, 2016 (Resolution P&amp;IS110-2016)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Approved Grants</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Approved Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2016 Approved Façade Improvement Grants (167827-002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Council Approval</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Church St</td>
<td>P75CE FA15-012</td>
<td>November 10, 2015 (Resolution ED076-2015)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40 Main St N</td>
<td>P75CE FA16-001</td>
<td>May 25, 2016 (Resolution P&amp;IS110-2016)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Approved Grants</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Approved Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 2016-06-15

Subject: Recommendation Report – Proposed Work Plan to Identify Potential Areas for Seniors Housing within the City of Brampton

Contact: Yuri Mantsvetov, Policy Planner, Planning & Infrastructure Services, 905-874-2141, yuri.mantsvetov@brampton.ca

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Yuri Mantsvetov, Policy Planner, Planning & Infrastructure Services Department, dated June 15, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016 re: Recommendation Report – Proposed Scope of Work to Identify Potential Areas for Seniors Housing within the City of Brampton be received; and,

2. That staff be directed to undertake the scope of work as attached hereto as Appendix A and report back with preliminary findings prior to proceeding with the open house.

Overview:

- In March 2016, Council directed Planning and Building staff to report back on a work program to investigate the potential to designate specific areas within the built-up areas of the City for seniors housing that is adjacent to complementary uses and amenities.

- The purpose of this recommendation report is to present anticipated timelines, plans for public engagement and outline the scope of work for this project.

  - This recommendation report facilitates compliance with the Strategic Plan's “Smart Growth” priority, with respect to planning for affordable and accessible housing options.

Background:

At the March 7, 2016, Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting, a recommendation was passed which directed staff to develop a work program to investigate the potential to designate areas within the City’s built-up areas for seniors’ housing.
City staff was directed to report back to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee with a work program setting out an approach for proceeding with this initiative which is to be led by the Planning & Building Division.

**Current Situation:**

The purpose of this study is to identify potential areas of the City suitable for seniors’ housing. An integral part of the project is recognizing the need for senior’s housing to be located in close proximity to services and local amenities relevant to seniors such as public transit, health care, shopping, recreation, and places of worship.

The major steps associated with this project include establishing relevant criteria for suitable locations, researching best practices from other nearby municipalities and creating a map which will show the findings. A detailed scope of work which expands on these steps is attached hereto as Appendix A – Scope of Work.

**Stakeholder Consultation**

As part of this initiative, Brampton will consult with key stakeholders such as the Region of Peel and not-for-profit housing providers specializing in affordable housing for seniors.

**Public Consultation**

In addition to consulting with stakeholders, the project will require public consultation, including the seniors’ community. It is recommended that a public open house be held as part of this project to obtain valuable feedback from the community regarding the identification of preferred areas for seniors housing within the City’s built-up area.

**Corporate Implications:**

**Financial Implications:**

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

**Strategic Plan:**

This information report facilitates compliance with the Strategic Plan’s “Smart Growth” priority, with respect to planning for affordable and accessible housing options.

**Timelines and Next Steps**

It is proposed that the project will commence in the summer of 2016 following consideration of the scope of work and direction from Council to begin working on the study. Staff will report back to Council with preliminary findings prior to proceeding with the open house.
The study is expected to be completed in early 2017, followed by a report to a future Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee meeting presenting the major findings of the study.

**Conclusion:**

With shifting demographics and an aging population, it is imperative to plan for the future to ensure that there is a sufficient amount of housing to accommodate an increasing number of senior residents. This study will give Council and City staff the necessary background information to know the optimal locations for such facilities.

Respectfully submitted:

Original Approved by:      Original Approved by:

David Waters, MCIP, RPP, PLE  Heather MacDonald, MCIP, RPP
Manager, Land Use Policy  Acting Executive Director, Planning
Planning & Building Division  Planning & Building Division

Report authored by: Yuri Mantsvetov, Policy Planner

**Attachments:**

Appendix A - Scope of Work
Appendix A – Scope of Work

IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL AREAS FOR SENIORS’ HOUSING WITHIN THE CITY OF BRAMPTON

SCOPE OF WORK

JUNE 10, 2016

1. Purpose

The purpose of this study is to identify potential areas of the City suitable for seniors’ housing, based on criteria that were created benchmarking existing seniors’ housing developments in other municipalities within the Greater Toronto Area. The objective of identifying potential locations is to assist those organizations that have expressed an interest in addressing the housing needs of Brampton’s seniors’ community. This scope of work will be undertaken by the City of Brampton and lead by Planning & Building Division.

An integral part of the project is recognizing the need for senior’s housing to be located in close proximity to services and local amenities relevant to seniors such as public transit, health care, shopping, recreation, and places of worship.

For the purposes of this study, seniors’ housing is defined as a multi-unit development that may provide supportive services such as meals, housekeeping, social activities, and transportation. Independent Living encourages people to socialize by providing meals in a central dining area and through scheduled social programs. This term may also be used to describe housing with few or no services such as seniors’ apartments.

2. Background

Over the past several years, Planning Staff and the Council Office have received multiple inquiries from various organizations regarding opportunities to develop seniors’ housing to meet the needs of its community. Some of the inquiries received related to the needs of specific ethnic and faith groups represented residing within Brampton.

In response to the various inquiries received, at the March 7, 2016, Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting, a recommendation was passed which directed to staff to develop a work program to investigate the potential to designate areas within the City’s built-up areas for seniors’ housing. Identification of such areas also considers proximity to services and local amenities relevant to seniors, such as health care, public services, public transit, and places of worship.

City staff was directed to report back to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee with a work program setting out an approach for proceeding with this initiative, is to be undertaken by Planning & Building Division.

This information report facilitates compliance with the Strategic Plan’s “Smart Growth” priority, with respect to planning for affordable and accessible housing options.
Since 2011, Brampton's seniors’ population continues to grow. The need for municipalities to plan for housing choices that responds to the full spectrum of needs is becoming more intricately linked to land use planning decisions. The proposed study will identify potential areas for seniors’ housing within the City, taking into account such issues as proximity to services and amenities (appropriate to seniors), compatibility with adjacent land uses, environmental conditions and any other land-related factors.

Benchmarking Seniors’ Housing Sites

Undertake a survey of existing seniors’ housing projects in Brampton, Mississauga and other municipalities within the Great Toronto Area to determine common development characteristics for seniors’ housing. Such factors to be surveyed include site area, zoning and proximity to public services and amenities. This information will be used to establish criteria for identifying potential seniors’ housing sites in the City of Brampton.

Site Selection

When identifying potential areas for future seniors’ housing, there are a number of factors that must be considered based on the benchmarking exercise described above. Perfect sites are rare as there usually has to be some compromises and trade-offs. The following criteria will be used to identify potential areas for seniors’ housing in the City of Brampton:

- Minimum site area required;
- Land use compatibility;
- Environmental and development constraints;
- Walkability; and,
- Proximity to public transit, shopping, places of worship, recreation, pharmacies, health care.

A map will be produced that inventories relevant services and amenities utilized by seniors, as well as key facilities. Further to the Planning Committee’s recommendation, services to be mapped include existing health care providers, public services, transit, and places of worship. The mapping of such services and facilities will assist with the identification of potential sites that would be suitable for the development of seniors’ housing in the City of Brampton.

Publicly-owned sites will be considered, including the Flower City Community Campus that currently includes a seniors’ recreation centre which offers a variety of recreational programs for seniors on a daily basis. In addition, underutilized commercial sites and vacant properties will also be considered as potential locations for seniors’ housing should they satisfy the selection criteria.

3. Public Engagement

Stakeholder Consultation
As part of this initiative, Brampton will consult with key stakeholders immersed in the delivery of housing services for seniors, along with the delivery of other related services. It is suggested that the following stakeholders be engaged to contribute to the undertaking of this study:

- Region of Peel
- HOAP (Housing for Older Adults in Peel Region working group)
- Not-for-profit housing providers specializing in affordable housing for seniors
- Canadian Mortgage & Housing Corporation
- Members of various faith and ethnic groups

Public Consultation

In addition to consulting with stakeholders, the project will require public consultation, including the seniors’ community. It is recommended that a public open house be held as part of this project to obtain valuable feedback from the community regarding the identification of preferred sites for seniors housing within the City’s built-up area.

4. Timelines

It is proposed that the project will commence in the summer of 2016 following presentation of the scope of work and direction from Council to begin working on the study. The study is expected to be completed in early 2017, followed by a report to a future Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee meeting presenting the major findings and recommendations of the study.
Date: 2016-05-31

Subject: Subdivision Release and Assumption
Sandringham Place Inc., Wolverleigh Construction Ltd., & The Villages of Rosedale Inc. - Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 – South of Countryside Drive, West of Dixie Road – Ward 9 - Planning References: 21T-07006B and C03E15.006

Contact: John Edwin, Manager, Development Construction, Engineering and Development Services Division (905) 874-2538

Recommendations:

1. THAT the report from John Edwin, Manager, Development Construction, Engineering and Development Services, dated May 31, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Sandringham Place Inc., Wolverleigh Construction Ltd., & The Villages of Rosedale Inc. - Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 – South of Countryside Drive, West of Dixie Road – Ward 9 - Planning References: 21T-07006B and C03E15.006 be received; and

2. THAT all works constructed and installed in accordance with the subdivision agreement for Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 (the “Subdivision”) be accepted and assumed; and

3. THAT the Treasurer be authorized to release the securities held by the City; and

4. THAT a by-law be passed to assume the following streets and street widening block as shown on the Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 as part of the public highway system:

   Lorenzo Circle, Pendulum Circle, Seedland Crescent, Templehill Road, Naperton Drive, and street widening block 91 to be part of Countryside Drive

Overview:

- This report recommends that the works constructed and installed in accordance with the subdivision agreement for Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 be accepted and assumed.
Background:

City Council, at its meeting of September 16, 2015, approved Recommendation P&IS202-2015, whereby the streets and street widening block as shown on the subject Registered Plan are to be assumed by the City, once all departments have provided clearance for assumption by the City.

Current Situation:

City departments have now reviewed the Registered Plan for this subdivision and have provided clearance for assumption.

Corporate Implications:

All City Departments and the Region of Peel have provided clearances for assumption of the Subdivision to the Manager, Development Construction. This subdivision will now be included in the City’s list of assets. The City of Brampton will now be fully responsible for on-going maintenance.

Strategic Plan:

This report accomplishes the Strategic Plan priorities by supporting the benefits of sustainable growth to build a pre-eminent city with vibrant and connected communities.

Conclusion:

With approval of this report, the works constructed and installed in accordance with the subdivision agreement for Registered Plan No. 43M-1829 will be accepted and assumed.

Respectfully submitted,

Original approved by

John Edwin, EIT, C.E.T
Manager, Development Construction
Engineering and Development Services

Original approved by

Michael Won, P. Eng.
Executive Director
Engineering and Development

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Registered Plan 43M-1829

Report authored by: Sandy Hodge
Date: 2016-06-28

Subject: Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property - 8273 Heritage Road (File G33-LA)

Contact: Anthony D. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building, 905-874-2415

Recommendations:

1. THAT the report from Anthony D. Magnone, Regulatory Co-ordinator, Planning and Building Division, Planning and Infrastructure Services Department, dated June 28, 2016, to the City Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property – 8273 Heritage Road – Ward 6 (File G33-LA), BE RECEIVED;

2. THAT the application for a permit to demolish the residential property located at 8273 Heritage Road BE APPROVED;

3. THAT Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. THAT the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

Overview:

- With the passing of By-law 203-99, a demolition control by-law, the issuance of a permit to demolish a residential property must be authorized by Council.

- An application to demolish the existing vacant dwelling unit at 8273 Heritage Road has been submitted by the agent for the owner, 570458 Ontario Limited.

- An Inspection Order from the City of Brampton Fire and Emergency Services was served upon the owner on June 6, 2016. The order was a result of the vacant building not secured against unauthorized entry. (Refer to Appendix 6)
The dwelling unit is vacant and is neither listed on the City of Brampton Register of Cultural Heritage Resources or designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and is not recommended for inclusion in any of the proposed heritage conservation districts. Fire and Emergency Services and Peel Regional Police have expressed concern and are required to attend at vacant buildings on a regular basis as a result of vandalism and illegal activities notwithstanding the efforts of owners to secure the buildings.

Considering the existing unsafe condition and to avoid the potential of a serious fire or injury, it is recommended that Council approve the recommendation that a demolition permit be issued for the property.

Background:

The demolition of residential properties is regulated by Demolition Control By-law 203-99 passed by Council on September 27, 1999. Applications for a permit to demolish a residential property are evaluated in accordance with a demolition control by-law process subsequently approved by Council. (Refer to Appendix 1 for a detailed background)

Current Situation:

8273 Heritage Road is a vacant single detached building of wood framing and brick veneer construction that was built in the 1950’s. Brampton Fire and Emergency Services have expressed concern and have attended this property on a regular basis as a result of vandalism and illegal activities notwithstanding the efforts of owners to secure the building.

Corporate Implications:

Financial Implications:
None

Other Implications:

The dwelling unit is not designated under S.29 of the Ontario Heritage Act or listed on the Municipal Register of Cultural Heritage Resources.

Strategic Plan:

This demolition achieves the Smart Growth priority of the Strategic Plan by building complete communities to accommodate growth for people and jobs in the City of Brampton.
Conclusion:

It is recommended that Council approve the issuance of a demolition permit for the subject property.

Approved by:

Anthony D. Magnone
Regulatory Co-ordinator

Lillyan McGinn
Acting Director of Building and Chief Building Official

Attachments:

Appendix 1: Report Background Information
Appendix 2: Location Map
Appendix 3: Site Plan
Appendix 4: Photograph
Appendix 5: Demolition Permit Application
Appendix 6: Inspection Report

Report authored by: Anthony D. Magnone
1. **DEMOLITION CONTROL BY-LAW 203-99**

The Council of the City of Brampton passed a demolition control by-law, **By-law 203-99**, on September 27, 1999.

The purpose of a demolition control by-law is to preserve housing stock in a municipality. The effect of the by-law is that no person can demolish the whole or part of any residential property unless a demolition permit is issued by Council.

Demolition permits for residential properties (dwelling units) are no longer regulated by the Building Code Act. The Council of the municipality, not the Chief Building Official, must authorize the issuance of the demolition permit.

2. **CONDITIONS FOR STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL**

Council subsequently approved a demolition control by-law process. In accordance with the policy a recommendation to issue a permit to demolish a dwelling unit will be made if one or more of the following conditions exists:

1. An unsafe condition exists. A building is unsafe if the building is structurally inadequate or faulty for the purpose for which it is used or in a condition which could be hazardous to the health or safety of persons in the normal use of the building, persons outside the building or persons whose access to the building has not been reasonably prevented.

2. An application has been submitted to the Building Department for a permit to construct a replacement dwelling unit.

3. The land on which the dwelling unit is situated is part of a draft-approved plan of subdivision for which a pre-servicing agreement has been executed.

4. The land on which the dwelling unit is situated is the subject of a rezoning and/or site plan agreement and the zoning by-law is approved and/or site plan approval has been obtained.

5. The dwelling unit is vacant and is neither listed on the City of Brampton Register of Cultural Heritage Resources or designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and is not recommended for inclusion in any of the proposed heritage conservation districts. Fire and Emergency Services and Peel Regional Police have expressed concern and are required to attend at vacant buildings on a regular basis as a result of vandalism and illegal activities notwithstanding the efforts of owners to secure the buildings.
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## APPENDIX 5

Demolition Permit Application
8273 Heritage Road

---

**CITY OF BRAMPTON**

Planning and Infrastructure
Services Department
Building Division

---

### PERMIT APPLICATION

**PROJECT LOCATION**
- Street Name: Heritage Road
- Unit: 8273

**WORK DESCRIPTION**
- Demolition of a Residential Property
- Units: 195.7
- Material: BRICK
- Cost: $15,000.00

**OWNER**
- Street Name: ESQUISSING LANE
- City: MILTON
- Postal Code: L4T 6E7

**RECORDS FOR ARCHIVATION**
- A certified copy of this permit application is to be deposited in the archives of the City of Brampton for a period of 10 years.

**APPLICANT’S DECLARATION**

Applicant: Bruce Reed
Signed: June 28, 2016

---

### ATTACHMENTS

- [ ] 2 copies of site plans clearly designating the area to be demolished
- [ ] 2 copies of site plans clearly designating the area to be demolished
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application

---

### OFFICE USE ONLY

- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application
- [ ] 2 copies of the building permit application

---

*The personal information on this form is subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, 1982.*
Date: 2016-06-27

Subject: Amending Fireworks By-law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013

Contact: Michael Clark, Fire Chief, Fire and Emergency Services, Public Services Department, 905.874.2722

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Michael Clark, Fire Chief, Fire and Emergency Services, Public Services Department, dated June 27, 2016, to Council of July 6, 2016, re: Amending Fireworks By-Law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013, be received; and

2. That staff be directed to amend the Fireworks By-law 147-2006 to ban the sale and use of all fireworks for consumer/residential use that would reasonably be expected to travel or pose a hazard more than 3 metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition; and

3. That staff be directed to amend the Fireworks By-law 147-2006 to allow the use of consumer/residential fireworks, which do not travel more than 3 metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition, without the need for a permit; and

4. That staff be directed to amend the Fireworks By-law 147-2006 to mandate the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks; and

5. That staff be directed to amend the Fireworks By-law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013 to require greater restrictions on vendors regarding the sale of consumer/residential fireworks; and

6. That a city hosted Diwali fireworks display not be considered at this time; and

7. That staff be directed to report back on the Fireworks By-law amendment financial impacts in greater detail.

Overview:

- The purpose of this report is to present to Council the analysis and recommendations in relation to amending the Fireworks By-Law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013

- Council resolution, dated November 11, 2015, requested staff to report back on the establishment, consequences, education enforcement and associated costs of:
An outright ban of the sales of Class 7.2.1 fireworks (consumer fireworks) within the City of Brampton, and

An outright ban of the use of Class 7.2.1 fireworks (consumer fireworks on all residential properties within the City of Brampton)

- As per council resolution, the ban is not to affect institutional (e.g. places of worship), commercial or corporate fireworks, also known as Class 7.2.2 fireworks (Display Fireworks) and Class 7.2.5 fireworks (Pyrotechnic Special effects), with appropriate permits and approvals in place.

- The findings and recommendations have been compiled by an interdepartmental staff committee and based on consultation with external stakeholders such as the Canadian National Fireworks Association (CNFA) and various faith and community leaders within Brampton.

Background:
Brampton's existing Fireworks By-law 147-2006 defines the restrictions on the sale, purchase and use of fireworks. It was established with the intent to promote the health and safety of our citizens, protect property, and control nuisances, which can result from the use of fireworks. Consumer fireworks are fireworks that are designed for recreational use by the public. They are different from display fireworks, which are fireworks used in larger, usually public fireworks displays.

The existing City of Brampton Fireworks By-law 147-2006 permits the use of consumer/residential fireworks on specific holidays only, which are Victoria Day, Canada Day, Diwali and New Year’s Eve, however, a firework permit must first be obtained on-line. Consumer/residential fireworks (i.e. personal/family fireworks) are not allowed on a property that is less than 18 metres (60 feet) wide at the front. Additionally, the City of Brampton's Fireworks By-law strictly prohibits fireworks on school property, in parks and on any City land, including streets and sidewalks.

In 2015, there were thirty-nine (39) vendors selling consumer/residential fireworks in Brampton. The current by-law provides no restrictions on the sale of consumer/residential fireworks.

Current Situation:
A large percentage of consumer/residential fireworks are set-off without a valid firework permit within the City of Brampton. This, along with reported nuisance concerns from residents, has resulted in a high number of by-law enforcement calls. More importantly, this poses an increased risk to the health and safety of citizens and their property. Additionally, incidents have occurred, whereby fires have been ignited as a result of the misuse and improper disposal of fireworks.

Based on the restrictions set out within the Fireworks By-law 147-2006, less than 20% of homes within the City of Brampton are eligible to obtain a fireworks permit. An outright ban of the use of consumer/residential fireworks would therefore impact a small number of property owners, who currently hold valid permits (see Appendix A: 8.11-2.)
2015 Fireworks Licensing Totals). The current by-law and its application are often confusing to the residents, who are uncertain whether the use of consumer/residential fireworks is legal or illegal.

Based on the resolution analysis, it has been determined that four changes to the existing Fireworks By-Law are required to better facilitate the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks in the City of Brampton:

1. Ban the sale and use of all fireworks for consumer/residential use that would reasonably be expected to travel or pose a hazard more than three (3) metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition. These fireworks would include, but are not limited to:
   - Roman candles
   - Flying lanterns
   - Barrages
   - Bombshells
   - Cakes
   - Comets
   - Mines
   - Missiles
   - Skyrockets

   The ban will discourage the use of fireworks that will likely be projected over another person’s property, structure or vehicle.

2. Allow the use and sale of consumer/residential fireworks (short-range fireworks), which do not travel more than three (3) metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition, without the need for a permit. These fireworks could include, but are not limited to:
   - Fountains
   - Wheels
   - Ground Spinners
   - Burning School Houses
   - Flying Ghosts
   - Sparklers

   This allowance will encourage Brampton citizens to purchase their consumer/residential fireworks in Brampton, where a ban of prohibited consumer/residential fireworks is in place.

3. Mandate the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks by:
   - requiring a container of water or charged hose line be available, and readily accessible, when using sparklers,
   - requiring that after using sparklers, the expired sparklers must be placed into a container of water to fully cool before disposal, and
   - requiring that all fireworks materials must be allowed to fully cool before disposal.

   Brampton citizens will be encouraged to use consumer/residential fireworks safely, and these messages will be broadcast by means of a Communications Strategy.

4. Mandating greater restrictions on vendors and the sale of consumer/residential fireworks including:
   - forbidding vendors from the sale of prohibited fireworks, and
   - requiring vendors to attend annual training, and
• requiring vendors to pay an annual licensing fee of $1,600 to $2,000

Vendors will have a pivotal role in ensuring the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks. Enforcement at this point will have the greatest impact.

Public Outreach:
Staff has met with Community Faith Leaders at a number of Mandirs and Gurdwaras. Some Sikh Leaders expressed a concern that a City-sponsored fireworks display on Diwali would draw worshippers away from the Gurdwaras.

Staff also met with members of the Canadian National Fireworks Association to hear their concerns about possible changes to the Fireworks By-Law. Staff hosted two public sessions to hear the concerns of the public at large regarding fireworks.

Corporate Implications:
With a partial ban on the sale of consumer/residential fireworks, a greater demand will be placed on By-Law Enforcement to enforce the ban at the vendor level. This is expected to result in a lowered use of consumer/residential fireworks, and a lowered level of complaints from consumer/residential use of fireworks.

As well, some members of the public may voice concerns over the ban, which includes commercial vendors, who will no longer be permitted to sell certain consumer/residential fireworks in the City of Brampton. As a result, a loss of revenue may be cited by vendors.

Financial Implications:
Should the City of Brampton move forward with the option to host additional firework events, such as public Diwali firework displays, a budget increase will be required. The cost for a single event is estimated at $375,000. Alternatively, a private/public arrangement could be considered which would have varying costs (see Appendix D – City Hosted Diwali Option Summary).

The Fireworks By-law amendment will result in new funding for the following items:
  - Communications campaign: To ensure that the public are informed about the changes to the Fireworks By-law. The cost of the campaign is estimated to be between $21,000 and $47,000 per year (see Appendix B: Fireworks communications budget estimate, as of June 14, 2016).
  - Enforcement blitz: Ensure the public understands the changes, and to ensure compliance. Two fireworks enforcement blitz models have been identified which range in cost from $18,000 for a low level blitz to $47,000 for a high level blitz (see Appendix C: Fireworks Enforcement Blitz Cost Estimates).

Based on Council decision, staff will report back on the Fireworks By-law amendment financial impacts in greater detail.

Strategic Plan:
This report achieves the Strategic Plan priorities by demonstrating good government in the strengthened protection, health and safety of our citizens and their property.
Conclusion:
It is recommended that the City of Brampton amend the existing Fireworks By-law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013 to ban the sale and use of all fireworks for consumer/residential use that would reasonably be expected to travel or pose a hazard more than three (3) metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition; allow the use of consumer/residential fireworks, which do not travel more than three (3) metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition, without the need for a permit; mandate the safe use of consumer/residential fireworks; and provide greater restrictions on fireworks vendors. Additionally, it is recommended that a city hosted Diwali fireworks display not be considered at this time.

Approved by:Michael Clark, Fire Chief, Fire and Emergency Services
Approved by:Julian Patteson, Chief Public Services Officer

Attachments:
Appendix A: 2015 Fireworks Licensing Totals, 2015 Fireworks Complaints and Charges Totals
Appendix B: Fireworks Communications Budget Estimate (as of June 14, 2016)
Appendix C: Fireworks Enforcement Blitz Cost Estimates
Appendix D: City Hosted Diwali Option Summary
### Appendix A - 2015 Fireworks Licencing Totals, 2015 Fireworks Complaints and Charges Totals

#### 2015 Fireworks Licencing Totals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Submitted</th>
<th>Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diwali</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Year’s Eve</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2015 Fireworks Complaints and Charges Totals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day Weekend</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day Weekend</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diwali</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Year’s Eve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B - Fireworks Communications Budget Estimate (as of June 14, 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campaign/Topic</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Multiplier Per Year</th>
<th>Total Costs (tax excluded)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAID ADVERTISING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print ad - mainstream</td>
<td>Brampton Guardian (quarter page colour) x 1 ad</td>
<td>$1,420</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print ad - community</td>
<td>SNAP community paper (quarter page colour ad)</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print ad - ethnic</td>
<td>Ethnic media (quarter page colour) in 5 publications including translation</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty - transit</td>
<td>Bus shelter ad (4 weeks, 20 shelters)</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty - transit</td>
<td>Bus sides ad (four weeks, 20 sides)</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty - mail</td>
<td>Shoppers World posters 36”x48” - qty 2</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty - mail</td>
<td>Poster in Bramalea City Centre Mall 4 weeks $575 each x 2 qty</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty - hospital</td>
<td>Posters in Brampton Civic Hospital (sanitizer stations) - 2 posters for a month</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digital</strong></td>
<td>Facebook ads</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Guardian digital ad (on website) for five days</td>
<td>$1,125</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Flyer 3,000 with translation into Punjabi, Gujarati, Hindi (approx 350 words) (distributed to vendors to distribute with sale of fireworks)</td>
<td>$525</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Pop-up banner qty 6 placed in key Rec Centres</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Tax bill insert (buckslip sent along with tax bills to 150,000 residents)</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNPAID MESSAGING</strong> (note: printing of up to 3,000 qty is undertaken in-house at no cost)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Posters for vendors to display at their stores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Posters put up in Rec Centres, libraries and City offices, 80 nos.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print collaterals</td>
<td>Article in Council newsletters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Web tile on homepage of City website. Links to fireworks page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Tile on TV screens in Rec Centres and City Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>LED signs at Gage Park, City parking garages, Rose Theatre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>City Matters bulletin on Rogers TV (30-sec message) + City website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>City Matters electronic newsletter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Social media messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Video (produced in-house)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Demo event to show safe use of fireworks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEDIA RELATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Media roundtable with Fire Chief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>Interviews with Fire Chief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Media release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Matte story (i.e. feature-style educational stories)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Service and Info Update (as required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,990.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,445.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual Cost Estimate is between $21,000.00 and $47,0000
## Appendix C - Fireworks Enforcement Blitz Cost Estimates

### FIREWORKS ENFORCEMENT BLITZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIGH LEVEL BLITZ</th>
<th>LOW LEVEL BLITZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Patrols</td>
<td>Use of Fireworks Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Years Eve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 additional staff</td>
<td>0 additional staff</td>
<td>0 additional staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrols conducted with 7 - 14 staff members on duty</td>
<td>Patrols conducted with 7 - 14 staff members on duty</td>
<td>Patrols conducted with 2 staff members on duty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0 Cost</td>
<td>$0 Cost</td>
<td>$0 Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day</td>
<td>2 additional officers working 8 hours per day x 7 days dedicated to vendor inspections</td>
<td>4 additional officers working 8 hours on the event night in addition to our regular patrols inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,864.48</td>
<td>$1,461.28</td>
<td>$3,432.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day</td>
<td>4 additional officers working 8 hours per day x 7 days dedicated to vendor inspections</td>
<td>6 additional officers working 8 hours on the event night in addition to our regular patrols inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$13,728.96</td>
<td>$2,941.92</td>
<td>$3,432.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diwali</td>
<td>4 additional officers working 8 hours per day x 7 days dedicated to vendor inspections</td>
<td>8 additional officers working 8 hours on the event night in addition to our regular patrols inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$13,728.96</td>
<td>$7,599.96</td>
<td>$3,432.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL COST** $46,825.56 **TOTAL COST** $18,141.84

**NOTE:** Annual cost based on 2016 labour rates
### Appendix D – City Host Diwali Option Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Description</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Estimated Costs to the City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community / Private Model</td>
<td>• Connectivity to residents</td>
<td>• Untested event</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Rental agreement)</td>
<td>• Community involvement</td>
<td>• Risk &amp; Liability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Private Funding</td>
<td>• Lack of City control over event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Brampton Event Model</td>
<td>• Proven event hosts</td>
<td>• Additional Event w/costs to taxpayers</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transparency</td>
<td>• Increased staff time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community / City Partnership Model</td>
<td>• Cost effective</td>
<td>• Precedent setting</td>
<td>Costs based on the RFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• City-wide circulation</td>
<td>• In-kind contributions would be forgone revenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Events City / Community Partnership Model</td>
<td>• Greater reach</td>
<td>• Greater demand on staff and equipment resources</td>
<td>Costs based on each neighborhood’s capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• City-wide participation</td>
<td>• Extra expense for Security / Police / Safety resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Each venue would be scaled to neighbourhood capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 2016-06-17

Subject: Report to Authorize Execution of Certain Encroachment Agreements by Delegated Authority and to Amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended

Contact: Vicki Wong, Acting Manager, Realty Services, 905.874.3449, vicki.wong@brampton.ca

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Vicki Wong, Acting Manager, Realty Services, dated June 17, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Authorization Execution of Certain Encroachment Agreements by Delegated Authority and to Amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, be received; and

2. That a by-law be passed:

   a. to delegate to the Chief of the Client Department, as defined in By-law 191-2011, the authority to execute, on behalf of the City, any Encroachment Agreement in respect of which the Encroachment Charge is being waived or is otherwise being made for less than market value consideration and one or more of the following circumstances apply:

      i. the Encroachment Charge is waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014;

      ii. the encroachment does not physically enclose any City property;

      iii. conditions of a development approval require the gratuitous conveyance of lands to the City and an agreement from the City permitting an encroachment to be constructed on the same lands or part(s) thereof; or

      iv. the encroachment has resulted from the City’s acquisition of property from the encroacher; and

   b. to further amend Schedule “B” of By-law 191-2011, as amended, to effect the above described delegation of authority.
Overview:

- Office Consolidation Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended, authorizes the delegation of certain powers and duties under the Municipal Act, 2001, the Planning Act and other Acts.

- Schedule “B” to By-law 191-2011 defines an Occupancy Agreement to include an Encroachment Agreement and provides delegated authority to execute Occupancy Agreements only if they are at current market value. There is one exception to the market value requirement; if the party to the agreement has affiliate status, the rent may be at less than market value. Authority to execute encroachment agreements at less than market value is not specifically authorized by By-law 191-2011.

- By-law 224-2014 authorizes the waiver of encroachment charges in connection with certain long-standing boulevard encroachments. An encroachment charge is the equivalent of fair market “rent”, as estimated by Realty staff, payable by an encroacher for use of City land in connection with encroaching features.

- This report is recommending that a by-law be passed to further amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended, to authorize the execution by delegated authority of Encroachment Agreements at less than current market value in circumstances where encroachment charges are waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014 and in other specified circumstances.

- Passage of the recommended by-law does not have any additional financial implications.

Background:

The City’s Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended, authorizes, among other things, the execution of certain real estate documents by delegated authority. Occupancy Agreements, which include by definition, Encroachment Agreements, may be executed by delegated authority if the rent generated by the agreement is at current market value.

By-law 224-2014 authorizes the waiver of encroachment charges in connection with certain long-standing boulevard encroachments. The by-law, as worded, authorizes the waiving of the encroachment charge when certain criteria are met, but it doesn’t authorize the execution of the encroachment agreement emanating from the circumstance of the waiver of the encroachment charge.

In addition to the waiver of encroachment charges pursuant to By-law 224-2014, there are other circumstances identified by staff for which Realty staff is of the
opinion that it is fair and reasonable that no encroachment charge be applied. Such circumstances are described below.

**Current Situation:**

In order to address the matter of execution of encroachment agreements by delegated authority wherein the fee has been waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014 and in other circumstances that require an encroachment agreement to be given for less than fair market value, staff is recommending an amendment to the Delegation of Authority By-law. The content of the proposed by-law is attached as Appendix A to this report. The proposed amendment will address encroachment circumstances in which it is deemed fair and reasonable to not impose a market value encroachment charge, and which market value charge has, by common practice, not been imposed.

The encroachment circumstances, which staff deems should not elicit a market value charge, include the following:

1. encroachments for which the encroachment charge has been waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014, for example, a hedge that has been located on City property prior to Spring 2004, or

2. encroachments that do not physically enclose any City property, for example, a dividing fence between semi-detached units that extends into the City boulevard, or

3. encroachments on lands gratuitously conveyed to the City where both the agreement in connection with the encroachment and the gratuitous land conveyance are conditions of a development approval, for example, to permit the construction of stairs in front of a building that would extend into a land parcel gratuitously conveyed by the developer to the City for boulevard purposes, or

4. encroachments resulting from the City’s acquisition of property from the encroacher, for example, a farm fence located on a land parcel acquired by the City across the frontage of an agricultural property for the widening of a road right-of-way.

The proposed by-law to further amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended, will authorize delegated authority to execute encroachment agreements at less than market value in any one of the circumstances described above.

**Corporate Implications:**

**Financial Implications:**

The recommendation of this report regularizes the existing common practice of not imposing a market value encroachment charge in certain circumstances, by delegating authority for execution of encroachment agreements at less than market value. There are no new financial implications attributable to this proposed by-law.
Legal Implications:
Legal Services will review any encroachment agreement to be signed pursuant to the delegated authority recommended by this report in connection with the approval of such agreement as to form.

Strategic Plan:
This report achieves the Strategic Plan priority of Good Government, specifically the goal of practicing proactive, effective and responsible management of municipal assets and services.

Conclusion:
Passage of the recommended by-law does not change current practices or have any additional financial implications. The purpose of the amending by-law is solely to authorize delegated authority to execute encroachment agreements for which the encroachment charge has been waived or reduced from fair market value as described in this report.

Approved by:       Approved by:

Vicki Wong, Acting Manager, Realty Services
Al Meneses
Executive Director, Facility Services

Attachments:
Appendix A - Content of Proposed By-law to amend Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended

Report authored by: Ann Pritchard, Manager, Realty Services
Appendix A
Content of Proposed By-law to amend
Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011, as amended.

Proposed By-law:

To delegate certain powers under the Municipal Act, 2001 relating to the execution of Encroachment Agreements and to amend By-law 191-2011, as amended

WHEREAS section 23.1 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25 confirms the authority of a municipality to delegate its powers and duties, subject to certain restrictions;

AND WHEREAS Delegation of Authority By-law 191-2011 consolidates delegations of authority under various By-laws of The Corporation of the City of Brampton;

AND WHEREAS Schedule “B” to By-law 191-2011 defines an Occupancy Agreement to include an Encroachment Agreement and provides delegated authority to execute Occupancy Agreements only if they are for current market value unless being given to a party having affiliate status according to the City’s Community Affiliation Policy and otherwise only provides delegated authority for disposals at less than fair market value to a Government Organization as defined therein;

AND WHEREAS the City’s Standard Operating Procedure for Encroachment Regularization provides for the determination of current market value to be charged as an Encroachment Charge and also provides for the waiver of the Encroachment Charge in certain circumstances;

AND WHEREAS City By-law 224-2014 authorizes that Manager of Realty Services to waive the Encroachment Charge for certain additional circumstances;

AND WHEREAS it is considered expedient to provide for additional delegated authority to execute Encroachment Agreements in those circumstances where the Encroachment Charge is waived;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton HEREBY ENACTS as follows:

1. THAT subject to section 2 hereof, the Chief of the Client Department, as defined in By-law 191-2011, is hereby delegated the authority to execute, on behalf of the City, any Encroachment Agreement in respect of which the Encroachment Charge is being waived or is otherwise being made for less than market value consideration and one or more of the following circumstances apply:

   a. the Encroachment Charge is waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014;
b. the encroachment does not physically enclose any City property;

c. conditions of a development approval require the gratuitous conveyance of lands to the City and an agreement from the City permitting an encroachment to be constructed on the same lands or part(s) thereof; or

d. the encroachment has resulted from the City’s acquisition of property from the encroacher.

2. THAT sections 2 to 9 of By-law 191-2011 shall apply to the delegation authorized pursuant to section 1 hereof, mutatis mutandis.

1. THAT Schedule “B” of By-law 191-2011, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding the following as Activity No. 13:

   Encroachment Agreements between City and an Encroacher in respect of which the Encroachment Charge is being waived or is otherwise being made for less than market value consideration and one or more of the following circumstances apply:

   (i) the Encroachment Charge is waived pursuant to By-law 224-2014;

   (ii) the encroachment does not physically enclose any City property;

   (iii) conditions of a development approval require the gratuitous conveyance of lands to the City and an agreement from the City permitting an encroachment to be constructed on the same lands or part(s) thereof; or

   (iv) the encroachment has resulted from the City’s acquisition of property from the encroacher;

and inserting “Chief of Client Department” in the Delegate column opposite thereto.
Date: 2016-06-01
File: IB.c
Subject: Request to Enter into Cost Sharing Agreement with Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning for Construction of On-Site Bus Loop
Contact: Chris Lafleur, A.Sc.T, Project Leader, Züm, Transit, Public Services 905.874.2750 ext. 62620, chris.lafleur@brampton.ca

Recommendations:

1. That the report from Suzanne Connor, Executive Director, Transit, Public Services Department, dated June 1, 2016, to the Council Meeting of July 6, 2016, re: Request to Enter into Cost Sharing Agreement with Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning for Construction of On-Site Bus Loop be received; and

2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to enter into an agreement with The Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning for sharing certain construction and other costs and securing rights to operate City transit services within the Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning Bus Loop and any other related agreements as may be necessary, all on such terms and conditions acceptable to the Chief of Public Services and in a form of agreement acceptable to the City Solicitor.

Overview:

- This report is to obtain Council authorization to enter into a cost sharing agreement with Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning to construct a bus loop on their property.

- The bus loop will complete the Steeles Avenue West portion of the Züm Phase II project.

- The construction work will include, the construction of a concrete bus platform, asphalt bus loop, sidewalks, lighting, a transit only traffic signal east of McLaughlin Road, an exit-only access to McLaughlin Road and installation of a Züm shelter and other transit amenities. The City will be responsible for costs associated with construction within the public right-of-way and a major Züm Station Stop. Sheridan College will be responsible for all associated construction costs on their property.
• Entering into this cost sharing agreement with Sheridan College allows for greatly improved access to the College for transit customers at no additional cost to the City of Brampton. The same amount of funds which would have been spent on Steeles Avenue West, to build two (2) Züm stations at the intersection of McLaughlin Road and Steeles Avenue, would be reallocated to assist in the construction a bus loop at Sheridan College.

• The tender cost for the bus loop is $1.47 million dollars, including contingency and excluding taxes. The City’s share is approximately 44% of the tender cost.

Background:

The City of Brampton’s Züm Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) program began operation on September 20, 2010, with the introduction of the Queen Street service.

The Züm BRT service is operated with distinctive, high quality vehicles with advanced intelligent transportation systems (ITS) providing real-time passenger information and service control. The BRT service operates on the City’s key north-south and east-west arterial corridors, servicing station stops with clearly branded shelters, equipped with passenger amenities and real-time information displays.

The introduction of the Züm BRT is separated into two phases. Phase I works was completed in 2012 with the introduction of Züm Steeles bus services from Main Street to Humber College. Phase II introduced Züm services on Bovaird Drive in 2014, Steeles Avenue West in 2015 and it will be introduced on Queen Street West this fall.

Through the design phase of Steeles Avenue West Züm; Brampton staff was approached by Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning to relocate the proposed Züm Stations from the intersection of McLaughlin Road and Steeles Avenue to a proposed bus loop located within Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning property. Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning staff expressed an interest in improving student circulation and transit access on their campus.

Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning entered into the City of Brampton’s site plan process for the proposed bus loop in November of 2014, and obtained site plan approval in spring of 2015. See site plan attached in Appendix A.

Introducing a bus loop onto Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning allows for Brampton Transit to access the site with more frequent services including Züm Steeles. This will provide better/safer transit connections for students of Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning.
In addition the majority of transit customers presently using the existing bus stops at McLaughlin Road and Steeles Avenue are destined to Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning’s Davis Campus or they are transferring to other services at this intersection. These customers are better served by moving the Züm station on campus. Local bus stops located just west of McLaughlin Road will maintain transit service for transit customers destined to other areas.

The same amount of funds that would have been spent on street to build two (2) Züm stations at the intersection of McLaughlin Road and Steeles Avenue would be reallocated to assist in the construction of a bus loop at Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning.

**Current Situation:**

Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning has completed their procurement for the construction of a bus loop on their property. Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning must follow their own provincially mandated guidelines for retaining a contractor which includes a competitive bid process similar to the City of Brampton’s.

Through the cost sharing agreement and legal agreement, the City of Brampton will reimburse Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning for costs associated with works within the municipal right-of-way and obtain access rights on Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning property through permanent easements. Discussions on the agreement between the City of Brampton and Sheridan College have been progressing well. It is hoped that a final agreement can be achieved by September.

The following outline the scope of the construction project:

**Bus Loop**

All costs for construction of the bus loop are to be the sole responsibility of Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning. This construction will include works on Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning property as follows:

- Asphalt bus loop
- Sidewalks
- Hydro and Communication ducts

**Works within the Municipal Boulevard**

All costs for construction for works required within the municipal boulevard will be the sole responsibility of the City of Brampton, through the Züm Phase II funding agreement. The construction within the municipal boulevard will include but not be limited to the following:
Intersection improvements at the existing Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning access on Steeles Avenue:
  - A bus-only left-in will be added to the existing right-in/right-out configuration;
  - A transit only signal will be installed to improve bus circulation

An additional bus only access will be constructed on McLaughlin Road south of Steeles Avenue to improve bus circulation. This access will be restricted to “Exit Only”

Station Stop

A major Züm station stop and concrete bus platform will also be installed on-site at the sole cost to the City of Brampton through the Züm Phase II funding agreement.

Project Budget

The estimated costs for bus loop, works within the municipal boulevard and station stop is listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus Loop (Sheridan)</td>
<td>$827,258.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works Municipal Boulevard (City)</td>
<td>$354,306.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Stops (City)</td>
<td>$287,540.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,469,113.38</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corporate Services Comments:**

Legal Services will review the agreement with Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning and approve as to form.

**Financial Comments:** *(For Total Cumulative Contract Increases $100,000.00 and greater)*

Funding for the construction cost estimate of $354,306.60 (pre-tax, including contingency) for the installation of Züm Infrastructure at Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning will be funded from AcceleRide capital project activity **124800-001 (Roadway Intersections)**. Funding for the detailed design, fabrication and installation at the cost of $287,540.92 (pre-tax, including contingency) of the Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning Station Stop will be funded from AcceleRide project activity **124800-002 (Station Stops)**. Although there is currently insufficient funding available in the project activity 124800-002, there is sufficient funding available in the overall project **124800** to approve the shortfall. There is currently adequate funding approved and available to carry out the project work at the estimated combined cost of $641,847.52(pre-tax, including contingency) as identified in this report.

The table below indicates the original budget, expenditures and/or commitments to date, and balance available:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project #</th>
<th>Program #</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures and/or Commitments to Date**</th>
<th>Balance Available</th>
<th>Commitment*</th>
<th>Budget Balance Remaining***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124800</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>12,935,000</td>
<td>10,211,787</td>
<td>2,723,213</td>
<td>360,542</td>
<td>2,362,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124800</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>12,885,000</td>
<td>14,942,642</td>
<td>(2,057,642)</td>
<td>292,602</td>
<td>(2,350,244)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes 1.76% of HST impact.
*Includes commitments as per People Soft status
***Overall balance in project # 124800 is $15,054,935 therefore, sufficient funding is available

**Conclusion:**

It is therefore recommended that the City enter into an agreement with The Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning for sharing certain construction and other costs and for securing rights to operate City transit services within the Sheridan College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning Bus Loop, and any other related agreements as may be necessary.

Approved by:      Approved by:
__________________________           ________________________
Chris Lafleur                 Suzanne Connor
Project Leader, Züm, Transit          Executive Director, Transit
Public Services Department                                        Public Services Department

Attachments:

Appendix A: Sheridan College Bus Loop Site Plan
APPENDIX A
Sheridan College Bus Loop Site Plan

Steeles Avenue

McLaughlin Road

Bus-only Traffic Signal

Bus Loop
Monday, June 20, 2016

Members:  
Regional Councillor E. Moore – Wards 1 and 5 (Chair)  
Regional Councillor G. Gibson – Wards 1 and 5  
Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6  
Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4  
Regional Councillor G. Miles – Wards 7 and 8  
Regional Councillor J. Sprovieri – Wards 9 and 10  
(Vice-Chair, Engineering and Construction)  
City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6  
City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4  
City Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8  
(Vice-Chair, Planning)  
City Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10  
(Vice-Chair, Maintenance and Operations)  

Members Absent:  
nil  

Staff Present:  
H. Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer  
Planning and Infrastructure Services Staff:  
J. Pitushka, Acting Chief, Planning and Infrastructure Services  
H. MacDonald, Acting Executive Director, Planning and Building  
C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects  
A. Parsons, Manager, Development Services  
D. Waters, Manager, Land Use Planning  
L. Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering  
M. Hoy, Acting Manager, Environmental Planning  
Corporate Services Staff:  
M. Rea, Legal Counsel, Litigation  
P. Fay, City Clerk  
S. Danton, Legislative Coordinator
Minutes
Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee

The meeting was called to order at 1:04 p.m., recessed at 3:36 p.m., reconvened at 3:50 p.m., and adjourned at 4:47 p.m.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

P&IS167-2016 That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Agenda for June 20, 2016, be approved, as amended as follows:

To add:

10.1. Discussion at the request of Regional Councillor Palleschi, re: Closed Session Procedures

To delete:


Carried

The following was received by the City Clerk’s Office after the agenda was printed and related to published items on the Agenda (Committee approval was not required for addition of these items in accordance with Procedure By-law 160-2004, as amended):

Re: **Item 5.1-1** - Delegation from Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives - Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station

- A copy of the presentation was provided

Re: **Item 6.2** - Report from N. Rea, Policy Planner, Planning & Building Division, re: Proposed Norval Quarry - Brampton Brick Ltd. - Ward 6 (File: C06W12.002)

Correspondence from:

- Janet Kuzniar, Norval pit-STOP Community Organization, dated June 17, 2016
- Sean Hamilton, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016
- Tim Lester, Norval resident, dated June 17, 2016
- Mary Pettingill, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016

Re: **Item 8.1** – Report from C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, dated May 30, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to

2016 06 20
Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101))

Delegations from:
- Andrew deGroot, Co-founder, OneBrampton
- Barbara Sweeney, Brampton resident
- Doug Bryden, Citizens for a Better Brampton
- Chris Bejnar, Citizens for a Better Brampton

Correspondence from:
- David Laing, Brampton resident, dated March 17, 2016
- Rachael and Jeff Chadwick, Brampton residents, dated June 17, 2016

Re: Item 8.9 - Report from A. Memon, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 22, 2016, re: Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 - Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5 (File BJ.x)
- Delegation from Suzy Godefroy, Downtown Brampton BIA

The following supplementary information was provided:

Re: Item 5.3 – Presentation by L. Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering, Engineering and Development Services, re: Stormwater Management User Fee
- A copy of the presentation was provided

Re: Item 5.4 – Presentation from Nick McDonald, Meridian Planning Consultants, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review
- A copy of the presentation was provided

Re: Item 7.1 - Report from M. Heralall, Environmental Engineer, Engineering and Development Services, dated May 18, 2016, re: Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-law Section 4.0 – Stormwater Management Financing Study
- A copy of the report was provided

2. Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act - nil
3. **Consent**

* The following items listed with an asterisk (*) were considered to be routine and non-controversial by the Committee and were approved at one time.

(6.1, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 6.10, 6.11, 6.12, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 8.11, 8.12, 9.1, 14.1)

(Item 6.1 was added to consent)
(Items 6.2, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, and 8.9 were removed from consent)

4. **Statutory Public Meeting Reports** - nil

5. **Delegations/Presentations**

5.1. Delegations re: **Light Rail Transit Alternatives - Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station**

- Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton
- Charles Brooks, Brampton resident
- Janina Brooks, Brampton resident
- Eloa Doner, Brampton resident
- Michael Faye, Brampton resident

Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton, stated his opinion that a larger network of LRT routes should be pursued by the City and noted transit ridership data.

Charles Brooks, Brampton resident, stated his opposition to the Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route.

Janina Brooks, Brampton resident, noted her objection to the Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route.

Eloa Doner, Brampton resident, outlined her concern for the proposed Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route.

Michael Faye, Brampton resident, expressed his opposition to the Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route.

Andrew deGroot, Co-Founder, OneBrampton, stated his concerns over the three proposed alternate routes.

Barbara Sweeney, Brampton resident, expressed opposition to the Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route.
Doug Bryden, Citizens for a Better Brampton, stated his opinion with respect to staff reports related to this matter.

Chris Bejnar, Citizens for a Better Brampton, expressed his view with respect to staff reports related to this matter.

Committee consideration of the matter included:

- Discussions regarding the viability of the proposed routes; Committee consensus to reject the Etobicoke Creek Valley Land route
- Current and past land use studies in the areas of the proposed routes
- Proactively studying alternate routes in the event of possible provincial financial support
- Estimated costs and construction timelines; LRT maintenance and operations
- LRT construction as it relates to existing infrastructure and potential impacts
- Intensification and economic benefits

In response to questions from Committee, staff provided details on the technical aspects and next steps with respect to the planning process and environmental assessments.

Item 8.1 was brought forward at this time.

An amendment to the recommendations was proposed that directs staff not to undertake an Environmental Assessment (EA) study for the two LRT alternative routes on Kennedy Road and McLaughlin Road from the Gateway Terminal to the Brampton GO station.

Recorded votes were requested on each of the clauses in the staff recommendations, including the proposed amendment and the motion was considered as follows:

P&IS168-2016 That the following delegations to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received:

1. Chris Drew, Co-Founder, Fight Gridlock in Brampton
2. Charles Brooks, Brampton resident
3. Janina Brooks, Brampton resident
4. Eloa Doner, Brampton resident
5. Michael Faye, Brampton resident
6. Andrew deGroot, Co-founder, OneBrampton
7. Barbara Sweeney, Brampton resident
8. Doug Bryden, Citizens for a Better Brampton
9. Chris Bejnar, Citizens for a Better Brampton

Carried

P&IS169-2016 That the report from Report from C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, dated May 30, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3** (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received.

Yea  Nay  Absent
Gibson  nil
Miles
Fortini
Bowman
Medeiros
Moore
Dhillon
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans
Jeffrey

Carried
11 Yeas
0 Nays
0 Absent

P&IS170-2016 That the Etobicoke Creek valley route be eliminated from further study due to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority’s (TRCA) decision on May 27, 2016 to not support the Light Rail Transit (LRT) route within the Etobicoke Creek valley as well as noncompliance with the Provincial policies and municipal planning and environmental policies.

Yea  Nay  Absent
Gibson  nil
Miles
Fortini
Bowman
Medeiros
Moore
Dhillon
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans
Jeffrey
P&IS171-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td>Sprovieri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td>Palleschi</td>
<td>Whillans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P&IS172-2016

That staff be directed to undertake an Environmental Assessment (EA) study for the two LRT alternative routes on Kennedy Road and McLaughlin Road from the Gateway Terminal to the Brampton GO station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yea</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortini</td>
<td>Medeiros</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Dhillon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried

11 Yeas
0 Nays
0 Absent

5 Yeas
6 Nays
0 Absent
P&IS173-2016 That staff be directed to prepare the Terms of Reference for the EA study with the evaluation criteria for the LRT alternative routes to include the most intensification opportunities in the central area, and the most economic benefit to the City of Brampton, in addition to other criteria associated with the EA process.

Yea    Nay    Absent
Gibson  Miles  nil
Fortini Medeiros
Bowman  Dhillon
Moore   Jeffrey
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans

Carried
7 Yeas
4 Nays
0 Absent

P&IS174-2016 That staff be directed to prepare a Request to Begin Procurement Report for a budget amendment to fund the EA study following preparation of the EA Terms of Reference.

Yea    Nay    Absent
Gibson  Miles  nil
Fortini Medeiros
Bowman  Dhillon
Moore   Jeffrey
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans

Carried
7 Yeas
4 Nays
0 Absent
P&IS175-2016 That the City Clerk be directed to forward a copy of the report and Council resolution to the City of Mississauga, Region of Peel, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Metrolinx, Ministry of Transportation, and Ministry of Environment and Climate Change for information.

Yea   Nay   Absent
Gibson   Medeiros   nil
Miles   Dhillon
Fortini   Jeffrey
Bowman
Moore
Sprovieri
Palleschi
Whillans

Carried
8 Yeas
3 Nays
0 Absent

P&IS176-2016 That the following correspondence to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3** (File IA.A (16-3130-101)) be received:

1. David Laing, Brampton resident, dated March 17, 2016
2. Rachael and Jeff Chadwick, Brampton residents, dated June 17, 2016

Carried

5.2. Delegation from Karen Bannister, Program Coordinator, Credit Valley Conservation, re: **Fletcher’s Creek SNAP (Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan)**

Mike Hoy, Acting Manager, Environmental Planning, introduced the delegation.

Karen Bannister, Program Coordinator, Credit Valley Conservation, provided an overview of the Fletcher’s Creek SNAP program.

Committee recognized the success of the SNAP program and requested that the Fred Kline Park be included in the program’s boundaries.

The following motion was considered:
P&IS177-2016 That the delegation from Karen Bannister, Program Coordinator, Credit Valley Conservation, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Fletcher’s Creek SNAP (Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan) be received.

Carried

5.3. Presentation by L. Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering, Engineering and Development Services, re: Stormwater Management User Fee

Laurian Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering, presented an overview of the Stormwater Management User Fee.

Item 7.1 was brought forward at this time.

Committee expressed support for the proposed user fee.

In response to questions from Committee, staff provided details on the technical aspects and next steps of the stormwater management financing study.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS178-2016 1. That the report from Michael Heralall, Environmental Engineer, Engineering and Development Services, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning & Infrastructure Services Committee meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-law Section 4.0 – Stormwater Management Financing Study, be received;

2. That the Purchasing Agent be authorized to begin the procurement to undertake the Stormwater Management Financing Study.

3. That the presentation by L. Farrell, Manager, Environmental Engineering, Engineering and Development Services, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Stormwater Management User Fee be received.

Carried
5.4. Presentation from Nick McDonald, Meridian Planning Consultants, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review

Nick McDonald, Meridian Planning Consultants, presented an overview of the City-Wide Secondary Plan review, including details on the technical aspects and next steps.

Item 6.1 was brought forward at this time.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS179-2016

1. That the report from C. LaRota, Policy Planner, Planning and Building, dated May 10, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review be received;

2. That staff be authorized to hold a statutory public meeting to present the City’s draft updated Secondary Plans in the form of Official Plan Amendments, and to report back to Council with the results of public consultation and a final recommendation.

3. That the presentation from Nick McDonald, Meridian Planning Consultants, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review be received.

Carried

6. Planning
   (Vice-Chair, City Councillor Fortini)

* 6.1. Report from C. LaRota, Policy Planner, Planning and Building, dated May 10, 2016, re: City-Wide Secondary Plan Review

   Dealt with under Item 5.4 – Recommendation P&IS179-2016


   In response to questions from Committee, staff provided details on the Planning Act requirements with respect to the subject matter.

   A motion was introduced that requested staff to ensure any new information received in regard to the matter is available for public access and review.
Staff was also requested to review the new information provided and report back to Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee, should staff analysis indicate the need for a peer review of any of the new information received, as well as report on the need and opportunities for further public input and participation in the matter.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS180-2016
1. That the report from N. Rea, Policy Planner, Planning and Building Division, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Proposed Norval Quarry - Brampton Brick Ltd. - Ward 6 (File: C06W12.002) be received;

2. That staff be requested to ensure any new information received in regard to this matter is available for public access and review;

3. That staff be requested to review the new information provided and report back to Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee should staff analysis indicate the need for a peer review of any of the new information received, as well as report on the need and opportunities for further public input and participation in this matter.

4. That the following correspondence to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Proposed Norval Quarry - Brampton Brick Ltd. - Ward 6 (File: C06W12.002) be received:
   2. Sean Hamilton, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016
   3. Tim Lester, Norval resident, dated June 17, 2016
   4. Mary Pettingill, Brampton resident, dated June 17, 2016

Carried

6.3. Report from J. Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning Policy and Growth Management, dated May 20, 2016, re: Central Area Master Plan - Wards 1,3 and 7 (File J.BA)

P&IS181-2016 That the report from J. Given, Manager, Growth Management and Special Policy, Planning Policy and Growth Management, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services
Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Central Area Master Plan - Wards 1, 3 and 7** (File J.BA), be received.

Carried

6.4. Report from P. Cooper, Policy Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016, re: **Town of Caledon OPA 222 Mayfield West Secondary Plan - Direction to Attend Pre-Hearing Conference and Request Party Status - Ward 2** (File: G70 CA)

In response to questions from Committee, staff provided planning and traffic details on the Mayfield West Secondary Plan.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS182-2016 1. That the report from P. Cooper, Policy Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Town of Caledon OPA 222 Mayfield West Secondary Plan - Direction to Attend Pre-Hearing Conference and Request Party Status - Ward 2** (File: G70 CA), be received;

2. That staff be directed to attend the pre-hearing conference on August 10, 2016 to request party status; and,

3. That a copy of the report and Council resolution be forwarded to the Region of Peel and the Town of Caledon for information.

Carried

6.5. Report from A. Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Building, dated May 24, 2016, re: **Reconstruction of the Robinson Barn at the Historic Bovaird House Site - Ward 1** (HE.x 563 Bovaird Dr E)

In response to a question from Committee, staff noted that parking provisions on the subject site will be addressed during the site plan process.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS183-2016 1. That the report from A. Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 24, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Reconstruction of the Robinson Barn**
at the Historic Bovaird House Site - Ward 1 (HE.x 563 Bovaird Dr E), be received; and,

2. That staff be directed to prepare a preliminary feasibility analysis and funding strategy, and report back to Council with the findings and a final recommendation for the barn.

Carried

6.6. Report from D. VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, dated May 18, 2016, re: City-Initiated Zoning By-law Amendment to Extend the Downtown Parking Exemption – Wards 1 and 3 (File P03 PA)

In response to questions from Committee, staff noted that parking requirements for Downtown business owners and patrons will be considered during a multi-departmental meeting on the subject matter.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS184-2016 1. That the report from D. VanderBerg, Central Area Planner, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: City-Initiated Zoning By-law Amendment to Extend the Downtown Parking Exemption – Wards 1 and 3 (File P03 PA), be received; and,

2. That a by-law be passed by City Council at its meeting of June 22, 2016, to amend the Zoning By-law to exempt downtown commercial uses from the By-law’s minimum parking requirements for a three year period ending on June 30, 2019.

Carried

* 6.7. Report from D. Jenkins, Development Planner, Development Services Division, dated May 18, 2016, re: Direction to Enter Into a Severance Agreement - Scottish Heather Development Inc. - Ward 6 (Files: B16-005 and C05W04.005)

P&IS185-2016 1. That the report from D. Jenkins, Development Planner, Development Services Division, dated May 18, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Direction to Enter Into a Severance Agreement - Scottish Heather Development Inc. - Ward 6 (Files: B16-005 and C05W04.005) be received; and,
2. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute a Severance Agreement in accordance with the Committee of Adjustment’s Decision for provisional consent (File: B16-005), with content satisfactory to the Chief Planning and Infrastructure Services Officer, and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor and that staff be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the terms of the Severance Agreement.

Carried


Dealt with under Approval of Agenda – Recommendation P&IS167-2016

* 6.9. Report from J. Kwan, Development Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016, re: Supplementary Report – Amendment to Subdivision Agreement - 1212949 Ontario Inc. c/o Glen Schnarr & Associates - Ward 6 (File C05W01.005)

P&IS186-2016 1. That the report from J. Kwan, Development Planner, Planning and Building Division, dated May 18, 2016 to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Supplementary Report – Amendment to Subdivision Agreement, 1212949 Ontario Inc. c/o Glen Schnarr & Associates, Ward: 6, File: C05W01.005 be received;

2. That staff be directed to amend the executed subdivision agreement in order to allow an increase in the number of building permits to a total of 273 that may be applied for on the lands between Levi Creek and Heritage Creek, of which 217 will be assigned to this subdivision; and

3. That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Amendment to the Subdivision Agreement in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor.

Carried

P&IS187-2016

1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 4, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property – 36 Fenton Way – Ward 10 (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the application for a permit to demolish the residential property located at 36 Fenton Way be approved;

3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

Carried


P&IS188-2016

1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 4, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Applications for Permits to Demolish Residential Properties – 19 and 21 Clarence Street – Ward 3 (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the applications for permits to demolish the residential properties located at 19 and 21 Clarence Street be approved;

3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

Carried

P&IS189-2016

1. That the report from A. Magnone, Regulatory Coordinator, Planning and Building Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Application for a Permit to Demolish a Residential Property – 106 Denison Avenue – Ward 1 (File G33-LA), be received;

2. That the application for a permit to demolish the residential property located at 106 Denison Avenue be approved;

3. That Peel Regional Police be advised of the issuance of a demolition permit for the property; and,

4. That the demolition of the dwelling must commence within six months of the issuance of the demolition permit otherwise the approval shall be deemed null and void.

Carried

7. Engineering and Construction
(Vice-Chair, Regional Councillor Sprovieri)

7.1. Report from M. Heralall, Environmental Engineer, Engineering and Development Services, dated May 18, 2016, re: Request to Begin Procurement – Purchasing By-law Section 4.0 – Stormwater Management Financing Study

Dealt with under Item 5.3. – Recommendation P&IS178-2016

8. Public Works
(Vice-Chair, City Councillor Dhillon)

8.1. Report from C. Duyvestyn, Director, Transportation Special Projects, dated May 30, 2016, re: Light Rail Transit Alternatives from Gateway Terminal to Brampton GO Station – Wards 1 and 3 (File IA.A (16-3130-101))

Dealt with under item 5.1 – Recommendations P&IS168-2016 to P&IS176-2016
8.2. Report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 7, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Program – City Wide (File I.AC)

P&IS190-2016 1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 7, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Program – City Wide (File I.AC), be received; and,

2. That staff proceed with the development of traffic calming plans for Richvale Drive North/Richvale Drive South (Kennedy Road North to Bovaird Drive East) and Mountainash Road (Countryside Drive to Peter Robertson Boulevard/ Mountainberry Road).

Carried

8.3. Report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 8, 2016, re: Arterial Road Speed Limit Review – James Potter Road (Ward 4) and Chinguacousy Road (Wards 4 and 5) (File I.AC)

P&IS191-2016 1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 8, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Arterial Road Speed Limit Review – James Potter Road (Ward 4) and Chinguacousy Road (Wards 4 and 5) (File I.AC) be received; and,

2. That By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to support the speed limit changes outlined within the report.

Carried

8.4. Report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations Division, Public Works Division, dated April 19, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Plan – Edenbrook Hill Drive (Sandalwood Parkway West to Bovaird Drive West) and Fernforest Drive (Sandalwood Parkway East to Bovaird Drive East) - Wards 6 and 9
P&IS192-2016 1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Road Maintenance and Operations Division, Public Works Division, dated April 19, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Traffic Calming Plan – Edenbrook Hill Drive (Sandalwood Parkway West to Bovaird Drive West) and Fernforest Drive (Sandalwood Parkway East to Bovaird Drive East) - Wards 6 and 9 be received; and,

2. That staff implement the recommended traffic calming measures for Edenbrook Hill Drive and Fernforest Drive as outlined in this report.

Carried


P&IS193-2016 1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Traffic Engineering Services, Maintenance and Operations Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Parking Related Issues – Frostweed Court - Ward 8 (File IA.C) be received; and,

2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to implement a “No Parking, 8:00 am - 10:00 am and 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm, Monday to Friday” restrictions along both sides of Frostweed Court.

Carried


P&IS194-2016 1. That the report from A. Bhatia, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: The Alternate Process for Consideration of All-way Stop Signs - Ward 10 (File I.AC) be received; and,
2. That all-way stop controls be implemented at the following intersection:
   - Whitwell Drive and Yukon Lane (Ward 10)

   Carried


  1. That the report from G. Miller, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **General Traffic By-law 93-93 - Administrative Update** (File G.DX / TRAF) be received; and,

  2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended.

   Carried


  That the report from E. Fagan, Manager, Parks Assets and Infrastructure, Public Works, dated May 26, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Purchasing By-Law Section 4.7 Contract Extensions that exceed $1 million – Contract No. 2013-059 - Provide all Labour, Materials & Equipment Necessary for the Construction of Concrete Pads at Various Locations Within the City of Brampton** (File HC.x) be received.

   Carried
8.9. Report from A. Memon, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 22, 2016, re: Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 - Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5 (File BJ.x)

Suzy Godefroy, Downtown Brampton BIA, requested amendments to the subject recommendations to extend the allotted time of various road closures for the Classic Cars Celebration 2016.

Committee consideration of the matter included consensus to extend the allotted time of various road closures for the event.

The following motion was considered:

P&IS197-2016

1. That the report from A. Memon, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated April 22, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 - Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5 (File BJ.x) be received;

2. That the closure of Wellington Street West between George Street South and Main Street South on Thursday, July 14, 2016, from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. be approved;

3. That the closure of George Street South between 30 metres north of Wellington St. W to a point 102 metres north of Wellington Street West from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Friday, July 15, 2016, be approved;

4. That the closure of Queen Street East/West between George Street and Theatre Lane/Chapel Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. be approved;

5. That the closure of Main Street South between Wellington Street and Clarence Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. be approved;

6. That the closure of Main Street North between Nelson Street West and Theatre Lane to Queen Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:30 p.m. to 11:59 p.m. be approved; and,

7. That the closure of Main Street South between Queen Street to Wellington Street on Saturday, July 16, 2016, from 1:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. be approved.
8. That the delegation from Suzy Godefroy, Downtown Brampton BIA, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Special Event Road Closure – Classic Cars Celebration 2016 - Wards 1, 3, 4 and 5** (File BJ.x) be received.

Carried


P&IS198-2016

1. That the report from C. King, Traffic Operations Supervisor, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 11, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **Torbram Road Truck Restriction** (File G.DX TRAF) be received; and,

2. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended.

Carried


P&IS199-2016

1. That the report from R. Moryc, Traffic Operations Technologist, Roads Maintenance and Operations, Public Works Division, dated May 13, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016 re: **2016 New School Infrastructure Improvements (File IA.C(STIA))**, be received; and

2. That the report be forwarded to the Peel District School Board and the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board to provide assistance in development of the 2016 school program;

3. That staff implement the measures outlined in the report to ensure orderly and safe school openings;

4. That the report be forwarded to the Region of Peel Traffic Engineering Services for information purposes; and,
5. That Traffic By-law 93-93, as amended, be further amended to provide for the necessary traffic controls to accommodate the opening of new schools for the 2016/2017 school year.

Carried

* 8.12. Report from D. Roeterink, Supervisor of Permits, Public Works Division, dated May 5, 2016, re: Publication Box Program (File BJ.X(NEWS)

P&IS200-2016 1. That the report from D. Roeterink, Supervisor of Permits, Public Works Division, dated May 5, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re Publication Box Program (File BJ.X(NEWS) be received; and

2. That the Committee direct staff to continue to work with the Publisher/Distributor to find appropriate, current Designated Areas for standard sized box placement and, if so required, establish new Designated Areas for standard Publication Distribution Boxes, all in accordance with Bylaw 281-2012.

Carried

9. Minutes


P&IS201-2016 That the Minutes – Brampton School Traffic Safety Council – June 2, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, Recommendations SC053-2016 to SC057-2016 be approved as printed and circulated.

Carried

The recommendations were approved as follows:

SC053-2016 That the agenda for the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council Meeting of June 2, 2016, be approved as amended to add the following item:

15.1. Marg Laramore, Assistant Crossing Guard Supervisor, re: Crossing Guard Appreciation Day.

SC054-2016 That the presentation by Adam Tompkins, Peel District School Board, to the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council meeting of
June 2, 2016, re: Turner Fenton Secondary School Construction, be received.

**SC055-2016**

1. That the correspondence from Satbir Kullar, Brampton resident, re: **Request for Site Inspection to Review Pedestrian / Traffic / Concerns / Humberwest Parkway/Fairlawn Boulevard /Daisylfield Boulevard - Fairlawn Public School – 40 Fairlawn Boulevard – Ward 10 (File BH.c) be received; and,**

2. That it is the position of the Brampton School Traffic Council that a site inspection is not required at this time.

**SC056-2016**

1. That the site inspection report to the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council meeting of June 2, 2016, re: **Roberta Bondar Public School – 30 Pantomine Boulevard, be received,** and

2. That the Region of Peel review the pedestrian signal times at the intersection of Mavis Road and Clementine Drive in August 2016, prior to the start of school, to ensure the appropriate timing is in place for students using the intersection; and,

3. That the Region of Peel review the area and determine if School Area signs would be appropriate on Mavis Road, north and south of Clementine Drive.

**SC057-2016**

That the Brampton School Traffic Safety Council do now adjourn to meet again on Thursday, September 1, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. or at the call of the Chair.

**10. Other/New Business/Unfinished Business**

**10.1 Discussion at the request of Regional Councillor Palleschi, re: Closed Session Procedures**

Regional Councillor Palleschi, in consultation with staff, requested that the matter be deleted from the agenda and noted it will be discussed at a future Closed Session meeting.

**P&IS202-2016**

That the Discussion at the request of Regional Councillor Palleschi, re: **Closed Session Procedures** be deleted from the agenda.

Carried
11. **Referred Matters** - nil

12. **Deferred Matters** - nil

13. **Notice of Motion** - nil

14. **Correspondence**

* 14.1. Correspondence from Jeanette and John Veira, Brampton residents, dated May 20, 2016, re: **2088 Embleton Road - Cash-in-lieu of Parkland Refund Request - Ward 6**

P&IS203-2016 That the correspondence from Jeanette and John Veira, Brampton residents, dated May 20, 2016, to the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting of June 20, 2016, re: **2088 Embleton Road - Cash-in-lieu of Parkland Refund Request - Ward 6** be received.

Carried

15. **Councillors Question Period** - nil

16. **Public Question Period** - nil

17. **Closed Session** - nil

18. **Adjournment**

P&IS204-2016 That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee Meeting date of July 4, 2016, be cancelled, and;

That the Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee do now adjourn to meet again on Monday, September 12, 2016, at 7:00 p.m.

Carried
Tuesday, June 21, 2016

Members Present: Peter Dymond, Co-Chair
Paul Willoughby, Co-Chair
Michael Avis
Chris Bejnar
Harry Blackburn
Jeff Chalmers
Steve Collie
Herman Custodio
Kathryn Fowlston
Doug McLeod
Anthony Simone
Ken Wilde
City Councillor Doug Whillans – Wards 2 and 6

Members Absent: David Whyte (regrets)

Staff Present: Planning and Infrastructure Services:
Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator
Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator
Corporate Services:
Nupur Kotecha, Legal Counsel, Litigation
Peter Fay, City Clerk
Terri Brenton, Legislative Coordinator
The meeting was called to order at 7:03 p.m. and recessed at 7:45 p.m. The Board moved into Closed Session at 7:47 p.m., recessed at 8:02 p.m., reconvened in Open Session at 8:03 p.m. and adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

1. **Approval of Agenda**

   The following motion was considered.

   HB054-2016 That the agenda for the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016 be approved as printed and circulated.

   Carried

2. **Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act** – nil

3. **Previous Minutes**

   3.1. **Minutes – Brampton Heritage Board – May 17, 2016**

   The minutes were considered by Planning and Infrastructure Services Committee on June 6, 2016 and the recommendations were approved by Council on June 8, 2016.

   The minutes were provided for the Board's information.

4. **Consent** – nil

5. **Delegations/Presentations** – nil

6. **Sub-Committees** – nil

7. **Designation Program**

   7.1. **Proposed Designations**

   A list of properties proposed for heritage designation was included with the agenda for this meeting.
Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, responded to questions from the Board with respect to the status of the Main Street South Heritage Conservation District.

8. **Heritage Impact Assessments (HIA)** – nil

9. **Correspondence** – nil

10. **Other/New Business**

10.1. Report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 1, 2016, re: **Heritage Permit Application – 45 Railroad Street – Ward 1** (File HE.x).

Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided an overview of the subject report.

In response to questions from the Board, Ms. Kassaris, Jordan Molnar, Project Manager, ERA Architects Inc., and Paul Aldunate, Central Area Planner, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided information on the following:

- proposed construction timelines
- restoration plans for the south elevation of the heritage building
- salvaging of excess bricks
- proposed interpretative plan
- recognition of the former Copeland-Chatterson Loose-Leaf Ledger Factory, which was located on the property at one time

The following motion was considered.

HB055-2016

1. That the report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 1, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: **Heritage Permit Application – 45 Railroad Street – Ward 1** (HE.x), be received; and,

2. That the Heritage Permit application for 45 Railroad Street for a residential high-rise development that incorporates and rehabilitates the Copeland-Chatterson/Dominion Skate Building be approved, subject to the following conditions:

   a) That prior to the issuance of the Heritage Permit, the owner provide details regarding the masonry cleaning
approach to the satisfaction of the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services;

b) That prior to Site Plan approval, the owner provide financial securities in an amount and form satisfactory to the Executive Director of Planning, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan by ERA Architects dated May 27, 2016;

c) That prior to Site Plan approval, the owner submit a final Interpretation Plan to the satisfaction of the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services;

d) That the owner undertake all work in accordance with the approved Conservation Plan by ERA Architects dated May 27, 2016 and in compliance with all applicable laws having jurisdiction and by retaining all necessary permits prior to the release of the financial securities and to the satisfaction of the Executive Director of Planning, Planning and Infrastructure Services; and,

e) That prior to the release of the financial securities the owner shall provide a letter of substantial completion prepared and signed by a qualified heritage consultant confirming that the work has been completed in accordance with the Conservation Plan by ERA Architects dated May 27, 2016, that an appropriate standard of conservation has been maintained, and that the Interpretation Plan has been executed, to the satisfaction of the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services.

Carried
The following motion was considered.

HB056-2016

1. That the report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 7, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Designated Heritage Property Incentive Grant Application – 280 Main Street North – Ward 1 (HE.x), be received; and,

2. That the Designated Heritage Property Incentive Grant for 280 Main Street North for the front porch restoration be awarded for half of the costs not covered under the Façade Improvement Program, to a maximum of $5000.

Carried


Item 10.4 was brought forward and dealt with at this time.

Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided an overview of the subject reports.

In response to a question from the Board, Ms. Kassaris confirmed applicants may apply for a grant every two years, and that the owners received a grant in 2012 for work on the shutters.

The following motions were considered.

HB057-2016

1. That the report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 7, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Heritage Permit Application – 62 Union Street – Ward 1 (File HE.x), be received; and,

2. That the Heritage Permit Application for 62 Union Street to repair the stucco cladding be approved.

Carried
HB058-2016 1. That the report from Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 7, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Designated Heritage Property Incentive Grant Application – 62 Union Street – Ward 1 (File HE.x), be received; and,

2. That the Designated Heritage Property Incentive Grant application for 62 Union Street for the stucco restoration be approved, to a maximum of $5000.

Carried


Dealt with under Item 10.3 – Recommendation HB058-2016

See also Recommendation HB057-2016.


Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided an overview of the subject report.

The following motion was considered.

HB059-2016 1. That the report from Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 7, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Heritage Permit Application – Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act – 7746 Churchville Road – Ward 6 (File H.Ex.), be received; and,

2. That the Heritage Permit application for the property owner at 7746 Churchville Road for the construction of an addition be approved subject to the following conditions:
a. That the two windows on the addition facing Churchville Road be sash wood windows and that the final window composition be approved by the Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services;

b. That the segmentally arched windows on the proposed addition be replaced with flat, rectangular windows; and,

c. That the building cladding on the addition be wood siding. If possible, an examination of the original wood siding, currently covered with synthetic siding, should guide the selection for the width and profile of the new wood siding.

Carried


Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided an overview of the subject report.

Art Lucs, Artist, and Greg Peddie, Coordinator, Public Arts and Partnerships, Public Services, provided a 3D model of the public art project entitled “The River Drew Me Here”. At the request of the Board, Mr. Lucs provided a description of his art piece.

In response to questions from the Board, Ms. Minichillo, Mr. Lucs and Mr. Peddie provided information on the following:

- proposed location for the artwork within Sid Manser Park
- materials and coating on the art piece to protect it from vandalism
- City’s maintenance program for public art
- review of the artwork by the City’s Risk Management Division
- interpretative signage for the art piece
- proposed timelines for installation of the artwork

The following motion was considered.

HB060-2016 1. That the report from Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, dated June 7, 2016, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Heritage Permit Application – Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act – 7840 Creditview Road – Ward 6 (File HE.x), be received; and,
2. That the Recreation and Culture Division and Heritage Coordinator work collaboratively with the artist to finalize the location and detailed specifications for the art piece; and,

3. That the art be accompanied by interpretive signage; and,

4. That the Heritage Permit application submitted by the City of Brampton for the installation of public art in honour of Churchville’s 200th Anniversary be approved.

Carried

10.7. Verbal Report from Paul Willoughby, Co-Chair, re: Brampton Heritage/Art Task Force – Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness.

Paul Willoughby, Co-Chair, provided a verbal report on this matter, which included:
- the Brampton Heritage/Art Task Force was formed by the William Osler Health System (WOHS) to deal with commemorating the original Peel Memorial Hospital in the new Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness
- he and Stavroula Kassaris, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services are members of the Task Force, along with a representative from the Peel Art Gallery, Museum and Archives (PAMA), and the balance of members are from WOHS
- the Task Force is chaired by Ann Ford, Vice President, Facilities and Redevelopment, WOHS
- there is an extensive collection of materials related to the original hospital, including photographs, memorabilia, medical implements
- several areas of the new Centre will house various heritage displays
- the new Centre is scheduled to open in 2017

The following motion was considered.

HB061-2016 That the verbal report from Paul Willoughby, Co-Chair, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: Brampton Heritage/Art Task Force – Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness, be received.

Carried
10.8. Verbal Advisory from Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, re: **Churchville Heritage Conservation District Guidelines Update**.

Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, provided the following verbal advisory on this matter:
- City staff has received a draft of the Guidelines
- the Guidelines will be provided to Board Members in the near future for input
- comments from the Board will be consolidated with staff comments and forwarded to the consultant; following this, the draft Guidelines will be made available for public feedback

The following motion was considered.

**HB062-2016** That the verbal advisory from Antonietta Minichillo, Heritage Coordinator, Planning and Infrastructure Services, to the Brampton Heritage Board Meeting of June 21, 2016, re: **Churchville Heritage Conservation District Guidelines Update**, be received.

Carried

11. **Referred/Deferred Items** – nil

12. **Information Items**

For the Board’s information, Steve Collie, Board Member, provided details on the interior tour of the new Carve restaurant that he and Paul Willoughby, Co-Chair, undertook recently.

13. **Question Period** – nil

14. **Public Question Period** – nil

15. **Closed Session**

The following motion was considered.

**HB063-2016** That the Brampton Heritage Board move into Closed Session to deal with a matter pertaining to:
15.1 Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board – conservation review board matter

Carried

16. **Adjournment**

The following motion was considered.

HB064-2016 That the Brampton Heritage Board do now adjourn to meet again on Tuesday, July 19, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

Co-Chair – Peter Dymond  Co-Chair – Paul Willoughby
June 3, 2016

Kathleen Wynne, Premier
Legislative Building
Queen’s Park
Toronto ON M7A 1A1

Bonnie Crombie, MBA, ICD.D
Mayor, City of Mississauga
T 905-896-5555  F 905-896-5879
mayor@mississauga.ca

City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
MISSISSAUGA ON L5B 3C1
mayorcrombie.ca

Dear Premier Wynne,

Re: Intensive Behavioural Intervention (IBI) Therapy – Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

At its meeting on May 25th, 2016 the Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga adopted the enclosed Resolution 0094-2016 with regards to reinstating funding for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in need of Intensive Behavioural Intervention (IBI) therapy regardless of age.

With the launch of a new Ontario Autism Program, which will see $333 million in funding over five years dedicated to children with ASD, Council recognizes that the Provincial Government has made important investments to support children with ASD. The program will eliminate a waitlist for Early Intensive Behaviour Intervention (EIBI) for children under the age of five meaning families will have access to important treatment sooner. However, changes to the existing program mean that children five and older are being taken off the waitlist or are being phased out of the programs and will not receive EIBI therapy. Families with children who have been on the waitlist for IBI services for many years are disproportionately impacted – their children have not had access to the best treatment options and will not receive these services as they are being discharged from the waiting list.

In lieu of IBI services for children five and older, the new Ontario Autism Program will provide one-time funding of $8,000 per child which is equivalent to roughly two months of IBI therapy. This therapy can cost upwards of $50,000 per year, per child. Autism experts, service providers and other advocates condemn the government’s new strategy and approach which ultimately leaves children vulnerable because of their age. We encourage the Province to support and invest in the most vulnerable members of our community. As stated in the United Nations Charter of Rights of Persons with Disabilities, persons with disabilities have the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health without discrimination on the basis of disability and people have a right to the services specifically required for the management of their disability – we would encourage you to uphold these values and support children with ASD equally, regardless of their age.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my office.
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Crombie
BONNIE CROMBIE, MBA., ICD.D
MAYOR

Cc: Hon. Tracy MacCharles, Minister, Child and Youth Services
    Patrick Brown, Leader, Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
    Andrea Horwath, Leader, Ontario New Democratic Party
    Mississauga MPPs
    Clerks, City of Mississauga
    Clerks, City of Brampton
    Clerks, Town of Caledon
    Peel Regional Council
    Peel District School Board
    Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board

Enc.
RESOLUTION 0094-2016
adopted by the Council of
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
at its meeting on May 25, 2016

0094-2016    Moved by: Pat Saito    Seconded by: Carolyn Parrish

Whereas the Provincial Government and the Ministry of Child and Youth Services announced $333 million over five years for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD);

And Whereas eliminating a wait list for Early Intensive Behaviour Intervention (EIBI) for the youngest children means families will have access to important treatment sooner. However families who have been on the waiting for Intensive Behavioural Intervention (IBI) services for many years are being doubly penalized by not having had access to the best early intervention for their children and by learning they will now not receive this service by being discharged from the waiting list;

And Whereas IBI therapy cost roughly $50,000 per year, per child. For many children who are now being taken off the wait list or phased out of the programs, the service will be replaced by one-time funding of $8,000 per child, equivalent to roughly two months of IBI therapy, to obtain services while transitioning to school and public supports services that are not related or compare to IBI;

And Whereas concerns from Autism experts, service providers and other advocates condemn the government's new strategy;

And Whereas the Province should be supporting and investing in the most vulnerable members of our community and is acting contrary to the United Nations Charter of Rights of Persons with Disabilities, persons with disabilities have the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health without discrimination on the basis of disability and also states that people have a right to the services specifically required for the management of their disability. These clauses are inclusive of all people;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Council of the City of Mississauga:

1. Stress to the Government of Ontario and the Ministry of Child and Youth Services the immediate need to reinstate adequate funding for children in need of IBI Therapy regardless of age and;

2. Provide copies of this motion to The Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario, The Honourable Tracy MacCharles, Minister of Child and Youth Services, MPP, Patrick Brown, Leader of the Conservative Party of Ontario and MPP, and Andrea Horwath, Leader of the Provincial New Democratic Party and;

3. Copies and request of endorsement of this motion to be forwarded to Peel Regional Council, City of Brampton, Town of Caledon, Peel District School Board, Dufferin Peel Catholic School Board and all local Mississauga MPPs.
## Recorded Vote

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSENT</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor B. Crombie</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor J. Tovey</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor K. Ras</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor C. Fonseca</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor J. Kovac</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor C. Parrish</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor R. Starr</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor N. Iannicca</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor M. Mahoney</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor P. Saito</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor S. McFadden</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor G. Carlson</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carried (12, 0) Unanimously**
June 3, 2016
Resolution Number 2016-442

The Honourable Dr. Helena Jaczec
Minister of Community and Social Services
Ministry of Community and Social Services
Hepburn Block, 6th Floor, 80 Grosvenor St
Toronto, ON M7A 1E9

Dear Minister:

Subject: Basic Income Guarantee Pilot

I am writing to advise that Regional Council approved the following resolution at its meeting held on Thursday, May 26, 2016:

Resolution 2016-442:

That the Regional Chair write to the Minister of Community and Social Services, on behalf of Regional Council, to advocate for the consideration of the Region of Peel as a pilot site for the Basic Income Guarantee study;

And further, that a copy of the report of the Commissioner of Human Services, titled “Basic Income Guarantee Pilot”, be forwarded to the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton, the Town of Caledon, the City of Kingston, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, and the Ontario Municipal Social Services Association for their information.

Please find enclosed a copy of the subject report for your information.

Peel’s unemployment rate for 2015 sits at 7.7 per cent – its lowest point in the last seven years. However, the sectors supporting the employment growth (i.e. trade, transportation and warehousing; and accommodation and food services) are sectors with the lowest hourly wages. We believe that this trend, combined with other factors are contributing to a continuation of elevated Ontario Works caseloads. This economic environment, and the mix of urban and rural characteristics, would make Peel Region a good candidate for the Basic Income Guarantee Pilot.

We would welcome the opportunity to discuss this with you.

Frank Dale
Regional Chair and Chief Executive Officer

FD:hg

c: Janice Sheehy, Commissioner, Human Services, Region of Peel
Also sent to:

Crystal Greer, City Clerk, City of Mississauga
Carey deGorter, Clerk, Town of Caledon
Peter Fay, City Clerk, City of Brampton
John Bolognino, City Clerk, City of Kingston
Association of Municipalities of Ontario
Ontario Municipal Social Services Association
DATE: May 18, 2016
REPORT TITLE: BASIC INCOME GUARANTEE PILOT
FROM: Janice Sheehy, Commissioner of Human Services

RECOMMENDATION

That the Regional Chair write to the Minister of Community and Social Services, on behalf of Regional Council, to advocate to the Province to consider the Region of Peel as a pilot site for the Basic Income Guarantee study;

And further, that a copy of this report and resolution be forwarded to the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton, the Town of Caledon, the City of Kingston, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario; and the Ontario Municipal Social Services Association for their information.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- In the 2016 Budget, the province announced a pilot to test a Basic Income Guarantee as part of the reform of the current social assistance system.
- The Basic Income Guarantee can be seen as a combination of other transfer and subsidy programs (e.g., child care benefits, housing subsidies, Ontario Works, Ontario Disability Support Program, etc.) in that it seeks to improve the quality of life for low-income people, including the working poor.
- Experiences from other jurisdictions (e.g., Manitoba, Canada and United States) indicate positive outcomes from basic income types of programs.
- The Region will benefit by having the provincial Basic Income Guarantee pilot in Peel since it would be based on local needs and conditions; this ultimately would lead to evidence-based decision making for future work.

DISCUSSION

1. Background

The Ontario government has focused its efforts on a comprehensive review of the social assistance system to effectively reduce poverty, support people to participate in the economy, and facilitate the delivery of human services. As part of the 2016 Budget, the province announced a pilot to try out the Basic Income Guarantee as a step forward to reform the current social assistance system.
2. Overview of Basic Income Guarantee

A Basic Income Guarantee is a transfer of funding from the government to residents to ensure their income does not fall below an amount needed to provide the basic necessities of life. Individuals and families would receive a monthly cheque from the government regardless of whether they are actively employed or seeking work. That cheque would replace employment insurance and many other types of social program benefits, e.g., child care benefits, housing subsidies, Ontario Works, as well as federal programs such as Old Age Security.

The benefits of the Basic Income Guarantee are many: increased financial capacity, improved physical and mental health, and enhanced quality of life for low-income people, including the working poor.

The research indicates that the Basic Income Guarantee can lead to a more efficient delivery of social services, less intrusive social services, and a reduction in the stigma associated with income support, all of which are associated with better family functioning and improved child development outcomes.

3. Province’s Basic Income Pilot

In the 2015 Budget, the province introduced a consultation on social assistance rate restructuring. Through ongoing discussions, a clear consensus has emerged on the need to move policy considerations beyond social assistance rates to include aspects of the broader income security system.

In the 2016 Budget, the province announced a pilot to try out the Basic Income Guarantee. It has yet to announce where it might run the pilot or provide any further details of the proposal, but has promised to work with communities, researchers, and other stakeholders in 2016 to determine how best to design and implement a pilot.

Region of Peel as a Site for Basic Income Pilot

Peel’s population has grown at 11.8 per cent between 2006 and 2011 to 1.3 million people; an average of 27,500 per year. Much of this population growth is driven by immigration, as Peel receives an average of 20,182 new immigrants every year.

Getting a job and being financially stable is a priority and a primary step for new immigrants’ successful integration in Canada. Immigrants’ success is also critical to Peel’s overall economic growth.

The report titled, “Peel’s Labour Market Update” identifies that Peel is recovering from the 2008-2009 recession and that the jobs lost during the recession have been regained, leading to a decline in Peel’s 2015 unemployment rate to 7.7 per cent - its lowest point in the last seven years. However, the sectors supporting the employment growth (i.e., trade; transportation and warehousing; and accommodation and food services) are sectors with the lowest hourly wages. Only about 60 per cent of Greater Toronto Hamilton Area workers (including Peel) have stable, secure jobs. In addition, the proportion of part-time jobs and self-employment has increased.
These trends are likely contributing to the continuation of elevated Ontario Works caseloads. In 2015, the average monthly caseload was 17,486 cases compared to 12,821 cases in 2009. Not everyone is benefiting from an improved labour market.

Peel Region would be a good candidate for a pilot, given these economic factors and the mix of urban and rural characteristics of its municipalities. Implementing a Basic Income Pilot in Peel would align well with the Term of Council Priority on stable employment, as well as with the work of the Peel Poverty Reduction Strategy, particularly the "Income Security" focus area of the strategy.

4. National Perspective on Basic Income Guarantee

The basic income concept is on the radar of the federal government as well. As part of the pre-budget hearings, the federal government invited a University of Manitoba economist to make a presentation on Basic Income Guarantee in February 2016, and heard testimony from lobby groups and community residents.

More recently in April 2016, Minister of Families, Children and Social Development noted that the Canada Child Benefit can be considered a form of an income guarantee for families as it is streamlined and provides a designated amount of money. The Minister also stated that the federal government will closely monitor the results of basic income pilots across the provinces to help support the development of a national poverty strategy. Both senior levels of government are currently examining the implementation and impacts of a Basic Income Guarantee and its applicability across Canada.

5. Experiences from Other Jurisdictions

a) American, Provincial and Municipal Examples

The idea of basic income goes back to 1960's. Between 1968 and 1980, studies were carried out in the United States to primarily look at the impact of a Basic Income Guarantee on the labour market. Among the research findings were positive effects on adult's continuing education and an improvement in children's elementary scores.

In Canada, between 1974 and 1979, a joint provincial/federal initiative named MINCOME was implemented in Dauphin, Manitoba. The initiative was designed to study the impact of guaranteed income on an entire community, including working age adults. Research results included:

- Significant decrease in hospitalizations, especially related to accidents / injury and mental health versus the comparison group;
- Decrease in physician contacts for mental health issues versus the comparison group;
- An increase in high school completion rate, particularly for boys.

MINCOME took place over 35 years ago and an updated pilot study could contribute to evidence-informed social policy change and lead the path to comprehensive reforms to the current social assistance system.

In December 2015, the City of Kingston council passed a resolution calling for the development of a Basic Income Guarantee for all Canadians. The resolution states the
BASIC INCOME GUARANTEE PILOT

City endorse a national discussion on the idea of a Basic Income Guarantee for all Canadians. It calls for the provincial and federal orders of government to take a leadership role in collaboratively designing the Basic Income Guarantee.

The Kingston resolution was forwarded to all municipalities in Ontario with the request to consider indicating their support for the initiative. The City of Kingston resolution was shared with Region of Peel Council members for information as part of the “Correspondence from Municipalities and Local Boards Outside of the Region of Peel” memo (Item 3) on February 3, 2016.

Following Kingston’s resolution, several municipalities in the province have passed similar resolutions, including the cities of Belleville, Peterborough, Cornwall, Welland, and public bodies such as Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Public Health.

b) European Examples

The Finish government and the Municipality of Utrecht, in The Netherlands, have both initiated projects related to the concept of basic income. Additionally, in Switzerland, a national referendum is scheduled for June 5, 2016; citizens will vote on a proposed amendment to the constitution that would require the federal government to implement an unconditional basic income with an “amount high enough for people to live off of in dignity”.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications of participating as a pilot site are not known at this time. If the Region of Peel were to be selected, staff would update Regional Council with further information.
CONCLUSION

The Basic Income Guarantee has the potential to bring improved financial capacity, quality of life, and health outcomes for low-income people, including the working poor. The Basic Income Guarantee pilot could also test whether a basic income would provide a more efficient way of delivering income support, and achieve savings in other areas, such as health care and housing supports.

Janice Sheehy, Commissioner of Human Services

Approved for Submission:

D. Szwarc, Chief Administrative Officer

For further information regarding this report, please contact Juliet Jackson at Juliet.Jackson.peelregion.ca or at 905-791-7800, Ext: 6741.

Authored By: Archana Vyas and Bill Nicholson
MEMO

Date: June 30, 2016
To: Mayor Jeffrey and Members of Council
From: Grant Gibson
Subject: Budget Committee- 2017 Budget Process

Attached is a memo from Peter Simmons, Chief Corporate Services Officer, outlining the general timeframe for the 2017 budget process. As Budget Committee Chair, I can confirm that through my consultations with you (as members of Budget Committee) and staff, that there were no issues raised regarding this proposed approach and process, and that we will be following this approach and timeline for the 2017 budget deliberations.

Grant Gibson
Chair, Budget Committee
Memo

To: Regional Councillor Grant Gibson, Budget Chair
From: Peter Simmons
cc: Harry Schlange, Peter Honeyborne, Peter Fay
Date: June 29, 2016
Re: 2017 Budget

As a follow-up to our recent conversations, we have prepared for your consideration and discussions with Council a proposed general timeframe for the 2017 budget. The content, process and timelines otherwise reflect, and are consistent with, your conversations with Council members, and the feedback received by staff during the 2016 budget post mortem, which was discussed at Corporate Services Committee on June 15, 2016.

Staff understand that there is a desire to compress the overall budget deliberations and approval timeframe. We are confident that the 2017 budget deliberations can be achieved inside the month of November with final approval of the budget in early December. This is a marked improvement over 2016, which included the entire month of October in the process.

Proposed 2017 budget preparation time frame:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Council Budget workshop</td>
<td>General budget guidelines, format for deliberations, and schedule. The</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(late)</td>
<td></td>
<td>workshop will also include a discussion on community engagement, e.g. style,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>content, and process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Budget preparation (Staff)</td>
<td>Staff preparation and assembly of documents and materials for November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>budget deliberations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Budget deliberations</td>
<td>Council budget deliberations - 4 meetings (4 in one week, or 2x2 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>depending on council availability and other commitments, e.g. Regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Budget approval</td>
<td>Approval by Council of the 2017 budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(early)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We trust the proposed time frame meets the expectations of yourself as Budget Chair, and that of Council, and we will keep you apprised of other details as we prepare for the September workshop.
The following item, listed on the Closed Session agenda for distribution prior to the meeting, has been distributed under separate cover to Members of Council and senior staff:

**Information re:**
21.7. Labour relations or employee negotiations – collective agreement matter

**Additional Business and Changes related to the Published Agenda (no vote required):**

- **Re: Item 5.2 (Announcement – Cheque Presentation to William Osler Health System)**
  - Revised list of WOHS representatives:
    - Matt Anderson, President and CEO
    - Dr. Frank Martino
  - Copy of presentation attached

- **Re: Item 6.1 (Delegation re Lorne Scots Regiment 150th Anniversary):**
  - Change in delegates from Lorne Scots Regiment:
    - Henry Verschuren CD
    - M/Cpl Chris Banks
  - Copy of presentation attached

- **Re: Item 18.1 (Regional Council Business):**
  - Briefing Report from the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer re:
    - Region Peel Council Meeting and Retail Business Holidays Act (RBHA) Public Meeting (application from Yuan Ming Supermarket Ltd. – 1000 Burnhamthorpe Drive – Mississauga) – July 7, 2016

The following was received by the City Clerk’s Office after the agenda was printed and relates to a published item on the agenda (Council approval is not required for addition of this item in accordance with Procedure By-law 160-2004, as amended):

- **Re: Item 8.5 (Report re: Hurontario LRT – MOU – Metrolinx, Brampton, Mississauga):**
  - 6.4 Delegation:
    - Eloa Doner, on behalf of the Etobicoke Creek Residents Association
  - 12.5 Correspondence:
    - Chris Bejnar and Doug Bryden, Co-Chairs, Citizens for a Better Brampton, dated July 5, 2016

- **Re: Items 6.3 and 8.11 (Presentation and Report re: Fireworks Review):**
  - 12.4 Correspondence from Amanda Cameron, Executive Director, Canadian National Fireworks Association, dated July 5, 2016
Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health & Wellness – Update

City of Brampton Council
July 6, 2016

Matthew Anderson, President and CEO
Dr. Frank Martino, Chief of Staff
OUR VISION
PATIENT-INSPIRED HEALTH CARE WITHOUT BOUNDARIES
Peel Memorial Centre for Integrated Health and Wellness

June 30, 2016
PUBLIC SPACE PERSPECTIVES – SENIORS’ COURTYARD
PEEL MEMORIAL: OPENING

October, 2016 (anticipated)
Building is completed

Feb 2017
Urgent Care Centre opens to public

March 31, 2017
All services open to public
OUR VISION

PATIENT-INSPIRED HEALTH CARE WITHOUT BOUNDARIES
The Lorne Scots
(Peel, Dufferin and Halton Regiment)
150th Anniversary
September 23-25, 2016
• The Regiment was formed officially by orders on September 14, 1866
• Although officially formed on that date, it’s service to our communities and Country extend well before that, as witnessed by 4 new Battle Honours issued to the Regiment for The War of 1812 (In addition to one for Afghanistan).
Who We Are

• The Lorne Scots is Brampton’s Army Reserve Unit.
• We are local Police Officers, Fire Fighters, business leaders and Residents of Brampton.
• We are Soldiers in the service of Canada and this community.
• We are one of the most storied Regiments in Canada...
Our Community; Our Regiment;
OUR SOLDIERS
150th Anniversary

- The Regiment will celebrate this significant anniversary from September 23 to 25 2016
- The Highlight of the weekend regimental reunion will be a visit from The Colonel-in-Chief, HRH Prince Edward, Duke of Kent
Activities

Most of the activities take place in Brampton and are as follows:

- **September 23 2016 – Brampton**, Unveiling of The Lorne Scots Monument by HRH 10:30 am.
- **September 24 2016 – Brampton**, Trooping of the Colours for HRH 2:00 pm.
- **September 24 2016 – Brampton**, Gala Dinner with HRH in attendance at 7:00 pm
Support our Troops
You can Support our Troops by:

• Forming a “Support our Troops Committee” chaired by the Mayor, with a small number of Councillors and members of The Lorne Scots Regimental Association.

• This unique Community Partnership will champion the 150th anniversary in the City ensuring that all members of the community, private and corporate, are aware and ready to support it.
Public Meeting – 9:30am

- To hold a Public Meeting pursuant to Section 4 of the Retail Business Holidays Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to inform the public and to obtain their input with respect to an application for exemption under the Retail Business Holidays Act, by Yuan Ming Supermarket Ltd., City of Mississauga.

(The Regional Council meeting will begin immediately following the public meeting)

Agenda Items:

5. **Delegations**

5.1 **Dr. Sheldon Cheskes, Medical Director, Sunnybrook Centre for Prehospital Medicine, and Peter F. Dundas, Chief and Director of Paramedic Services, Region of Peel**
   - This delegation presents the Medical Director's update and input on the 2015 Annual Performance Report on Peel Regional Paramedic Services Programs (see item 11.2 to 11.4 inclusive)

   *Receipt is recommended.*

6. **Items Related to Human Services**

6.1 **A New Plan for Housing Investment**
   
   *(Comments provided by David Waters, Manager, Land Use Policy, City of Brampton)*

   - This report presents a new approach for funding affordable housing and an updated housing investment strategy for Peel Region. The funds to be realigned focus on addressing the Centralized Waiting List. The new approach is based on a reallocation of funds at the Region and receiving new funding commitments from the Province and Federal levels of government. The funds will be used for new developments and the renovation of existing social housing in Peel.
     
     ○ **Advisory note**: City of Brampton staff generally supports the Region's new approach to funding the provision of affordable housing in Peel that include those residents who are on the Centralized Waiting List that reside in the
Brampton area. Brampton Regional Councillors are advised to support the report and endorse its recommendations.  

Approval is recommended.

6.2 Mayfield West, Phase One Housing Developments (For information)  
- This report provides an update on the status of land transfers and development opportunities for Mayfield West Phase One, including seniors and family housing sites.

Receipt is recommended.

6.3 Supportive Housing Demand and Supply Analysis and Action Plan (For information)  
(Comments provided by David Waters, Manager, Land Use Policy, City of Brampton)  
- This report provides the rationale for additional operating and capital funding from senior levels of government for the provision of supportive housing in Peel. The report states there is a current waiting list of approximately 700 people for supportive housing which the reports defines as those who need some level of care or assistance to live independently. As a result of community consultation undertaken by the Region and their consultant, 30 action actions have been identified to meet the needs of individuals requiring supportive housing in Peel. These action items will be subject to further consultation in order to prioritize them and draft a work plan.  
  o Advisory note: City of Brampton staff is generally supportive of the Region's objective of increasing the supply of supportive housing as long as the units remain under the control of the Region or a public agency. Brampton Regional Councillors are advised to support the report.

Receipt is recommended.

6.4 Provincial Approval of Appointment of Ontario Works Administrator for the Region of Peel  
- This report recommends that Grace Caron, the new Acting Director of Community Access, be appointed as Ontario Works Administrator, in accordance with Section 43 of the Ontario Works Act, 1997, and that a copy of the resolution be forwarded to the Central Region Office of the Ministry of Community and Social Services for processing and provincial approval.

Approval is recommended.

6.5 Peel’s Housing and Homelessness Plan (Oral)  
- This presentation is related to Items 6.1 and 6.3 and will provide an update on Year Two of the Plan. Copies of the presentation will be distributed when available.
7. Communications

7.1 Letter from Chris Ballard, Minister of Housing, dated June 21, 2016.
- This letter provides the Region of Notional Funding Allocations under the 2016 Social Infrastructure Fund Agreement (see also Item 6.1)

Receipt is recommended.

8. Items Related to Enterprise Programs and Services

8.1 Region of Peel Owned Real Property Assets
- This report recommends that the properties identified as having possible residential housing development potential be thoroughly assessed for this purpose, and that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to retain consulting resources in making these determinations. This initiative contributes to the Term of Council priority of increasing affordable housing.

Approval is recommended.

8.2 Response to Bill 204 – Promoting Affordable Housing Act
(Comments provided by David Waters, Manager, Land Use Policy, City of Brampton)
- This report provides the Region's comments on the release of Bill 204, which is in its first reading. Bill 204 proposes changes to the Planning Act, the Development Charges Act, the Housing Services Act and the Residential Tenancies Act for the purposes of increasing the supply of affordable housing.
- Of particular interest to Brampton are the proposed revisions to the Planning Act and Development Charges Act. Proposed changes to the Planning Act would enable municipalities to require inclusionary zoning in the Official Plan and Zoning By-laws within new residential developments, and would not be permitted to be appealed to the OMB except by the Minister. Proposed changes would prohibit municipalities from imposing development charges for second units in new residential developments.
- The Region recommends that rather than the Province directing requirements and restrictions to implement inclusionary zoning, municipalities should be provided the flexibility to outline the parameters of an inclusionary zoning framework at the area municipal level.
  - **Advisory note:** In addition to the Region's comments, City staff also recommends flexibility around the following: allowing developers to provide affordable housing on another site, ascertaining target groups for inclusionary zoning, setting price and rent of the units, setting min/max unit site-aside within a development, identifying threshold size, and determining affordability period of the unit.
  - While staff are generally supportive of the Region's comments on Bill 204, staff are of the view that an upper tier municipality that is already a housing provider should be assigned the responsibility of administering the affordable units arising from inclusionary zoning. Municipalities like Brampton who do not
have housing companies will be faced with implementing a process that is potentially costly and highly administrative to operate.

- The City will be submitting staff level comments on Bill 204 to the Province before the Aug 16 deadline and will be reporting to Council on further versions of the Bill prior to its enactment.
- Brampton Regional Councillors are advised to support the report and endorse its recommendations.

Approval is recommended.

8.3 Development of an Integrated Asset Management System (For information)
(Comments provided by Peter Honeyborne, Executive Director, Finance and Treasurer, City of Brampton)

- This report provides an update on the next stage of the Region’s asset management journey; modernizing the organization’s asset management technology to align with the Region of Peel’s advanced strategic asset management practices.
- Advisory note: The City of Brampton is currently developing a Corporate Asset Management Program to ensure that the City’s finite resources are allocated to Council priorities for the preservation and maintenance of the City’s infrastructure. The program will be developed in two phases:
  - Phase One: Development of the Corporate Asset Management Plan by December 31, 2016 to meet the requirements of the Federal Gas Tax Program
  - Phase Two: Development and implementation of a Comprehensive Corporate Asset Management Program, including policies, processes, and technology that will integrate departmental asset lifecycle management systems with the City’s corporate financial system.

Receipt is recommended.

8.4 Triannual Financial Performance Report – April 30, 2016

- This report provides an overview of the Region’s 2016 budget performance up to April 30, 2016, and recommends a necessary change to realign financing sources for Regional Road Storm Sewer Data capital project 09-4002.

Approval is recommended.

8.5 2015 Treasury Report

- This report provides a summary of the Region’s investments in 2015, which were made in accordance with approved investment policies and goals. The Region’s investment performance continues to ensure the safety of principle and sufficient liquidity while maximizing returns.

Approval is recommended.

- This report recommends the award of the contract for the supply and delivery of diesel fuel for the Region of Peel to Suncor Energy Products Partnership, in the amount of $800,000 excluding taxes, for a period of nine months up to March 31, 2017, with the possibility of extension based on service, performance and pricing.

Approval is recommended.

8.7 Submission for Federal Government Infrastructure Funding

- This report provides an overview of the infrastructure funding opportunities available through the federal government and a preliminary list of the Region’s projects that may be eligible for funding, pending announcement of the guidelines. The report recommends that the Chief Financial Officer be authorized to apply for project funding on behalf of Regional Council during the summer recess, if required.
  
  o Advisory note: The City of Brampton is monitoring the federal infrastructure investment program and once the eligibility criteria are made known, staff will bring a report to Council with recommended projects for an application. Staff will also work closely with the Region on project applications where appropriate.

Approval is recommended.

8.8 Proposed Transfer of Region Owned Land Located on the East Side of West Drive, North of Regional Road 15 (Steeles Avenue) – City of Brampton, Ward 3

- This report recommends that a 0.3 metre section of Region-owned land at West Drive and Steeles Avenue be declared surplus to the Region’s needs and its ownership transferred to the City of Brampton. The larger portion of this land was transferred to the City in 2006 for strip widening.

Approval is recommended.

8.9 Proposed License Agreement, Northeast Corner of Main Street and Queen Street, License

- This report recommends that the Region enter into a license agreement with The Alton Development Inc. and Lais Hotel Properties Limited, to permit a community directional sign on the northeast corner of Queen and Main Streets in the Village of Alton.

Approval is recommended.

8.10 Peel 2041 Regional Official Plan Review – Draft Regional Official Plan Amendment 27 Proposed Changes Related to Health and the Built Environment, Age-Friendly Planning, and Technical and Administrative Updates (Comments provided by David Waters, Manager, Land Use Policy, City of Brampton)

- This report proposes to initiate formal public consultation for the review of draft Regional Official Plan Amendment 27 (ROPA 27). Undertaken as part of the
Peel’s 2041 Official Plan Review, ROPA 27 includes policies related to health, the built environment, age friendly planning and general housekeeping/administrative matters.

- **Advisory note:** City of Brampton staff has reviewed previous versions of the draft ROPA and will formally issue comments to the Region on the attached amendment prior to the statutory public meeting scheduled for October 2016. Brampton Regional Councillors are advised to support the report and endorse its recommendations.

**Approval is recommended.**

8.11 Region of Peel and Town of Caledon Joint Land Evaluation and Area Review Study and Edge Planning for Agriculture Discussion Paper

- This report presents the results of agricultural background studies completed to inform the Region’s Official plan review, and recommends that the results be circulated to key stakeholders and used in further consultations toward identifying prime agricultural areas in Peel and considering policy options to mitigate impacts to agriculture.

**Approval is recommended.**

8.12 Report of the Region of Peel Accessibility Advisory Committee meeting held on June 16, 2016

- The meeting minutes are presented for approval.

**Approval is recommended.**

9. **Items Related to Public Works**

9.1 Service Delivery Options for 2017 TransHelp Eligibility (See also Item 10.1)

- This report recommends that Region staff explore and report back to Council with service delivery options for eligible people travelling to cognitive day programs with a support person. It is further recommended that the current Passenger Assist Program remain in place until an alternative solution can be implemented, and that Region staff explore partnership opportunities with Central West and Mississauga Halton Local Health Integrated Networks.

**Approval is recommended.**

9.2 Implementation of Southbound Right Turn Lane Designation at Regional Road 5 (Derry Road) at Financial Drive – City of Mississauga, Ward 11 (See also By-law 41-2016)

- This report recommends that a by-law be enacted to implement a lane designation for the southbound right turn movement at the intersection of Derry Road and Financial Drive.

**Approval is recommended.**

9.3 Traffic Signal Operations and Maintenance Service Agreements Between the Region of Peel and the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga – All Wards (For information)
(Comments provided by Craig Kummer, Manager, Traffic Services, City of Brampton)

- This report provides a Council-directed update on the Traffic Signal Operations and Maintenance service agreements between the Region of the Peel and the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga.
  - **Advisory Notes:**
    - **Section 2 (i) – Targeted Network Efficiency Improvements**
      City of Brampton staff successfully conducted three (3) of five (5) required network efficiency reports, but was not able to meet the target of five (5) due to the following:
      - Initial efforts focused on obtaining traffic signal information, handling/transitioning customer service requests, and identifying networks with Regional staff;
      - Brampton staff worked with the software vendor to resolve internal software issues related to outputs for environmental factors such as fuel consumption and emissions.
    - **Section 2 (iv) – Quarterly Summaries on all Complaints and Inquiries**
      Brampton staff is now providing this report on a quarterly basis.
    - **Section 2 (vi) – Invoicing**
      City and Region finance staff from both have agreed to an invoice structure that captures the applicable costs. Moving forward, invoicing will be submitted to the Region on a quarterly basis.
    - Brampton staff concurs with the remaining items outlined in this report and also recognizes that throughout the first year of this agreement, transitional issues were realized and that other operational issues with the agreement need to be tweaked. To do this, staff have established regular meetings with the Region to ensure efficiencies and operational requirements are met to improve the quality of this agreement (as it is a living document) moving forward.

**Receipt is recommended.**

9.4 **Bolton Residential Expansion Regional Official Plan Amendment Application – Request to Hold a Statutory Public Meeting**

- This report recommends that a statutory public meeting be scheduled on September 29, 2016 to give the public an opportunity to give input on the proposed expansion of the Bolton Rural Service Centre settlement boundary, as detailed in the draft Regional Official Plan Amendment. It is also recommended that an open house on the matter be scheduled in Caledon prior to the meeting.

**Approval is recommended.**
9.5 2015 Annual Performance Review of the South Peel Water and Wastewater Management, Operations and Maintenance Agreement with the Ontario Clean Water Agency (OCWA) (For information)

- This report details the 2015 performance of the Region’s agreement with the OCWA, which is now in its seventh year of the ten-year contract. Peel continues to receive good value and over the next twelve months the OCWA and Peel staff will focus on maintenance management optimization.

Receipt is recommended.


- The meeting minutes are presented for approval.

Approval is recommended.

10. Communications

10.1 Letter from Carol-Ann Chafe, Chair Accessibility Advisory Committee, City of Mississauga, dated June 15, 2016.

- This letter presents a City of Mississauga Council resolution with regard to the Accessible Transportation Master Plan and the Passenger Assist Program, and informs the Region of the City of Mississauga Accessibility Advisory Committee’s views on the importance of the Passenger Assist Pilot Program.

Receipt is recommended.

11. Items Related to Health

11.1 Recreational Water Facility Safety Inspections (For information)

- This report provides an overview of inspection activities undertaken by Peel Public Health to ensure the safety of recreational water facilities in the region.

Receipt is recommended.

11.2 Paramedic Services 2015 Annual Performance Report (For information) (See also Delegations – Item 5.1 and Item 11.3, 11.4)

- This report provides a summary of the 2015 performance of Peel Regional Paramedic Services. Performance results meet or exceed targets and the 2015 Client Satisfaction Survey shows continued high levels of client satisfaction at 9.1/10. Peel Regional Paramedic Services continue to seek improvements in operations through a variety of initiatives.

Receipt is recommended.
11.3 Paramedic Services Medical Director’s Update (For information) (See also Delegations – Item 5.1 and Items 11.2, 11.4)

- This report highlights the medical updates and innovations in prehospital care as they relate to the delivery of paramedic services in the Region of Peel, through the direction of the Sunnybrook Centre for prehospital medicine.

Reception is recommended.

11.4 Paramedic Services Tiered Response Agreement (See also Delegations – Item 5.1 and Items 11.2, 11.3)

- This report recommends that Region staff be authorized to execute the Tiered Response Agreement between the Region of Peel, the Cities of Mississauga and Brampton and the Town of Caledon. The agreement has been in place since before 2010 and is being updated in 2016 to include additional criteria for the mobilization of fire and paramedic services, to ensure the fastest possible response times.

Approval is recommended.

11.5 Update on Regional Ambulance Communications Centre Advocacy (For information)

- This report provides an update on regional advocacy efforts regarding the provincial ambulance dispatch system and the Mississauga Central Ambulance Communications Centre that serves both Halton Region and the Region of Peel. Advocacy efforts remain focused on replacement of the triage tool, real time access to data, and an accountability framework.

Reception is recommended.

11.6 Provision of Advanced Care Paramedic Training

- This report recommends that the contract to provide Advanced Care Paramedicine education be awarded to Humber College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning, for an initial three year period, in the estimated total amount of $540,00-0 (excluding taxes), and with two optional 12 month terms.

Approval is recommended.
# Request for Delegation

Attention: City Clerk's Office, City of Brampton, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton ON L6Y 4R2
Email: cityclerksoffice@brampton.ca Telephone: (905) 874-2100 Fax: (905) 874-2119

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>City Council</th>
<th>Planning &amp; Infrastructure Services Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Srvcs. Cmmttee</td>
<td>Community &amp; Public Services Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic Dev. Committee</td>
<td>Other: ______________________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meeting Date Requested: 06-Jul-16
Agenda Item (if applicable): 8.5 - HLRT MOU

Name of Individual(s): Eloh Doner
Position/Title: 
Organization/Person being Represented: on behalf of Etobicoke Creek Residents Association
Full Address for Contact: 
Telephone No. Email/ Fax No. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Matter to be Discussed</th>
<th>MOU Brampton/Mississauga and Metrolinx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Action Requested

Note: a delegation is limited to not more than five minutes.

I am submitting a formal presentation to accompany my delegation: Yes No

I will require the following audio-visual equipment/software for my presentation:

- Computer Notebook
- DVD Player
- PowerPoint
- Other - please specify____________________

Note: Delegates are requested to provide to the City Clerk's Office well in advance of the meeting date: (i) 25 copies of all background material and/or presentations for publication with the meeting agenda and/or distribution at the meeting, and (ii) for PowerPoint and other visual presentations, an electronic copy of the presentation (e.g., DVD, CD, .ppt file) to ensure compatibility with corporate equipment.

Once the above information is received by the City Clerk's Office, you will be contacted by a Legislative Coordinator to confirm your placement on the appropriate agenda.

Personal information on this form is collected under authority of the Municipal Act, SO 2001, c.25 and/or the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 and will be used in the preparation of the applicable council/committee agenda and will be attached to that agenda. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Deputy City Clerk, Council and Administrative Services, 2 Wellington Street West, Brampton, Ontario, L6Y 4R2, tel. 905-874-2115.
Brampton, July 6, 2016

To Members of the City of Brampton Council
To City Clerk

Hi Brampton Council, my name is Eloa Doner and I’m the co-founder of the Etobicoke Creek Residents Association. I am pleased to speak to and support item 8.5, the Hurontario LRT Memorandum of Understanding with Metrolinx.

I reached out to the folks at Fight Gridlock in Brampton, One Brampton, and fellow residents to add to my thoughts on this item.

I’d like to thank you for previously showing interest in the Creek Route LRT. Had you not done that, I don’t think I would have become as engaged in transit issues in Brampton as I am now. So you’ve created another community activist!

I know Grant Gibson and other councillors had questions about costs. Well we are starting to see answers were as promised by Metrolinx during lead up to October 27th.

I read in the report that “Metrolinx will apportion 1.5% of the total construction costs for the project to public realm.” This is great news! But, by stopping the LRT at Steeles, this council has lost an opportunity for $3M of funds for the public realm.

It also says in this report that “Metrolinx will be the owner and developer of the project with responsibility for the scope, budget, scheduling, planning, design, construction, property acquisition, operation and maintenance of the LRT vehicles, and public consultation.” So when Metrolinx said it was going to be 100% funded, it sure sounds like that’s what we’re getting.

And for clarity, Metrolinx will have full responsibility for and control over “matters pertaining to operation and maintenance of the LRT vehicles”. So there won’t be any operating costs for Brampton. More great news.

Later in the report it says “Metrolinx will pay for the costs of the project construction including any required relocation/replacement of infrastructure owned by the municipalities.” I wonder how much more the sewer replacements along Main Street are going to cost the taxpayers of Brampton.

Jeff Bowman, my councillor, had suggested going to the feds to ask for money for an alternate route. I wonder if he understands that per the MOU, Brampton agrees to support Metrolinx to acquire funding contributions from the Government of Canada for the Project.” So the first request for funding from Brampton to the feds is going to be for the LRT to Steeles.

Back to the millions being spent on EAs for McLaughlin and Kennedy. According to the Capital Cost Eligibility Criteria guidelines included in this report, funding for studies is limited to “Metrolinx-approved” studies, so Brampton taxpayers will be on the hook for 100% of the costs of these 2 EAs.

As we are often told, there is only one taxpayer. However, not all taxes are collected equally. Why is the HLRT MOU a good deal for taxpayers? Because it’s based on provincial taxes not property taxes. Most of the provincial taxes are based on income or corporate profits. Brampton can’t do that. Property taxes are regressive, income taxes are not.. That’s one reason, this is a good deal for Brampton including the working poor because 100% of the infra costs are the responsibility of the province.
I also want to point out that if infrastructure already needs to be replaced, this can be done as an option. Brampton is not required to upgrade anything if it doesn’t want to. This should reassure some of you who were concerned about these costs.

Now, here’s my suggestion for how you can make this deal even better. Move to add “Main” to the MOU. I’ve read the procedural by-law. You can do this.

After adding in “Main” so that the LRT will reach the downtown Brampton GO Station, I have an idea for another motion.

Take the $15M per EA for McLaughlin and Kennedy, and instead spend that money on studying LRT north to Mayfield, and study a LRT from the downtown Brampton GO on Queen, west to Mount Pleasant. We all love our four corners of historic downtown Brampton. This is your chance for a Brampton Transit Four Corners.

Each side of Brampton and places in between could then be touched: Brampton east with Queen rapid transit, Brampton north with the Main North LRT, Brampton West with the Queen West LRT, and Brampton South with the HLRT. Wouldn’t this be a great compliment to all-day, two-way electrified Regional Express Rail west of Bramalea?

Now, I know what you’re thinking. Eloa, we have decided. There will never be LRT north of Steeles on Main. Give it a rest.

Interestingly, people said the same thing to me when I demanded better services for my daughter who has special needs. Never doubt the determination of a mother, of transit users, or people that want to see action.

The plan I just outlined - Brampton Transit Four Corners - benefits everyone. It saves money. It includes all of Brampton. It gets shovels in the ground.

Council, your no to Main decision reminds us of the state of the Leave campaign after the Brexit vote. What’s your backup plan? Are you going to demand 100% funding for McLaughlin or Kennedy from the Province? Or do you realize the best you may get is 33%, just like Ottawa and Kitchener Waterloo got? How much will that cost property taxpayers?

Look, I get it. You are politicians. Changing your minds is hard. Flip flopping is immediately ridiculed and you’d be disappointing some of the key people who voted for you. But by putting Main back in and using funds you’ve already approved is in the long-term best interests of Brampton.

I urge you to amend the MOU, put Main back in, use the EA money for the Brampton Transit Four Corners plan of action. I’m confident if you did this, we could work together asking the Province to keep its part of the deal for Main, and just like Ottawa, Bowmanville, Niagara, and Toronto, get even more Provincial money for more LRT lines, not less.

I have a lot of experience advocating for better results for my daughter from the province. I can help you. I’m confident, just as the case in other cities, that opponents to LRT on Main would support it when it opens.

People like Chris and Doug from CFBB would come to see that LRT on Main works, and a Brampton Transit Four Corners is a better value for Brampton property taxpayers compared to the uncertainty we now face.

It’s too late to keep the UK in the EU, but it’s not too late to put Main in the MOU.

Thank you.

[Eloa Doner]
July 5, 2016

To: Mayor Linda Jeffrey and Members of Brampton City Council

It has come to our attention that certain groups are attempting to undermine the judgement and wisdom of City Councillors in their democratically arrived at decision to refuse to have the LRT route run through downtown to terminate at the GO station. They simply are blinded by the phrase “fully funded” by the Province and Metrolinx – so called “free money”. In all the time leading up to the October 27th decision and beyond, these groups have not once given solid reasons which would justify this twice rejected route. Nor have they provided a reasoned rebuttal to the following list of arguments against accepting the route:

1) “Fully funded” is absolutely a misnomer. Metrolinx have never to this day outlined estimates for capital costs that would be the responsibility of the Brampton taxpayer – costs for station design and development, signalling costs and related signing, infrastructure replacements and routing changes, property acquisitions, and marketing outlays.

2) Metrolinx to this day has been unable to adequately justify their overly optimistic ridership projections and where the increased ridership would come from. Operating shortfalls for these very expensive Light Rail Transit vehicles are guaranteed in the early years, and nowhere has Metrolinx agreed to accept the burden of these operating shortfalls. It will be the ridership which will be called upon with increased fares.

3) As well, no plan has surfaced as to where the additional development assessment is going to be derived which would not only positively impact ridership, but would result in increased assessment and tax revenues to pay for operating and capital cost shortfalls. That is one of the reasons the Valley route to the Peel Memorial Health Centre was so appealing.

4) The route up Main Street runs right through the heritage district to its detriment – wires, signalling, and safety signs will be foreign intrusions and clutter to one of the finest entrances to a major City in the Province. Additionally, the heritage properties and the zoned low density will not generate ridership for the line now or anytime in the future. It is absolute folly to toy with or forget the past. It’s what makes any City live and be interesting, celebrating the efforts of those who came before.

5) The track for the LRT is both dedicated and segregated within the downtown Main Street corridor, a narrow thoroughfare which allows both sides of the street to relate to each other. The curb is very substantial, needed to prevent vehicular traffic from using the track in any way. This
forces car, truck and cyclists to use only the two lanes for traffic flow. Snow removal becomes an absolute nightmare.

6) Transportation mode conflicts will be guaranteed, as will congestion, made the worse by crossover movements of the trains accessing the downtown GO station. Signalization will not solve the conflict – only guarantee driver frustration. Time delays will be constant and frequent.

7) LRT trains will run alongside narrowed sidewalks, an absolute safety exposure to pedestrians – parking will be eliminated. The Farmer’s Market which continues to be popular and successful in downtown will also have to move elsewhere.

8) The train traffic will absolutely interfere with the operation of St. Paul’s United Church, dating from 1886 and so important in the early life and growth of Brampton. Not only will it be unable to use its front doors for weddings and funerals due to the track at curbside, it will be accosted by train vibration and noise being transferred through and over the ground which will inhibit Sunday sermons, services and music. Its foundations may not be able to withstand the shaking and vibration.

Nor will First Baptist, an even older Church next door to St. Paul’s. Its problems will be similar.

9) All the heritage structures running up and down Main Street north of Wellington will also feel the impact of the train noise and vibration on their old foundations. Some kind of reinforcement will be necessary.

In the opinion of CFBB, it is lunacy to give any further consideration to this downtown route. It has been twice rejected for good and solid reasons, and after much analysis and research. The route choices now under staff determination and environmental assessment are the right way to go. Cost projections for these EA’s publically stated at $15 million per route are simply an uninformed scare tactic.

CFBB believes Council should stay the present course, and move forward, ensuring that staff keep “shoulder to the wheel” through regular and frequent updates.

We are closing by attaching a piece on Referendums and public opinion that clearly places the responsibility for reaching reasoned decisions with those elected.

Sincerely,

CITIZENS FOR A BETTER BRAMPTON,

Chris Bejnar & Doug Bryden,
Co-Chairs.

/attach

cc: Peter Fay
    Earl Evans
    Harry Schlange
Referendums can be both divisive and dangerous, and especially these days when truth telling and fact finding are all too often abandoned in argument. Media reporting and journalistic licence contribute to voter confusion, and individual voting decisions are easily swayed by the rhetoric of the charming and popular soothsayers and simplistic intellects, but who are usually uninformed and disinterested in outcome detail.

The latest example is “Brexit”, a foolhardy and rash referendum that has resulted in not only division within the population of Great Britain, but instability and uncertainty in the economy and financial markets around the world, a leaderless Government that must negotiate the divorce from Europe, and the possibility of a breakup of the country itself into independent units, much diminished as a respected powerhouse on the world stage.

I use this latest example to recall the outcry of some in the public eye in Brampton that a referendum should be held to determine the route of the LRT through downtown to the GO station. To their collective credit, saner heads prevailed, and the wish of many did not materialize.

But it brings up a very important point. Are elected representatives in Brampton to simply follow the opinions and wishes of the electorate, or are they elected to make decisions based on sound judgement and reasoned analysis, even if the decision reached is not always popular?

Perhaps the words of Edmund Burke, the intellectual father of British Conservatism, are worthy of remembering.

“Government and legislation are matters of reason and judgement, - and not of inclination. Your representative owes you not his industry only, but his judgement, and he betrays instead of serving you if he sacrifices it to your opinion”.

Perhaps some food for thought.

Cheers,

Doug Bryden

July 4, 2016
July 5th, 2016

Mayor and Members of Council
City of Brampton
2 Wellington Street West
Brampton, ON L6Y 4R2

RE: Item 8.11 – “Amending Fireworks By-law 147-2006 and Business Licensing By-law 332-2013”

Dear Mayor Jeffrey and Members of Council,

I am writing to you on behalf of the Canadian National Fireworks Association (CNFA) in regards to City Council Item 8.11, which recommends a “ban (on) the sale and use of all fireworks for consumer/residential use that would reasonably be expected to travel or pose a hazard more than 3 metres (10 feet) from the point of ignition”.

The CNFA is the leading national voice advocating on behalf of the fireworks industry. We strive to promote fair access and safety in the sale and use of fireworks through public education, advocacy with regulators, and member compliance. Further to our correspondence with the Community and Public Safety Committee on this issue in November 2015, the CNFA had the opportunity to consult with City staff regarding the issue of a potential ban on consumer fireworks in the City of Brampton. However, we were advised by staff that we would have sufficient time to review the approach to be recommended by staff prior to its consideration at Council.

As the Executive Director of the CNFA, I would like to have the opportunity to appear before Council to depute on this important issue. Unfortunately, the short timeframe between the release of the report and its debate at Council did not provide sufficient time for me to obtain feedback from my association members that will be impacted by this legislation. While we acknowledge that this report does not recommend a ban on all consumer fireworks, we would appreciate the opportunity to review and comment on the details of what is being proposed. As such, we respectfully request that Council defer their decision on these recommendations until the CNFA has had an opportunity to review the proposed recommendations and provide comments.

However, should Council vote to support the recommendations found in the report, the CNFA requests that City staff continue to work closely with the CNFA in order to ensure the successful implementation of the amended Fireworks By-law 147-2006, and to explore additional opportunities to refine and improve upon the new bylaw and its enforceability, such as expanded dates for the permitted sale and discharge of fireworks.

Canadian consumer fireworks are a safe and legal product when used according to guidelines and regulations. They are put through rigorous federal government inspection and testing in order to be...
deemed a legal product, even when tampered with. As such, the CNFA is firm in its belief that public education and advocacy are essential to the safe sale and use of consumer fireworks.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 1.855.652.2632. Thank you kindly for your time and consideration.

______________________________
Amanda Cameron
Executive Director
Canadian National Fireworks Association